```
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                              11-CR-424 (NRB)
                 V.
      GULAY CIBIK, REFAEL BRODJIK,
5
      a/k/a "Rafi," NATHAN SCHWARTZ,
6
      HAROLD TISCHLER, a/k/a
      "Hershy,"
 7
                     Defendants.
                                 Jury Trial
 8
9
                                               New York, N.Y.
10
                                               January 23, 2013
                                               9:10 a.m.
11
12
     Before:
13
                        HON. NAOMI REICE BUCHWALD,
14
                                               District Judge
15
                                APPEARANCES
16
     PREET BHARARA
17
          United States Attorney for the
           Southern District of New York
      JANIS ECHENBERG
18
      JAMES J. PASTORE, JR.
19
           Assistant United States Attorneys
     MICHAEL DINET, Paralegal Specialist
20
      DONALDSON CHILLIEST LLP
21
          Attorneys for Defendant Gulay Cibik
      BY: XAVIER R. DONALDSON, ESQ.
22
      LAWRENCE D. GERZOG, ESQ.
23
      JEREMY L. GUTMAN, ESQ.
           Attorneys for Defendant Refeal Brodjik
24
25
```

D1n1cib1 APPEARANCES (Continued) BRILL LEGAL GROUP, P.C. Attorneys for Defendant Nathan Schwartz BY: PETER E. BRILL, ESQ. PAUL GREENFIELD, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant Harold Tischler ALSO PRESENT: DEIDRE GORDON, Special Agent, Homeland Security RYAN GIBBS, Special Agent, U.S. Dept. of Labor

D1n1cib1 1 (Trial resumed) (In open court; jury not present) 2 THE COURT: Is it necessary to talk about specific 3 4 issues? 5 MR. DONALDSON: I don't think so. 6 MR. PASTORE: I don't think so, either. 7 Just for the record, we've handed up and handed to defense counsel the duress issue that we had previously flagged 8 9 for your Honor, in particular that it's some type of defense 10 that was presented in opening that if Ms. Cibik was merely being obedient to authority, I believe the words were 11 unyielding obedience to authority, that that would somehow 12 13 excuse her actions, and the letter sets out why we believe that 14 that is an inappropriate defense and why a curative jury 15 instruction may be appropriate in light of the opening 16 statement. 17 THE COURT: All right. Well, Mr. Donaldson, you now have two things that you need to address. I don't know if 18 you're planning on doing it in writing or orally or --19 20 MR. DONALDSON: The second one today is a little more 21 voluminous and has a lot more caselaw than the first one 22 regarding -- from yesterday. I think that can be taken care of 23 orally. Neither one needs to be taken care of I don't think 24 right now.

THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we maybe pick up at least

```
on yesterday's issue at the end of today. Okay?
1
 2
               MR. PASTORE: Your Honor, should we put the witness in
 3
      the box?
 4
               THE COURT: Sure.
 5
                (Continued on next page)
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 (Jury present)

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.

3 | THE JURORS: Good morning.

THE COURT: At least it's warmer in here than it is

5 | outside.

4

6

7

8

9

11

Okay. Mr. Pastore, you may continue.

MR. PASTORE: Thank you, your Honor.

DAVID GRYNSZTAJN, resumed.

DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED

10 BY MR. PASTORE:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Grynsztajn.
- 12 A. Good morning.
- 13 | Q. Yesterday when we left off, we were talking about
- 14 Mrs. Schlickoren. Do you remember that?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. Before we get there, I'm going to approach and show
- 17 you a few different exhibits.
- I want to focus your attention on the board. This
- 19 | individual who is next to Mr. Flam, what names did you know
- 20 | that individual by?
- 21 | A. Ansel Herbst or Erwin Herbst.
- 22 | Q. Ansel Herbst or Erwin Herbst?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- MR. PASTORE: Your Honor, at this time the government
- offers 18B and 18C, which are nameplates consisting of Erwin

1 Herbst and Ansel Herbst.

THE COURT: Received.

3 (Government's Exhibits 18B and 18C received in

4 evidence)

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Okay. And this individual who is underneath Mr. Gomaa and Mr. Basit, what name did you know him by?
- A. Alex Lev or Alex Levitt.

MR. PASTORE: Okay. And your Honor, the government offers 30E, which is a nameplate with Alex Lev on it.

(Government's Exhibit 30E received in evidence)

- Q. And finally, up here, the individual who's labeled Robert Salamon, do you remember him by any other names?
- 13 A. Sam Salamon.
- MR. PASTORE: The government offers 8B, a nameplate,

 Sam Salamon.
- 16 (Government's Exhibit 8B received in evidence)
- Q. Okay. I'm now going to show you what's been marked for identification as Government's 23. If you could take a look at
- 19 | that and tell us if you recognize that.
- 20 A. Yes, that's Moishe Rosenberg.
- 21 Q. And is it a fair and accurate depiction of Mr. Rosenberg as
- 22 | he appeared at the time you knew him during your work at the
- 23 | law firm?
- 24 | A. Yes.

25

Q. And remind us: What services, if any, did he provide in

Grynsztajn - direct

814

- 1 | connection with the law firm?
- 2 A. Provided a sponsor, filling out forms.
- 3 | Q. And filling out forms?
 - A. Yes.

- 5 MR. PASTORE: Okay. Government offers 23 as well as 6 accompanying nameplate 23A.
- 7 THE COURT: Received.
- 8 (Government's Exhibits 23 and 23A received in evidence)
- Q. Okay. I now want to turn back to Mrs. Schlickoren's application. Do you remember that we were discussing that;
- 12 | right?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I'm now going to hand you -- I'm now going to hand
- 15 you what's been marked for identification as 1113-14, -4, and
- 16 $\| -5$, as well as -3.
- MR. DONALDSON: As well as dash?
- 18 MR. PASTORE: -3.
- 19 Q. Starting with the first document, 1113-14, do you recognize 20 that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- $22 \parallel Q$. What is it?
- 23 A. It's request for additional evidence from the USCIS.
- 24 | Q. And how do you recognize it as such?
- 25 A. I've seen many of them.

Grynsztajn - direct

815

- 1 | Q. Is there a date on this particular request?
 - A. August 3rd, 2004.
- 3 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1113-14.
- 4 MR. DONALDSON: No objection.
- 5 THE COURT: Received.
- 6 (Government's Exhibit 1113-14 received in evidence)
- 7 MR. PASTORE: If we could just publish that to the
- 8 jury.

- 9 Q. And while we're doing that, Mr. Grynsztajn, if you can tell
- 10 us, in general, when you received or the law office received
- 11 requests for additional information, what steps, if any, would
- 12 you take?
- 13 A. The first thing we'd do, we'd call the client.
- 14 | Q. Okay. Why did you call the client?
- 15 | A. Most of the time it's a request for the thousand-dollar
- 16 penalty, and another form has to be prepared.
- 17 | Q. And what additional form has to be prepared?
- 18 \parallel A. The I-485 A supplement.
- 19 Q. And from time to time would additional information aside
- 20 | from the Supplement A and the \$1,000 penalty be required?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. What types of other information would be required?
- 23 | A. Either a job offer letter or employment letter or sometimes
- 24 | tax returns, personal tax return.
- 25 | Q. Okay. So either a job offer letter, did you say personal

- 1 | tax returns and what other kind of letter?
- 2 A. Or employment letter.
- 3 | Q. What's an employment letter?
- 4 A. Employment letter shows that the person is working, and the
- 5 | job offer letter is only a job offer.
- 6 Q. Okay. Let's look at Government's 1113-4. Do you recognize
- 7 | that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 \mathbb{Q} . What is it?
- 10 A. That's Supplement A to the I-485.
- 11 | Q. How do you recognize it as such?
- 12 A. I prepared many of them.
- 13 | Q. And is this particular supplement related to any person?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Who is it related to?
- 16 A. Schlickoren, Ita.
- MR. PASTORE: Government offers Government 1113-4.
- 18 THE REPORTER: Could you spell that name.
- 19 THE WITNESS: S-C-H-L-C-K-O-R-E-N.
- 20 MR. PASTORE: And your Honor, was the document
- 21 received into evidence?
- 22 THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. PASTORE: Thank you.
- 24 | (Government's Exhibit 1113-4 received in evidence)
- 25 MR. PASTORE: If we could publish that to the jury.

2

3

4

5

6

7

Okay. Oh, so in looking at this document, I notice, just in terms of the last name, the spelling for the court reporter, I believe it's S-C-H-L-I-C-K-O-R-E-N. I don't know if we had the "I" before. If we did, I apologize.

Okay. So in terms of this Supplement A, do you see Part B where it says Eligibility and then box d is checked?

- A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Can you please tell us, if you know, what box d is.
- 9 A. It shows that the case was filed before April 30, 2001.
- 10 Q. Okay. That's the priority date that you were telling us
 11 about yesterday?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. If we go to the next page of this document.
- All right. And who, if anyone, appears in the signature block?
- 16 A. Ita Schlickoren.
- 17 | Q. And right below that, do you see the name Jed David
- 18 | Philwin?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. Is that name familiar to you?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Who is Jed David Philwin?
- 23 | A. Jed Philwin was the attorney who took over the office after
- 24 Mr. David left.
- 25 | Q. And you mentioned earlier that Mr. David prepared, among

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 other things, experience letters and job offer letters. Did
- 2 Mr. Philwin do that as well?
- 3 A. I did not see that.
- 4 Q. What did you see Mr. Philwin doing?
- 5 A. Signing forms.
- 6 | Q. Okay. So do I understand correctly -- was that all you saw
- 7 | him doing?
- 8 A. Signing forms, signing receipts.
- 9 Q. Okay. So essentially he was just signing the documents,
- 10 doesn't sound like you saw him creating documents; do I
- 11 understand that correctly?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 | Q. All right. Now if we can turn to Government's 1113-5 and
- 15 First, if you can tell us what -5 is.
- 16 A. It's a job offer letter.
- 17 | Q. How do you recognize it as such?
- 18 A. I've seen many of them.
- 19 Q. So what company does this job letter relate to?
- 20 A. Abe's Vending.
- 21 | Q. Is there an address listed for Abe's Vending?
- 22 | A. 1501 Pine Park Avenue.
- 23 | Q. And does this job offer letter relate to a particular
- 24 | individual?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. To whom does it relate?
- 2 A. Ita Schlickoren.
- 3 Q. Looking at this job letter, can you tell who or how it was
- 4 prepared?
- 5 A. It was prepared in the office.
- 6 Q. How do you know that that letter was prepared at the
- 7 office?
- 8 A. The wording of it, the layout.
- 9 Q. Okay. When you say the wording of it, what do you mean?
- 10 A. The way that this -- the way this letter was written.
- 11 | Q. And how have you seen -- have you seen that wording before?
- 12 A. Yeah, I see it all the time.
- 13 | Q. Where have you seen it all the time?
- 14 A. In the office.
- 15 \parallel Q. When you said the layout, what do you mean by that?
- 16 A. The way the spread, Abe's Vending, I've seen that before.
- 17 | Q. Okay. So the way the letterhead is placed?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1113-5.
- 20 THE COURT: Received.
- 21 (Government's Exhibit 1113-5 received in evidence)
- 22 MR. PASTORE: Okay. And if we can publish that
- 23 document.
- 24 | Q. Is there a date on this particular document?
- 25 | A. 8/9/04.

- 1 | Q. All right. And when you were talking about the layout,
- 2 were you referring to where it says Abe's Vending, 1501 Pine
- 3 | Park Avenue, Lakewood, New Jersey, and then it has a phone
- 4 number?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And when you were referring to the wording that you saw in
- 7 | the office all the time, is that where it says, "She will
- 8 perform the following duties and responsibilities: Bake
- 9 | bagels, pastries, bread, donuts, cake, using hand and machine
- 10 | tools"? Is that the wording that you're referring to?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Do you recognize the name Abraham Flam?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. How do you recognize it?
- 15 A. I dealt with a lot of cases with Mr. Flam.
- MR. PASTORE: Okay. Let's go now to Government's
- 17 | 1113-3, please.
- 18 Q. What is that document?
- 19 A. This is an employment letter.
- 20 | Q. Okay. So this is -- in other words, the last one was a job
- 21 offer letter, this is an employment letter; is that right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 \ Q. And does it relate to a particular company?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. What company?

Dln1cib1 Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 A. Abe's Vending.
- Q. Does it relate to a particular individual, and if so, who?
- 3 A. Ita Schlickoren.
- 4 | Q. And is it -- does a name appear in the signature block; if
- 5 so, whose name?
- 6 A. Abe Flam.
- 7 Q. And looking at this letter, can you tell how it was
- 8 prepared.
- 9 A. It was prepared in the office.
- 10 Q. How do you know that?
- 11 A. The layout of it.
- MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1113-3.
- 13 THE COURT: Received.
- 14 | (Government's Exhibit 1113-3 received in evidence)
- MR. PASTORE: Okay. If we could publish that document
- 16 | as well, and if it's possible to bring up, please, 1113-5 and
- $17 \quad | \quad -3 \text{ side by side.}$
- 18 | Q. Okay. So on the last -- what's the date of the employment
- 19 | letter?
- 20 A. November 22nd, '04.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And during what time period in the process, the
- 22 | immigration process, would an employment letter typically be
- 23 prepared, in your experience?
- 24 A. Towards the end of the case.
- 25 | Q. Why would it typically be prepared towards the end of the

Dln1cib1 Grynsztajn - direct

1 | case?

- 2 A. Usually when you send in with the thousand-dollar penalty.
- THE COURT: Why do you incur this thousand-dollar
- 4 penalty?
- 5 Q. Do you know why you incur the thousand-dollar penalty?
- A. That's for the people that are here without visa or with an
- 7 | expired visa. There's a whole Section 245 that says that --
- 8 Q. So is it your understanding that under Section 245, if you
- 9 were here illegally, then you have to pay a thousand-dollar
- 10 penalty fee so you don't have to leave the country to come back
- 11 inside?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. Okay. And is that something that the law firm created or
- 14 | is that something that immigration created?
- 15 | A. Immigration.
- 16 Q. Okay. So you guys did not collect a penny of that \$1,000
- 17 penalty; right?
- 18 | A. No.
- 19 Q. Okay. You mentioned earlier that you're familiar with an
- 20 | individual named Rachel Brodjik. Can you just remind us who
- 21 Rachel Brodjik is.
- 22 A. The wife of Mr. Brodjik.
- 23 | Q. When you say Mr. Brodjik, you're referring to the defendant
- 24 | Refeal Brodjik?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Did you ever work on an immigration application related to the Brodjiks?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Tell us how that came about.
- 5 A. Mr. Brodjik started assisting Mr. David with his --
- 6 publishing his book, then Mr. -- I don't know what period of
- 7 | time he did it, then Mr. -- Mr. David asked me, he said --
- 8 Mr. David said to me, he said he's gonna help me with the book
- 9 over there, with everything, so let's switch one case to him,
- 10 | let's help him get the green card faster.
- 11 | Q. Okay. Mr. David told you, let's switch a case to
- 12 Mr. Brodjik to help him get a green card faster?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. Where did that conversation take place?
- 15 A. I believe in the conference room.
- 16 | O. In the conference room at 110 Wall Street?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Who was present for the conversation where Mr. David told
- 19 you to switch a case into Mr. Brodjik's name?
- 20 A. Mr. David, Mr. Brodjik, and me.
- 21 | Q. And when you say switch a case, tell us what you mean by
- 22 | switching a case.
- 23 A. Take approved case and substitute the alien.
- 24 | Q. Okay. And what was your understanding at that time whether
- 25 substitutions were allowed under the law?

D1n1cib1 Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. They were allowed?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And did an application ultimately get filed in
- 5 Mr. Brodjik's name?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 | Q. Whose name was the application filed in?
- 8 A. Mrs. Brodjik.
- 9 Q. Did anyone ever tell you why the application was being
- 10 | filed in Mrs. Brodjik's name?
- 11 A. Mr. David said when it comes to cook, the baker, in cases,
- 12 | in an interview, it would be a lot easier for a woman to
- 13 explain.
- 14 Q. Okay. And when you say baker or cook, what are you
- 15 | referring to?
- 16 \parallel A. The job, the job, on the case.
- 17 | Q. And what job or what sponsor, if you know, was used for
- 18 Mrs. Brodjik's application?
- 19 A. I believe it was one of the Flam companies.
- 20 Q. Handing you what's been marked for identification as
- 21 Government's 400-1, and I'll retrieve from you the 1113 series.
- 22 Do you recognize the document that's in front of you?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Is it a multipage document?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. We're going to go through each page, so if you could
- 2 remove it from the plastic sleeve. And if you can, tell us
- 3 | what the first page of Government's 400-1 consists of.
- 4 A. The letter that says that the case has been certified.
- 5 Q. Okay. Is that a labor certification that -- like the ones
- 6 | that you previously told us about?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. In whose name is this labor certification issued?
- 9 | A. Swierzewski.
- 10 | Q. Can you spell that for the court reporter.
- 11 \parallel A. S-W-I-E-R-Z-E-W-S-K-I.
- 12 | Q. And is the labor certification dated?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. What is the date on the labor certification?
- 15 A. October 12, 2000.
- 16 Q. Does the labor certification relate to a particular
- 17 | company?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. What company?
- 20 A. Lakewood Bakery.
- 21 | Q. Do you recognize that company?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. How do you recognize it?
- 24 | A. I've seen it before. I had cases with it.
- 25 | Q. When you say you had cases with it, what do you mean by

D1n1cib1 Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 that?
- 2 A. I had other cases with the Lakewood Bakery.
- 3 | Q. Where it was used as a sponsor?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And is there a particular name associated with Lakewood
- 6 Bakery?
- 7 A. Can you repeat?
- 8 Q. Sure. Is there a particular name associated with Lakewood
- 9 Bakery on the labor certification that you're looking at?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. What name is associated with Lakewood Bakery?
- 12 A. Abraham Flam.
- 13 | Q. If you could go to the next page and tell us what the next
- 14 page consists of.
- 15 A. ETA 750A.
- 16 | O. And to whom does this ETA 750A relate?
- 17 A. Mr. Swierzewski.
- 18 | Q. And what company, if any, is listed on this Part A?
- 19 A. Lakewood Bakery.
- 20 | Q. Is there a Part B to this particular application?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. How many Part Bs are there for this particular application?
- 23 A. There are three here.
- 24 Q. Okay. Tell us the first one.
- 25 A. First part Mr. Swierzewski.

- 1 | Q. And again, is that Lakewood Bakery listed on there?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Tell us the second part B.
- 4 A. Brodjik, Rachel.
- 5 Q. And finally, the third Part B.
- 6 A. Brodjik, Rachel.
- Q. So there's two copies of the Part B for Mrs. Brodjik; is
- 8 | that right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. Why would there be a Part B for -- I think you told us
- 11 | yesterday, but remind us. Why would there be a Part B for
- 12 | Mrs. Brodjik with someone else's name on the original Part B?
- 13 A. When you substitute the case, it's a requirement you have
- 14 | to file the two new ETA 750B forms for the new alien.
- MR. PASTORE: Okay. Government offers 400-1.
- MR. DONALDSON: No objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Received.
- 18 (Government's Exhibit 400-1 received in evidence)
- MR. PASTORE: And if we could bring up 400-1 on the
- 20 screen.
- 21 If we could -- all right.
- 22 | Q. So this is the labor certification that we're looking at
- 23 currently displayed on the screen; is that right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- MR. PASTORE: And if we could go to the next page.

Grynsztajn - direct

D1n1cib1

This is the Part A that you were just describing?

2 Α. Yes.

1

- 3 And the address listed here for Lakewood Bakery, that's Ο.
- 225 Second Street; is that right? 4
- 5 Α. Yes.
- 6 MR. PASTORE: Okay. If we could keep going, please.
- 7 To the Part B. One more page.
 - This is the initial Part B; is that right?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 MR. PASTORE: And if we can go to the second Part B,
- 11 please. One more page.
- 12 And is this the -- this is Mrs. Brodjik's Part B?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 MR. PASTORE: And if you could go one more page,
- 15 please.
- 16 Q. Okay. And this is the job -- well, what is this
- 17 information here?
- 18 A. The duties that she would perform.
- MR. PASTORE: And finally, if you could go to the next 19
- 20 page.
- 21 Q. Is this the copy or the third Part B that you were
- 22 describing for us before?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Ο. Now the original alien's name --
- 25 MR. PASTORE: If we could just jump back to Part 1 --

D1n1cib1 Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 | I'm sorry -- the first page.
- 2 | Q. The original alien, had you ever personally met that
- 3 | original alien?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Where did you meet that alien?
- 6 A. I met him at the office.
- 7 Q. And was he one of your clients or someone else's client or
- 8 | why was he in the office?
- 9 A. He was Mr. David's client.
- 10 | Q. At any time did that client -- at any time did you
- 11 | introduce that client or point out that client to anyone in the
- 12 | office?
- 13 A. Yes. I pointed him out.
- 14 | Q. Who did you point him out to?
- 15 A. Mr. Brodjik.
- 16 | Q. And what, if anything, did you tell Mr. Brodjik about this
- 17 | client?
- 18 A. That he had his case.
- 19 Q. What, if anything, did Mr. Brodjik say or do after that?
- 20 A. Few times when Mr. Swierzewski would come into the office,
- 21 he used to call him the alter kocker.
- 22 | Q. Okay. Can you describe what that means. Can you translate
- 23 | that for us.
- 24 A. In English? He's an old fart.
- 25 | Q. So Mr. Brodjik would call him the old fart?

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 Α. Yes.
- Now handing you what's been marked for identification as 2
- 3 Government's 400-3 and 400-4. Do you recognize those? Let's
- 4 start with 400-3. Sorry.
- 5 Α. Yes.
- What is Government's 400-3? 6
- 7 I-140 petition. Α.
- How do you recognize it as such? 8 Q.
- 9 I've seen them before. Α.
- And to whom did this particular I-140 relate? 10 Q.
- 11 Α. Rachel Brodjik.
- 12 Is there a particular company on this I-140?
- 13 Α. Lakewood Bakery.
- 14 And what is the address listed here for Lakewood Bakery? Q.
- 1501 Pine Park Avenue. 15 Α.
- Are you familiar with that address at all? 16 0.
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 How are you familiar with that address?
- I had a client that went down there to see. 19 Α.
- 20 Tell us what happened. 0.
- 21 MR. GUTMAN: Objection. Hearsay.
- 22 MR. PASTORE: Goes to state of mind, your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 24 Tell us what the client -- what happened with the client.
- 25 The client went there, went to see the company; he came Α.

Grynsztajn – direct

- back to the office screaming that the only thing what he found was a private residence.
- 3 | Q. Have you ever been to 1501 Pine Park?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 Q. So if you can continue on this I-140, is it signed by
- 6 anyone?

- 7 | A. Mr. Flam.
 - Q. And what is the date on this particular application?
- 9 A. 9/30/02.
- 10 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 400-3.
- MR. GUTMAN: No objection.
- 12 THE COURT: Received.
- 13 (Government's Exhibit 400-3 received in evidence)
- MR. PASTORE: If we could publish that on the screen.
- Q. And Mr. Grynsztajn, we're going to bring it up on your screen for you.
- 17 First, I want to focus your attention on Part 2,
- 18 Petition Type. Can you see that?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And I know there's some writing over it, but can you
- 21 see which box is checked.
- 22 And if it's easier, Mr. Grynsztajn, I think it
- 23 | should -- is it displayed on your screen?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Okay. So if you look at what's zoomed in, can you tell

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 whether box a, b, c, d, e, g, or i is checked?
- 2 | A. E.
- 3 Q. Okay. And why is box e checked on this application?
- 4 A. This job requires at least two years' experience.
- 5 MR. PASTORE: And if we could zoom out and go to the 6 next page of this document, please.
- 7 | Q. I want to focus you in on Part 5, Additional Information.
- 8 Do you see where it says, Date Established, Current Number of
- 9 | Employees, and Gross Annual Income?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Where would you get that information from?
- 12 A. Usually from tax return.
- MR. PASTORE: Okay. And finally, if we could go to
- 14 | the last page of this document, please.
- 15 | Q. All right. And are these the signatures that you were
- 16 | discussing?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. All right. If we can go now to Government's 400-4, please.
- What is Government's 400-4?
- 20 | A. Case for I-485.
- 21 Q. How do you recognize it as such?
- 22 | A. I've seen many before.
- 23 || Q. And to whom does this particular I-485 relate?
- 24 A. Rachel Brodjik.
- MR. PASTORE: Government offers 400-4.

2

3

4

5

MR. GUTMAN: No objection.

(Government's Exhibit 400-4 received in evidence)

MR. PASTORE: All right. If we could publish 400-4.

- Q. I'm going to focus your attention on Part 2. Where it says Application Type, remind us, or tell us why box a is checked in
- 6 | this case.
- 7 A. Because she was the main person and the visa was available,
- 8 so she got in that part.
- 9 Q. Okay. If Mrs. Brodjik was applying with an I-140 and an
- 10 | I-485, how, if at all, would that be beneficial to Mr. Brodjik?
- 11 A. If they married, the spouse gets the -- the spouse and the
- 12 children go on the case.
- 13 | Q. I'm handing you now what's been marked for
- 14 | identification -- we'll be going through Government's 400-10,
- 15 \parallel 400-7, 400-5, and 400-8, and 9. Let's start with each of --
- 16 let's start with 400-5. Can you tell us what that is.
- 17 A. That's a request from the immigration department for the --
- 18 | for additional information.
- 19 \parallel Q. And 400-7, what is that?
- 20 A. Also request for additional information.
- 21 | Q. And how do you recognize each of these documents?
- 22 A. I've seen many of them.
- Q. To whom does each of these documents relate, if you can
- 24 | tell?
- MR. GERZOG: Objection, your Honor. He hasn't said

D1n1cib1 Grynsztajn - direct that he has ever seen this document before, except perhaps in 1 the presence of the U.S. Attorney. He said it looks like 2 3 documents that he's seen before, and that was being asked about 4 this specific document. 5 MR. PASTORE: Your Honor, this is precisely the objection we talked about. 6 7 THE COURT: Go ahead. Q. Go ahead, Mr. Grynsztajn. Who do these documents relate 8 9 to? 10 The first document, Exhibit 5, belongs to Lakewood Bakery. 11 Okay. So -5 belongs to -- is addressed to Lakewood Bakery; 12 do I understand that correctly? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And -7? Q.

15 Α. To Rachel Brodjik.

20

21

22

- MR. PASTORE: Okay. Government offers 400-7 and 16 17 400-5.
- 18 MR. GERZOG: Subject to previous objection.
- THE COURT: Received. 19
 - (Government's Exhibit 400-7 and 400-5 received in evidence)
 - Q. And what is the date on --
- 23 MR. PASTORE: If we could just publish it for the 24 jury, please.
 - What is the date -- what is the date that's listed on

835 D1n1cib1 Grynsztajn - direct

- 400 7?1
- October 20, 2004. 2 Α.
- 3 And what's the date that's listed on 400-5? 0.
- 4 October 20, 2004. Α.
- 5 Now if you could look at Government's 400-8, please.
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 What is Government's 400-8? Q.
- That's Supplement A to the I-485. 8 Α.
- 9 To whom does it relate? Q.
- 10 To Brodjik, Rachel. Α.
- 11 Does this contain anything other than supplement I-485 A?
- 12 A receipt for a thousand dollars.
- 13 And who is the receipt from? Q.
- 14 The immigration. Α.
- 15 Q. And how are you familiar with the I-485 Supplement A and
- 16 that receipt?
- 17 I've filled out many of them and I've seen receipts.
- 18 How often would you actually see those receipts? Q.
- Not too often. 19 Α.
- 20 But you have seen them before? 0.
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 In the course of your work at the law firm; is that right? Q.
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 To whom does this particular supplement A relate? 0.
- 25 Brodjik, Rachel. Α.

MR. PASTORE: Government offers 400-8. And if we could publish that.

THE COURT: Received.

(Government's Exhibit 400-8 received in evidence)

MR. PASTORE: If we could publish that to the jury as

Okay. And if we could go to the second page.

And Mr. Dinet, is the receipt on the third page?

- Q. All right. So the receipt that we were discussing, is that what's displayed on the screen here?
- 11 | A. Yes.

well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- MR. PASTORE: Your Honor, with the court's permission,
- 13 I'd actually like to publish this to the jury by passing it?
- 14 THE COURT: Oh, okay.
- Q. Okay. If you can turn your attention now to 400-10, if you'll let us know what that's about.
- 17 A. That's a tax return.
- 18 Q. To whom -- to what company or individual do these tax
- 19 returns relate?
- 20 A. Lakewood Bakery.
- 21 | Q. Have you seen these tax returns before?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 \parallel Q. When have you seen these tax returns?
- 24 A. In the office.
- 25 | Q. And under what circumstances have you seen these?

- 1 A. When I was working on another case with Lakewood Bakery.
- 2 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 400-10.
- 3 | THE COURT: Received.
- 4 (Government's Exhibit 400-10 received in evidence)
- 5 MR. PASTORE: And if we could publish 400-10.
- Q. Mr. Grynsztajn, how many -- have you taken it out of the plastic sleeve?
- 8 | A. Yes.
 - Q. How many years' worth of tax returns are included here?
- 10 | A. Two.

- 11 | Q. What two years are included?
- 12 | A. '96 and 2000.
- 13 | Q. Okay. And earlier you mentioned that you would take
- 14 | information off the tax returns to prepare the I-140. Where
- 15 | would that information appear on the tax returns, if at all?
- 16 A. Can you repeat the question, please?
- 17 \parallel Q. Sure. On the I-140 you mentioned that, for example, the
- 18 date established for Lakewood Bakery, that you got that
- 19 | information off tax returns. Did you get it off of these tax
- 20 returns?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Where would you get that information on these tax returns?
- 23 A. On the right side.
- 24 | Q. Is that Section C, where it says Date Incorporated?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 Can you tell from looking at the tax returns who created them; and if so, how can you tell that? 2

- Mr. Vago. No signature and Copy stamp.
- 4 If we look at the bottom right next to the government Q.
- 5 exhibit sticker, where it says Copy, is that the Copy stamp
- 6 that you're referring to?
- 7 Α. Yes.

- Now let's take a look at Government's 400-9. Do you 8
- 9 recognize that?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 0. What is it?
- 12 Α. Job offer letter.
- 13 0. Does it relate to a particular individual?
- 14 Brodjik. Α.
- 15 Q. Mrs. Brodjik?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 And is there a particular company listed? Q.
- 18 Α. Lakewood Bakery.
- 19 Is there a particular date on this letter? Q.
- 20 November 10, '04. Α.
- 21 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 400-9.
- 22 MR. GUTMAN: No objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Received.
- 24 (Government's Exhibit 400-9 received in evidence)
- 25 MR. PASTORE: And if we could publish 400-9 side by

Dln1cib1 Grynsztajn - direct

1 | side with 1113-3.

- Okay. It's a little small.
- 3 | Q. Okay. Can you tell how 400-9 was prepared.
- 4 A. Prepared at the office.
 - Q. How do you know that?
- 6 A. I've seen many of them.
- 7 Q. And aside from seeing them prepared, is there anything else
- 8 | that tells you it was prepared in the office?
- 9 A. The layout, the wording.
- 10 | Q. And when you say the wording, are you referring to where it
- 11 | says, for example, bakes pastries, cookies, cakes, including
- 12 seven-layer cakes, that wording?
- 13 | A. Yes.

- 14 | Q. And Lakewood Bakery, what's the address listed for Lakewood
- 15 | Bakery in November of 2004?
- 16 A. 1501 Pine Park Avenue.
- 17 | Q. And jumping over to 1113, what is the address listed for
- 18 Abe's Vending also in November of 2004?
- 19 A. Also 1501 Pine Park Avenue.
- 20 | Q. So do you know whether in fact there was a real job for
- 21 | Mrs. Brodjik at Lakewood Bakery?
- 22 MR. GUTMAN: Objection to the form, your Honor. No
- 23 | foundation for this witness' information in this regard.
- 24 THE COURT: No, I'll allow it.
- 25 A. There was no job.

2

4

10

- Q. How do you know that?
 - A. Because the bakery did not exist.
- 3 | Q. And did you personally --
 - MR. GUTMAN: Same objection, your Honor.
- MR. PASTORE: Your Honor, I'm happy to go -- I believe
 the foundation has been laid. Mr. Grynsztajn has testified,
 among other things, that he paid for use of Lakewood Bakery,
 that the taxes were phony, and that he personally used it on
 applications and paid to use it on applications. So that was
- 11 THE COURT: Okay.
- 12 Q. So did you personally use Lakewood Bakery on some of your
- 13 | clients' applications?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. I want to go now to Government's 410-1, 410-2,

the foundation. I know we laid that yesterday.

- 16 | 410-3.
- 17 All right. Mr. Grynsztajn, starting with 410-1, do
- 18 | you recognize that document?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 || Q. What is it?
- 21 A. It's a G-28.
- 22 | Q. How do you recognize it as such?
- 23 A. I've seen them, I've prepared many of them.
- 24 | Q. You've seen them and prepared many of them, did you say?
- 25 A. Yes.

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 Q. And does this particular G-28 relate to a particular
- 2 | individual?
- 3 A. Yes, Mr. Brodjik.
- 4 | Q. I'm sorry. Which? Mr. or Mrs. Brodjik?
- 5 A. Mr. Brodjik.
 - O. Is it dated?
- 7 A. September 30, '02.
- MR. PASTORE: Government offers 410-1.
- 9 MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- 10 THE COURT: Received.
- 11 (Government's Exhibit 410-1 received in evidence)
- 12 MR. PASTORE: And if we could publish that for the
- 13 || jury.

- Q. While we're publishing that, Mr. Grynsztajn, if you can
- 15 | look at Government's 410-2.
- Okay. Do you see on the screen now the G-28 that you
- 17 | were just describing?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. What is Mr. Brodjik's middle name as listed on this
- 20 document?
- 21 | A. Arie Noach.
- 22 | Q. And under what circumstances -- do you know under what
- 23 circumstances a G-28 would be filed?
- 24 A. To show that Mr. Brodjik is represented by attorney.
- 25 \mathbb{Q} . And can you tell from looking at this G-28 which attorney

- 1 is representing Mr. Brodjik.
- 2 MR. PASTORE: If we can scroll down a little bit.
- 3 Can you tell from this G-28 which attorney is representing
- 4 Mr. Brodjik, according to the G-28?
- 5 Α. Yes.
- 6 0. Who?
- 7 Α. Mr. David.
- Okay. Take a look at Government's 410-2, tell us if you 8 Q.
- 9 recognize that; if so, what it is.
- 10 Application for adjustment of status. Α.
- Is that the I-485? 11 0.
- 12 Α. Correct.
- 13 To whom does it relate? 0.
- 14 Mr. Brodjik. Α.
- 15 Q. How do you recognize it as an I-485?
- I prepared many of them. 16 Α.
- 17 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 410-2.
- 18 MR. GUTMAN: No objection.
- THE COURT: Received. 19
- 20 (Government's Exhibit 410-2 received in evidence)
- Q. And I want to direct your attention on this 485 for 21
- 22 Mr. Brodjik to Part 2, and if you could look at what box is
- 23 checked in Part 2 and explain, if you know, why that box is
- 24 checked.
- 25 B, that's a part where the spouse and the children go.

- 1 Q. That's a part where the spouse and the children go?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So for Mr. Brodjik, do you know whether an I-140 was filed
- 4 | in connection with Lakewood Bakery?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 \mathbb{Q} . Why was -- do you know why an I-140 was not filed for
- 7 Mr. Brodjik?
- 8 \mid A. I-140 goes only to one person, the main person.
- 9 MR. PASTORE: And if we could publish that for the
- 10 | jury. And Mr. Dinet, could you bring up the second page, with
- 11 | the -- you know what, if we can just switch to the Elmo,
- 12 | because I think the box is blotted out.
- 13 | Q. Mr. Grynsztajn, I'll direct your attention to your screen.
- 14 | Apologize for the poor copy.
- Okay. Can you see that on your screen,
- 16 Mr. Grynsztajn?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- MR. PASTORE: And if we could focus in on --
- 19 underneath where it says Application Type -- appears to be a
- 20 | little bit out of focus.
- 21 Okay.
- 22 | Q. Okay. Box b, can you read what box b states.
- 23 A. "My spouse or parent applied for adjustment of status or
- 24 was granted lawful permanent residence in an immigrant visa
- 25 category that allows derivative status for spouses and

Dln1cib1 Gry

Grynsztajn - direct

844

1 children."

- 2 | Q. Okay. And so in your understanding that's a reference to
- 3 | the I-140 filed for Mrs. Brodjik; is that right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. If you could turn now to Government's 410-3.
- 6 What is Government's 410-3?
- 7 A. A request for additional information.
- 8 | Q. And from whom is this request sent?
- 9 A. USCIS.
- 10 | Q. How do you recognize it as such?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. How do you -- how do you recognize it as such?
- 13 A. I've seen them before.
- 14 | Q. And to whom did this particular document relate, if you can
- 15 | tell?
- 16 A. Mr. Brodjik.
- MR. PASTORE: Okay. Government offers 410-3.
- 18 MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- 19 (Government's Exhibit 410-3 received in evidence)
- 20 (Continued on next page)

21

22

23

24

```
1 BY MR. PASTORE:
```

- 2 | Q. As that's being published, I'm handing to the witness
- 3 | what's been marked for identification as 410-4.
- 4 Okay. Do you recognize that document?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Can you tell us what Government's 410-4 is?
- 7 A. Supplement A to the I-485.
- 8 Q. How do you recognize it as such?
- 9 A. I prepare many of them.
- 10 | Q. To whom does this document relate?
- 11 A. Mr. Brodjik.
- 12 | Q. Does it contain anything other than the supplement A?
- 13 A. Receipt.
- 14 | Q. And can you describe the receipt for us? I'm sorry. Let
- 15 me rephrase that.
- Do you recognize the receipt?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. How do you recognize it?
- 19 A. I seen it before.
- 20 | Q. And what type of a receipt is it?
- 21 A. It's receipt from immigration.
- 22 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 410-4.
- MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Received.
- 25 (Government's Exhibit 410-4 received in evidence)

MR. GERZOG: No objection.

THE COURT: Received.

22

23

24

25

(Government's Exhibit 14 received in evidence)

Q. And you said that Mr. Lev you also knew as Mr. Levit; is

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 | that right?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 30D which is a name 4 plate that says Alex Levit on it.
- Q. With respect to Mr. Brodjik, how often would you see him in the office?
- 7 MR. GERZOG: Time period, your Honor.
- 8 MR. PASTORE: Pardon?
- 9 THE COURT: He asked you to put a time period into 10 your question.
- Q. During the time -- you began working in the office in 2000;
- 12 | is that right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. I'm sorry, you began in 1999, you began preparing petitions
- 15 | in 2000; is that right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. When did Mr. Brodjik begin working in the office?
- 18 A. I think sometime 2003.
- 19 Q. Approximately when did you leave the office?
- 20 | A. I left in 2006.
- 21 Q. So between 2003, when Mr. Brodjik started working in the
- 22 | office, and 2006, when you left of the office, how often would
- 23 | you see Mr. Brodjik in the office?
- 24 A. Almost a daily basis.
- 25 | Q. When you saw Mr. Brodjik in the time period after Mr. David

25

sorry.

Grynsztajn - direct

```
left, in general why were you seeing Mr. Brodjik?
1
 2
               MR. GUTMAN: Objection to the form.
 3
               THE COURT: Why -- it doesn't work.
 4
          When you saw Mr. Brodjik, did you discuss anything with
5
     Mr. Brodjik?
6
      Α.
          Yes.
 7
          Did he ask you for anything?
8
     Α.
          Yes.
9
          What did he ask you for?
      Q.
10
     Α.
          For money for Mr. David.
11
          In general, what words did he use to ask you for money for
12
     Mr. David?
13
          "Do you have any gelt?"
      Α.
14
      Q.
          Any guilt?
15
               THE COURT: Gelt, G-E-L-T.
16
               MR. PASTORE: Thank you.
17
          I'm handing you what's been marked for identification as
18
      411, as Government's 411, 602, and 603.
19
               MR. DONALDSON: Judge, I'm sorry. The last word,
20
      gelt?
21
               THE WITNESS: Gelt, G-E-L-T.
22
               THE COURT: It's Yiddish for money, like Hanukkah
23
      gelt.
24
               MR. DONALDSON: You know, I should know that.
```

Grynsztajn – direct

- THE COURT: If you're hanging around New York long
 enough -- sometimes the Hanukkah gelt comes in little chocolate
 coins. Those are very good too.
 - MR. DONALDSON: Got it.
- 5 Q. All right. Mr. Grynsztajn, let's take a look at
- 6 Government's 411. Do you recognize that?
- 7 A. Yes.

- 8 Q. What is it?
- 9 A. It's a photograph of Mr. Brodjik.
- 10 Q. And does it fairly and accurately depict Mr. Brodjik as he
- 11 appeared in the time period 2003 to 2006 when you were working
- 12 | with him?
- 13 A. Yes.
- MR. PASTORE: Government offers 411.
- MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Received.
- 17 (Government's Exhibit 411 received in evidence)
- 18 MR. PASTORE: And if we could bring it up on the
- 19 | screen, and I'm also going to publish it to the jury by handing
- 20 | it to them.
- 21 | Q. Okay. Do you know where this picture was taken?
- 22 A. At the law office.
- 23 | Q. How do you know it was taken at the law office?
- 24 A. I recognize the books and where the computer was.
- 25 | Q. Okay. When you say you recognize where the computer is,

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 | tell us in this photo where the computer is?
- 2 A. That was in the conference room.
- 3 | Q. And where in this photograph, if you can just point to it,
- 4 where is the computer in this photograph?
- 5 A. It's on the left side with the plastic cover.
- 6 Q. It's with a plastic cover?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. In general, do you remember who used that computer?
- 9 | A. Mr. Flam.
- 10 | Q. When you say Mr. Flam, which Mr. Flam are you talking
- 11 | about?
- 12 A. Josh Flam.
- 13 | Q. Okay. So do you see the green light on the overhead
- 14 | projector?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Is that the computer you're referring to?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And behind Mr. Brodjik, you said there were law books. I'm
- 19 panning back and forth with the green light.
- 20 Are those the law bookings you're referring to?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. And you recognize this generally as the conference room; is
- 23 | that right?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Okay. If you can take a look at the next Government

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 | Exhibit, what exhibit are you holding in your hand there?
- 2 A. A photograph of Mr. Moin.
- 3 | Q. And what Government Exhibit number is that?
- 4 A. 602.
- 5 | Q. And who is Mr. Moin?
- 6 A. He worked together with Mr. David.
- 7 Q. And does it fairly and accurately depict Mr. Moin as you
- 8 knew him during your time at the law firm?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Government offers -- is it 602, Mr. Grynsztajn?
- 11 | A. 602.
- MR. PASTORE: Government offers 602.
- MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- 14 | (Government's Exhibit 602 received in evidence)
- THE COURT: How do you spell that name?
- 16 Q. Can you spell that name for us?
- 17 | A. M-O-I-N.
- 18 Q. M-O-I-N. And do you know what Mr. Moin's role was at the
- 19 | law firm, what he did at the law firm?
- 20 A. Filing labor cases, filing H1s.
- 21 | Q. What's an H1?
- 22 A. It's a working visa.
- 23 | Q. Is that -- is your understanding that that is the name of
- 24 | the visa associated with these labor certs that you were
- 25 | dealing with?

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 A. No.
- 2 \mathbb{Q} . Okay. What is the H1?
- 3 A. H1 is not a green card. It's people are allowed to work
- 4 | for three years, somebody with a bachelor's degree.
- 5 Q. So it's something different from the labor certification
- 6 process?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 MR. PASTORE: And if we could publish 602 to the jury.
- 9 Q. And then looking at 602, do you see, if you can take a look
- 10 at the overhead projector, and do you see where the laser
- 11 pointer is over the sort of head of Mr. Moin?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. What do you have up there, what are those?
- 14 A. Files.
- 15 | Q. And if we could go to 603, please.
- Do you recognize Government's 603?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. What is it?
- 19 A. Photograph of Mark Vago.
- 20 | Q. Does it fairly and accurately depict -- let me ask you, did
- 21 | you know Mark Vago from your time at the law office?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Do you know what his duties and responsibilities were at
- 24 | the law office?
- 25 A. Filing labor cases.

Grynsztajn - direct

853

- Q. You mentioned a name earlier, David Vago. Is Mark Vago in any way related to him?
- 3 A. Mark Vago is the son.
 - Q. It's David Vago's son?
 - A. Correct.

4

5

8

- Q. Does this picture fairly and accurately depict Mark Vago as you knew him during your time at the law firm?
 - A. Yes.
 - MR. PASTORE: Government offers 603.
- MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- 11 THE COURT: No objection. Received.
- 12 | (Government's Exhibit 603 received in evidence)
- MR. PASTORE: If we could bring it up on the screen,
- 14 please.
- Q. All right. Looking at Government's 603, can you actually
- 16 tell where this picture was taken?
- 17 A. This is Mr. David's office.
- 18 | Q. It was in Mr. David's office?
- 19 A. Yeah.
- 20 | Q. Do you see behind Mr. Vago's head, can you tell us do you
- 21 see where the laser pointer is, Mr. Grynsztajn?
- 22 A. Yeah, folders.
- 23 Q. Okay, the folders?
- 24 | A. Yeah.
- 25 | Q. Are you referring to where I have the laser pointer?

D1NLCIB2

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. How was it that you came -- well, do you know how
- 3 | the government got these photos?
- 4 A. I'm not sure.
- 5 | Q. Well, were they ever in your possession?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Did you provide them to the government?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And how did you come to have possession of these
- 10 | photographs?
- 11 | A. Somebody gave it to me. I'm not sure.
- 12 | Q. Somebody gave it to you, and was that someone associated
- 13 | with the law firm, friend?
- 14 A. When I was working there, somebody, one of the coworkers,
- 15 somebody took the picture and gave it to me.
- 16 | Q. Okay. And so you had these pictures in your possession; is
- 17 | that right?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. I want to turn now to an individual named Avinash Dev, are
- 20 you familiar with that individual?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. How are you familiar with that individual?
- 23 A. I seen this case in the office.
- 24 | Q. Under what circumstances have you seen his case?
- 25 A. We did some work on his case.

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 | Q. You did some work on his case?
- 2 A. I assisted him.
- 3 | Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what's been marked for
- 4 | identification as 1106-5, -6, -8, -1, and -10.
- 5 Starting with the first document, 1106-5, do you
- 6 | recognize that document?
- 7 A. Yes, it's a cover letter.
- 8 Q. Does the cover letter have a date on it?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Does the cover letter mention a particular name?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. What name does it mention?
- 13 A. Avinash Dev.
- 14 | Q. Does it mention a particular company?
- 15 A. Baker's Delight.
- 16 | Q. Are you familiar with that company?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. How are you familiar with that company?
- 19 A. I had cases before with that company.
- 20 | Q. Meaning you used it to sponsor your clients?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Can you tell from looking at this cover letter whether
- 23 there is a name in the signature block?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. What name is appears there?

5

6

7

8

9

10

```
1 A. Mr. David's.
```

2 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1106-5.

3 THE COURT: Received.

(Government's Exhibit 1106-5 received in evidence)

MR. PASTORE: If we could publish it to the jury.

Q. Okay. So looking at this cover letter, it says please find enclosed I-140/I-485 petition with supporting documentation.

So you mentioned yesterday at one point the I-140 and the I-485 could be filed together; is that right?

- A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And do you see where it says case type, concurrent filing,
- is that what that is a reference to?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. If we could go to Government's 1106-6. Can you tell us
- 15 | what that is?
- 16 A. That's a labor ETA 750A.
- 17 | Q. To whom does it relate?
- 18 A. Dev Avinash.
- 19 | Q. How do you recognize it as an ETA 750A?
- 20 A. I've seen it before.
- 21 Q. Does it have a part B associated with it?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1106-6.
- 24 MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- 25 THE COURT: Received.

- 1 (Government's Exhibit 1106-6 received in evidence)
- 2 Q. And while that's being published to the jury, if you could
- 3 | look at 1106-8 and tell us what that is?
- 4 \parallel A. I-140 petition.
- 5 | Q. To whom does it relate?
- 6 A. Dev Avinash.
- 7 | Q. And how do you recognize it as an I-140 petition?
- 8 A. I've seen them before.
- 9 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1106-8.
- 10 THE COURT: Received.
- 11 (Government's Exhibit 1106-8 received in evidence)
- 12 Q. So I want to stay on 1106-6 for a moment.
- Baker's Delight, do you see where it says name of
- 14 | employer on this document, Baker's Delight, and then it's got
- 15 | an address?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. What's the address that's listed there?
- 18 A. 225 2nd Street.
- 19 | Q. In Lakewood, New Jersey; is that right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. Do you recognize that address as being associated with any
- 22 | other companies that we've discussed yesterday or today?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Do you remember what companies?
- 25 A. I believe Lakewood Bakery.

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 | Q. Now let's take a look at and publish 1106-8, please.
- 2 As you're looking at 1106-8, can you tell us if it's
- 3 | dated, Mr. Grynsztajn?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. What's the date on it?
- 6 A. 8/26/02.
- 7 Q. And now if you look at what's on the screen, part 1, where
- 8 | it says Baker's Delight, what's the address listed now for
- 9 Baker's Delight on this application?
- 10 | A. 1501 Pine Park Avenue.
- 11 | Q. Do you recognize that address as being associated with any
- 12 other companies we discussed yesterday or today?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Do you remember what companies?
- 15 | A. Lakewood Bakery, My Favorite Bakery, Abe's Vending.
- 16 Q. If we can go to Government 1106-1, please, and if you could
- 17 | tell the jury what that document is, how you recognize it, and
- 18 | to whom it relates.
- 19 A. That's I-485 application for adjustment of status.
- 20 Q. How do you recognize it as such?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. How do you recognize it as such?
- 23 | A. I prepare many of them.
- 24 | Q. To whom does this particular I-485 relate?
- 25 A. Dev Avinash.

- 1 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1106-1.
- THE COURT: Received.
- 3 (Government's Exhibit 1106-1 received in evidence)
- 4 | Q. And looking at 1106-10, I believe it's two-page document,
- 5 | if you could remove it from the sleeve and tell us what it is.
- 6 MR. PASTORE: And, in the meantime, if we could
- 7 | publish 1106-1, please.
- 8 Q. Okay. Looking for a moment at 1106-1, you said that
- 9 | relates to Avinash Dev. Can you tell that from the part 1
- 10 | information?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And is this particular document dated on the last page?
- 13 | A. Yes, 8/20/02.
- 14 | Q. Okay. If we go now to Government's 1106-10, which I
- 15 | believe you've removed from the plastic sleeve, can you tell us
- 16 what each those documents are, if you recognize them?
- 17 A. G-28s.
- 18 \parallel Q. To whom do each of those G-28s relate, if you can tell?
- 19 | A. One to Baker's Delight, one to Avinash Dev.
- 20 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1106-10.
- 21 MR. GERZOG: Without objection.
- 22 THE COURT: Received.
- 23 (Government's Exhibit 1106-10 received in evidence)
- Q. While that's being published to the jury, I'm going to hand
- 25 you 1106-7, 1106-3, and 1106-2.

1106-7 is a multipage document so I'll ask you to remove it from the sleeve.

Okay. Looking at the first page of 1106-7, can you tell us what it is and how you recognize it?

- A. It's a cover letter.
- Q. Does it relate to a particular individual?
- 7 | A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

- 8 | Q. To whom does relate?
- 9 A. Dev Avinash.
- 10 | Q. And how do you recognize it as a cover letter?
- 11 | A. I've seen them before.
- 12 | Q. That's the first page of 1106-7, right?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 $\mid Q$. What is the second page of 1106-7?
- 15 A. It's a experience letter.
- 16 Q. How do you recognize it as such?
- 17 A. I seen letters like this before.
- 18 | Q. Looking at this experience letter, can you tell how whether
- 19 | it was prepared at the law firm, and if so, why or why not?
- 20 A. It was not done in the office.
- 21 | Q. What makes you say it was not done in the office?
- 22 | A. The way it's prepared, the wording of it, and the layout,
- 23 | totally different.
- 24 | Q. Okay. And if we could go now to 1106-7, the next page,
- 25 please. What is that page?

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 A. That's tax returns.
- 2 | Q. Tax returns for what company or individual?
- 3 A. My Favorite Bakery.
- 4 | Q. It's from My Favorite Bakery?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Is there a DBA listed on the tax returns?
- 7 A. Yes, Baker's Delight.
- 8 Q. Have you seen these tax returns before?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Where have you seen them?
- 11 A. The office.
- 12 | Q. And do you know how many years of tax returns are included
- 13 | in 1106-7?
- 14 A. Two years.
- 15 | Q. What two years?
- 16 A. 2000 and '98.
- MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1106-7.
- 18 MR. GERZOG: Without objection.
- 19 THE COURT: Received.
- 20 (Government's Exhibit 1106-7 received in evidence)
- 21 MR. PASTORE: If we could publish 1106-7. And I want
- 22 | to go, please, to the second page.
- 23 Q. I'm sorry, Mr. Grynsztajn, did you say there were two years
- 24 of tax returns or are there three years of tax returns in
- 25 | 1106-7?

D1NLCIB2 Grynsztajn - direct

862

1 Α. Sorry, there's three.

- 2 Three years, okay. And what -- are the three years '98, Q.
- 3 '99, and 2000?
- 4 Yeah, '99 I missed. Α.
- 5 Is this the experience letter that you were discussing?
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 If the experience letter -- let me ask you this.
- 8 have instances in which you had clients who did not need
- 9 experience letters provided for them by the firm?
- 10 Α. Correct.
- 11 In those circumstances, did they ever tell you how they got
- 12 the experience letters?
- 13 They said they would get it from their country. Α.
- 14 Q. And did the clients in fact provide you with experience
- letters that they had gotten from their country? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 I want to turn now to the next exhibit that's before you.
- 18 Go ahead and pick it up. It should be 1106-3. Do you
- 19 recognize it?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 What is it? Q.
- 22 Α. It's an employment letter.
- 23 It's an employment letter? 0.
- It's a job offer letter. I'm sorry. 24 Α.
- 25 So 1106-3 is a job offer letter?

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Does it relate to a particular individual?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Who does it relate to?
- 5 A. Avinash Dev.
- 6 Q. Does it mention a particular company?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What company does it mention?
- 9 A. Oneg Bakery.
- 10 Q. And from looking at this, can you tell whether the fake job
- 11 offer letter was prepared in the office?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And was it prepared in the office?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. What makes you say that?
- 16 A. Because I know who provided the company.
- 17 | Q. Who provided the company?
- 18 A. Mr. Teitelbaum.
- 19 MR. PASTORE: Okay. Government offers 1106-3.
- 20 MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Received.
- 22 | (Government's Exhibit 1106-3 received in evidence)
- 23 MR. PASTORE: And if we could display it. Mr. Dinet,
- 24 can we do three documents at the same time? No. Just two. It
- 25 we can display it with 1113-5 side by side.

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 Q. Aside from knowing that the Oneg Bakery was one of
- 2 Mr. Teitelbaum's companies, was there anything else about this
- 3 | letter that tells you it was prepared at the law firm?
- 4 A. The layout, the wording.
- 5 \ Q. The layout and the wording?
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 | Q. Okay. And with respect to the signature, do you see the
- 8 | name Chaim Walter in the signature block?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Is that name in any way familiar to you?
- 11 A. Mr. Teitelbaum told me that's his friend.
- 12 | Q. All right. Now I'd like to turn to 1106-2, please. Do you
- 13 recognize that document?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Please tell us what it is.
- 16 A. It's a job offer letter.
- 17 | Q. Does it relate to a particular individual?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Who does it relate to?
- 20 A. Avinash Dev.
- 21 | Q. And does it relate to a particular company.
- 22 A. Oneg Bakery.
- 23 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1106-2.
- 24 MR. GERZOG: Without objection.
- 25 THE COURT: Received.

Grynsztajn - direct

865

- (Government's Exhibit 1106-2 received in evidence) 1
- MR. PASTORE: And if we could publish 1106-2 again 2 3 side by side with 1113-5.
 - Looking at 1106-2, can you tell how it was prepared? Q.
 - It's prepared in the office.
- And what makes you say it was prepared in the office? 6 0.
- 7 I seen this letter being prepared many times.
- Is that, on the left, is that Oneg Bakery letter 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 that you were just describing?
- 10 A. Yes.

4

- 11 I'm handing you what's been marked for identification as
- Government's 3036. And it's I believe been admitted into 12
- 13 evidence already.
- 14 Can you tell us do you recognize this, if so, what is
- 15 it?
- It's a file folder. 16
- 17 When you met with clients, did you maintain documents
- related to those clients? 18
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 How did you maintain those documents? 0.
- 21 Α. File folders.
- 22 Can you describe in general what the file folders looked
- like? 23
- 24 Legal size, different colors. Α.
- 25 Legal size and different colors?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And what do you have before you as Government's 3036?
- 3 A. I have a green folder, legal size.
- 4 | Q. I'm sorry, did you say?
- 5 A. A green folder, legal size.
- 6 Q. And have you seen these types of folders before?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Where have you seen them?
- 9 A. In the office.
- 10 Q. And so who does this appear to you to be?
- 11 A. A client's file.
- 12 | Q. Can you tell what client it relates to?
- 13 A. Dev Avinash.
- 14 | Q. If we can go to what's been marked inside, if you can open
- 15 | the client file. If we can go to 3036-1, which has already
- 16 been admitted into evidence.
- MR. PASTORE: If we could publish it on the screen,
- 18 please.
- 19 \parallel Q. Mr. Grynsztajn, can you tell us what 3036-1 is?
- 20 | A. It's a request from New Jersey Department of Labor for
- 21 additional information.
- 22 | Q. Okay. Can you tell us -- this is not the U.S. Department
- 23 of Labor, this is the New Jersey Department of Labor?
- 24 A. Yeah, this is the local level.
- 25 Q. At the local level?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. In your experience, why did the local level get involved in
- 3 | the application process?
- 4 A. That's how the system work. First the local department,
- 5 | then they would transfer.
- 6 Q. I couldn't hear you.
- 7 A. First the local department, the local Department of Labor,
- 8 then they would transfer to the U.S. Department of Labor which
- 9 make the final determination.
- 10 | Q. And you had mentioned earlier in your testimony I believe
- 11 | yesterday that sometimes resumes would be routed to the
- Department of Labor; do you remember that testimony?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. What Department of Labor were you referring to, the local
- 15 | level or the U.S. Department of Labor?
- 16 A. Sometimes it would be both.
- 17 | Q. Sometimes both?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Why don't you take a look at 3036-2, and if we could bring
- 20 | that up on the screen. What is 3036-2?
- 21 A. It's a request for more information from the Department of
- 22 Labor.
- 23 | Q. I'm sorry, do you see what's on the screen right now?
- 24 A. It's a cover letter.
- 25 Q. Okay. And that's 3032-2?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And do you see it says, Please be advised that there were
- 3 no response to the posted notice which was on company bulletin
- 4 board.
- 5 Let me ask you, what is your understanding of a notice
- on a company bulletin board, are you familiar at all with that?
- 7 A. Yes. There was a requirement that the company should have
- 8 | a posted notice for ten days that they're looking for a worker.
- 9 Q. So the company was supposed to post internally the job
- 10 offer; is that right?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. And then the reference to there was no response to
- 13 newspaper advertisement, are you familiar with newspaper
- 14 | advertisements being used in this process at all, if so, how?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | O. How?
- 17 A. It has to be advertised for three days a week.
- 18 | Q. Just having a little difficulty hearing you so if you could
- 19 lean up.
- 20 Let's look at the next page of this document, please.
- 21 Do you recognize what this is?
- 22 A. It's a notice of job offer.
- 23 \ Q. And how -- are you familiar with how these notice of job
- 24 | offers would be used in the process?
- 25 A. It's supposed to be posted at the employment place.

- Q. So this is a copy of what's supposed to be posted in the
- 2 place of employment?
- 3 A. Correct.
- Q. And the next page, please. If you could continue on. Next page.
- All right. What are we looking at here?
- 7 A. It's a copy of the advertisement.
 - Q. So yesterday you testified about tear sheets. Is this what
- 9 you were referring to?
- 10 | A. Yes.

- 11 Q. If we could look at the next page, please. And is this --
- 12 | what is this, a second advertisement?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And one more page. Finally, what do we have here?
- 15 \parallel A. The third one.
- 16 Q. And what was your understanding of how many times the job
- 17 | was required to be advertised in the paper?
- 18 A. Three times.
- 19 \parallel Q. If we can look at 3036-3 now, please. What is this?
- 20 | A. It's a letter of finding from the U.S. Department of Labor.
- 21 | Q. Are you familiar with these based on your experience at the
- 22 | law firm?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. In general, what would these notice of findings relate to?
- 25 A. Usually get a denial and they give you like a certain

- 1 | amount of time to respond to it.
- 2 Q. Would the law firm ever respond to denials?
- 3 A. Oh, yeah, many times.
 - Q. Let's take a look at Government's 3036-4.
- 5 MR. PASTORE: And before I move on, sorry, Mr. Dinet.
 - Q. The date on this letter, do you see it's March 6, 2002?
 - A. Correct.

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. Now let's look at 3036-4. Okay. First, what's the date on this letter?
- 10 A. May 1, '02.
- 11 Q. So after the U.S. Department of Labor document we were just
- 12 | looking at, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. And what do you recognize what this document is?
- 15 A. It's a cover letter.
- 16 | Q. And in particular where it says, Please be advised that we
- 17 | did not receive resumes from the USDOL. We also checked with
- 18 employer and he did not receive resumes. The resumes were not
- 19 | sent by certified mail, so there is no proof that letters were
- 20 actually sent to out to this office nor the employer. As such,
- 21 we are respectfully requesting your office to grant the
- 22 permission to readvertise again.
- 23 Are you familiar with letters such as these?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. What is this letter?

A. The labor department was intending to deny the case. He's saying there's no proof that they send any resume to the

office, so he ask permission don't deny the case but let us

- 4 advertise again.

- 5 Q. Are you familiar -- I'm want to turn now to an individual
- 6 | named Imran Sadiq. Are you familiar with Imran Sadiq?
- 7 A. He was a client of mine.
- 8 Q. And what type of client was he, in other words, what type
- 9 of applications, if any, did you file for him?
- 10 A. Labor case.
- 11 | Q. Okay. Going to be handing you several documents. For
- 12 defense counsel it's marked Government's 1114-20, -3, -13, -4,
- 13 \parallel -2, -16, -17, -19, and -8.
- 14 All right. Starting with the first document, which is
- 15 \parallel -20, do you recognize that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. What is it?
- 18 A. It's a cover letter.
- 19 Q. Does it relate to a particular individual?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. To whom does it relate?
- 22 A. Imran Sadiq.
- 23 | Q. Does it mention a particular company?
- 24 A. My Favorite Bakery.
- 25 | Q. And do you -- how do you recognize this as a cover letter?

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 A. I seen many before.
- 2 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1114-20.
- 3 THE COURT: Received.
- 4 (Government's Exhibit 1114-20 received in evidence)
- Q. Okay. Let's take 1114-4 and -13 together while -20 is being published, please.
- 7 Starting with -4, can you tell us what that is?
 - A. ETA form 750A.

8

- O. Who does it relate to?
- 10 A. Shohel Mohammed.
- 11 \mathbb{Q} . And what about -13, what is that?
- 12 A. That's a ETA B form.
- 13 | Q. Who does that relate to?
- 14 A. Sadiq Imran.
- 15 | Q. Are you familiar with both of these because you've seen
- 16 | them many times before in your practice at the Earl David or
- 17 | work at the Earl David law office?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1114-4 and -13.
- 20 Q. Before we publish those, I just want to look at the cover letter.
- Do you see where it says Imran Sadiq and then in parentheses it says replacing Mohammed Shohel?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. What's going on there?

- 1 A. This mean the company is withdrawing the case, they're
- 2 | withdrawing Mr. Shohel Mohammed, and replacing him with
- 3 Mr. Imran Sadiq.
- 4 | Q. Are these one of the substitutions you've previously
- 5 | identified for us?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} . Let's publish -4 and -13. What's going on, why is there an
- 8 ETA part 750 for Mohammed Shohel and then a part B for Imran
- 9 | Sadiq?
- 10 A. Mr. Sadiq was replacing Mr. Shohel, so there was a need for
- 11 | the new ETA B forms.
- 12 | Q. And with respect to Government's 1114-3, can you tell us
- 13 | what that is?
- 14 A. A letter that the case had been certified by the Department
- 15 of Labor.
- 16 | Q. Labor certification relating to who?
- 17 A. Mohammed Shohel.
- 18 | Q. Is that the original labor certification related with
- 19 | Government's 1114-4?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1114-3.
- 22 THE COURT: Received.
- 23 (Government's Exhibit 1114-3 received in evidence)
- MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- 25 | Q. Let's take a look at Government's 1114-2. What's that

D1NLCIB2 Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 | document?
- 2 A. It's G-28.
- 3 Q. Who does it relate to?
- 4 A. Imran Sadiq.
- 5 Q. So that's one G-28 related to Imran Sadiq, what about the
- 6 second one?
- 7 A. My Favorite Bakery.
- 8 Q. Are both of those G-28s dated?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. What's the date?
- 11 | A. January 15, '03.
- 12 | Q. So that's the same date as the cover letter that we were
- 13 | just discussing that's Government's -20?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1114-2.
- 16 | Q. So now if you could draw your attention to 1114-16. Tell
- 17 | us what that document is, how you recognize it, and to whom it
- 18 relates?
- 19 | A. I-140 petition.
- 20 | Q. How do you recognize it?
- 21 A. I seen it before.
- 22 | Q. And to whom does it relate?
- 23 A. Sadiq Imran.
- 24 | Q. Company listed?
- 25 A. My Favorite Bakery.

- 1 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1114-16.
- 2 MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- 3 | THE COURT: Received.
- 4 (Government's Exhibit 1114-16 received in evidence)
- 5 MR. PASTORE: If we can publish that document.
- 6 Q. Okay. What's the address listed here for My Favorite
- 7 Bakery?
- 8 A. 225 2nd Street.
- 9 Q. And if you could go to -- is this document signed by anyone
- 10 | and dated?
- 11 MR. PASTORE: If we could flip to the second page on
- 12 | the screen, please.
- 13 | Q. Whose name appears in the top signature block?
- 14 A. Avraham Flam.
- 15 | Q. And whose name appears in the second signature block?
- 16 A. Mr. David.
- 17 | Q. What date are we dealing with here?
- 18 A. January 15, '03.
- 19 | Q. Now if you look at Government 1114-17. Can you tell us
- 20 | what that is?
- 21 A. That's application for adjustment of status.
- 22 | Q. To whom does relate?
- 23 A. Sadiq Imran.
- 24 | Q. Is it dated?
- 25 A. January 15, '03.

- 1 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1114-17.
- 2 | Q. Now if you could draw your --
- 3 THE COURT: Received.
- 4 (Government's Exhibit 1114-7 received in evidence)
- 5 Q. Now if you could draw your attention to Government's 1114-8
 - and -19. Could you tell us what each of those documents are or
- 7 | is?

- 8 A. Tax returns.
 - Q. Related to what company or individual?
- 10 A. My Favorite Bakery.
- 11 | Q. What years are the tax returns for?
- 12 | A. 2000 and '98.
- 13 | Q. And are these the same tax returns that we've previously
- 14 discussed in connection with other clients here today?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 MR. PASTORE: Government offers -8 and -19.
- 17 THE COURT: Received.
- 18 | (Government's Exhibits 1114-8, 1114-19 received in
- 19 | evidence)
- 20 Q. Okay. While those are being published on the screen, I'm
- 21 going to hand you what's been marked for identification as
- 22 || Government's 1114-9, -1, -6, -10 and -7.
- 23 Starting with 1114-9, do you recognize that document?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- Q. What is it?

Grynsztajn - direct

It's a cover letter. 1 Α.

- To whom does it relate? Q.
- 3 Sadiq Imran. Α.

D1NLCIB2

2

- Is there a date on the cover letter? Q.
- 5 Α. September 23, '03.
- MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1114-9. 6
- 7 MR. GERZOG: Without objection.
- THE COURT: Received. 8
- (Government's Exhibit 1114-9 received in evidence) 9
- 10 MR. PASTORE: If we could publish this cover letter on
- 11 the screen.
- 12 Can you tell us looking at this cover letter who at the law
- 13 firm prepared this cover letter?
- 14 I would say this would be prepared by Maritza Diaz. Α.
- What makes you say this cover letter would be prepared by 15 Q.
- Maritza Diaz? 16
- 17 She was only one in the office that would list all the
- 18 documents the way they were being sent.
- So it's the listing of the documents? 19 Q.
- 20 Correct. Α.
- 21 Q. And how do you know that Maritza Diaz would list documents
- 22 like this?
- 23 A. Because I seen them before.
- 24 Q. And in what context did you see it, why did you see a cover
- 25 letter from Maritza Diaz?

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 A. When she had some deadlines for my clients.
- 2 Q. So she personally prepared cover letters for you?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. So let's take a look at 1114-1. It's a two-page exhibit.
- If you could tell us what each page is and to whom it
- 6 | relates?
- 7 A. It's G-28s. One is for Mr. Sadiq. And one is for My
- 8 | Favorite Bakery.
- 9 Q. Are they dated?
- 10 A. September 23, '03.
- 11 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1114-1.
- MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Received.
- 14 | (Government's Exhibit 1114-1 received in evidence)
- 15 | Q. Let's take a look at 1114-10. Do you recognize that?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. What is it and to whom does it relate?
- 18 A. Mr. Sadiq.
- 19 \parallel Q. And what is it?
- 20 | A. It's I-140 petition.
- 21 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1114-10.
- 22 MR. GERZOG: Without objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Received.
- 24 | (Government's Exhibit 1114-10 received in evidence)
- 25 | Q. Looking at Government's 1114-6. Do you recognize it?

Grynsztajn - direct

879

1 Α. Yes.

What is it? 2 Q.

D1NLCIB2

- Application for adjustment of status, I-485. 3 Α.
- To whom does it relate? 4 Q.
- 5 Α. Sadiq Imran.
- MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1114-6. 6
- 7 MR. GERZOG: Without objection.
- THE COURT: Received. 8
- 9 (Government's Exhibit 1114-6 received in evidence)
- 10 Q. All right. So the dates on the I-140, if you look at 11 page 2, if we could bring it up on the screen.
- What's the date on the I-140, and then on page 4 of 12 13 the I-485, what is the date on that?
- 14 A. I-485 is --
- It's Government's 1114-10. I'm sorry, it's the third page 15 Ο.
- of the I-140. What's the date here? 16
- 17 September 23, '03. Α.
- 18 Q. So do I understand correctly that both the I-140 and I-485
- are dated September 23, 2003? 19
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Take a look at Government's 1114-7. Do you recognize it? Q.
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 0. What is it?
- 24 It's a job offer letter. Α.
- 25 To whom does it relate? Q.

D1NLCIB2

Grynsztajn - direct

880

1 A. Imran Sadiq.

- 2 | Q. Is there a particular company listed for this job offer
- 3 | letter?
- 4 A. Benny's Kosher Cafe.
- 5 | Q. Looking at this job offer letter, can you tell if it was
- 6 prepared in the law office, and if so, how?
- 7 A. It was prepared in the office.
- 8 Q. What makes you say that?
- 9 A. Same layout, same wording.
- 10 Q. Is there anything else about this particular document that
- 11 | makes you believe it was prepared at the law office?
- 12 A. The stationery.
- 13 | Q. What do you mean by the stationery?
- 14 A. This paper, I usually kept it in my office.
- 15 | Q. You kept that kind of paper stock in your office?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1114-7.
- 18 MR. GERZOG: Without objection.
- 19 | THE COURT: Received.
- 20 (Government's Exhibit 1114-7 received in evidence)
- 21 MR. PASTORE: If we could publish it.
- 22 | Q. Let me just show you what's been marked as Government's
- 23 | 3022. It's two pages. Do you recognize 3022?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. What is it?

Grynsztajn - direct

1 Α. Stationery.

D1NLCIB2

- And how do you recognize that stationery? Q.
- 3 Something I kept in the office. Α.
 - It's stationery you kept in the office? Q.
- 5 Α. Yes.

2

- MR. PASTORE: Government offers 3022. 6
- 7 MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- THE COURT: Received. 8
- 9 (Government's Exhibit 3022 received in evidence)
- 10 MR. PASTORE: Your Honor, with the Court's permission,
- 11 I'll publish 1114-7 and 3022 by passing them to the jury.
- 12 I'm handing you what's been marked for identification but
- 13 not yet admitted as Government's 3035.
- 14 Do you recognize that?
- 15 Α. It's a folder like we kept in the office.
- You mean one of the client files that you kept in the 16
- 17 office?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 To whom does this particular client file relate? Q.
- 20 Sadiq Imran. Α.
- 21 And does it appear to be in the same or substantially the Q.
- 22 same condition as when you saw it in the office?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 3035 and the contents
- 25 therein.

- 1 MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- THE COURT: Received.
- 3 (Government's Exhibit 3035 received in evidence)
- 4 MR. PASTORE: If we look at 3035-1, please, and if we
- 5 bring it up on the screen.
- Q. Why don't you go ahead and take it out of the plastic
- 7 | sleeve. Okay. What is Government's 3035-1?
- A. G-28, you have the I-485 application for adjustment of status.
- 10 | Q. That's the second page, the I-485?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Let me ask you, whose handwriting appears, if we could go
- 13 | to the next page, whose handwriting appears here?
- 14 A. That's mine.
- 15 | Q. Okay. And if we could go to the next page of this
- 16 document, your handwriting again?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Page 4, please. Page 5.
- Okay. Before you testified here today, do you see the
- 20 signature Imran Sadiq and the date on here, what's the date on
- 21 here?
- 22 | A. January 15, '03.
- 23 | Q. Is that the same date or different date than what appears
- 24 \parallel in the I-485 I previously showed you?
- 25 A. Same date.

- 1 | Q. Why don't we continue on --
- MR. GERZOG: Your Honor, he said '03. It looks to me,
- 3 | anyway, like '07.
- THE COURT: I would tend to agree with you. I would
- 5 agree with counsel.
- 6 MR. GERZOG: So.
- 7 THE COURT: That looks like a seven.
- 8 MR. GERZOG: So I would object to the testimony that
- 9 | it's '03. Ask that it be stricken.
- 10 | THE COURT: Why don't we look at some of the other
- 11 dates on the document.
- 12 MR. PASTORE: Okay.
- 13 | Q. Why don't we go ahead to the next page. What are we
- 14 | looking at here?
- 15 \parallel A. I-765, work authorization.
- 16 Q. It's for work authorization, you said?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. Does your handwriting appear here?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. If we go down to the date on this particular document, can
- 21 | you make out this date?
- 22 | A. January 15, '03.
- 23 Q. Okay. All right. Let's go on to the next page, please.
- 24 What are we looking at here?
- 25 A. I-131, application for travel document.

- 1 MR. DONALDSON: I what?
- THE WITNESS: 131. 2
- 3 You said it's an application for travel document? 0.
- Correct. 4 Α.
- 5 And, again, it's your handwriting; is that right?
- Α. 6 Yes.
- 7 If we could back out, zoom out of the document. Okay.
- 8 Next page, please.
- 9 What are we looking at here?
- 10 Α. G325A.
- 11 Q. Remind us, you talked about this yesterday, but remind us
- 12 what a G325A is?
- 13 Biographic information. Α.
- 14 And, again, whose handwriting appears in this document? Q.
- 15 Α. Mine.
- 16 If we could go to the next page. What are we looking at
- 17 here?
- 18 It's approval for visa extension.
- 19 So this is this something -- who would send this document Q.
- 20 to you?
- 21 Immigration. Α.
- 22 And the last couple pages of this document, please. Q.
- 23 Copy of a passport. Α.
- 24 Would copies of passports or other identification documents
- 25 be required to be submitted along with immigration

D1NLCIB2 Grynsztajn - direct 1 applications? 2 Yes. Α. 3 Q. All right. If we could go on to the next exhibit, please, 3035-2.4 5 THE COURT: Can we just go back and resolve the seven versus three issue? 6 7 MR. PASTORE: Certainly. THE COURT: That was the point, right? 8 9 MR. PASTORE: Certainly. 10 Q. Mr. Grynsztajn, having looked at these documents, do you 11 remember before we were talking about is it a seven or a three? What's your understanding, what is your view of what's written 12 13 there? 14 Α. Three. 15 Q. Three. Okay. MR. GERZOG: Your Honor, there's another place on the 16 17 document that again to my eye --18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. GERZOG: -- looks like a seven, so I don't know if 20 you would go back to the document. 21 THE COURT: Is there a date on the document that came 22 from the government that's part of this file, the visa

document may be helpful in sort of resolving or shedding some

MR. PASTORE: Well, actually, I think the next

23

24

25

extension document?

D1NLCIB2

Grynsztajn - direct

- light on this. If we could bring up the next document on the screen, 3035-2.
- 3 | Q. What's the date of this cover letter?
- 4 A. January 15, '03.
- 5 | Q. Okay. And did you compare this cover letter to the cover
- 6 | letter that I previously showed you that was admitted into
- 7 | evidence?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And how did they compare?
- 10 | A. Same.
- 11 | Q. And this cover letter, do you see where it says, Dear
- 12 | sir/madam, and then it says please find enclosed I-140/I-485
- 13 petition?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Are there any other pages with respect to this document?
- 16 | A. No.
- 17 \parallel Q. Let's move on to 3035-3, please. Can you tell us what this
- 18 document is?
- 19 A. That's labor ETA 750B form.
- 20 Q. And have you compared this document to the document that I
- 21 | previously showed you, the part B we previously were
- 22 | discussing?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. How do they compare?
- 25 A. Same.

- 1 Q. And if you could turn to the next page of this document,
- 2 | look at the date and tell us if you can make out the date
- 3 | there?
- 4 | A. 1/15/03.
- 5 Q. Government's 3035-4, please. Can you tell us what this
- 6 document is?
- 7 | A. It's a G-28.
- 8 | Q. And who does it appear on the bottom, whose name appears in
- 9 the signature block in the very bottom, please, at the very
- 10 | bottom, please?
- 11 A. Abraham Flam.
- 12 | Q. And what's the date on this document?
- 13 | A. 1/15/03.
- 14 Q. Government's 3035-5, please. What is this document?
- 15 | A. It's a I-140 petition.
- 16 | Q. And does it -- is there a particular name on this document
- 17 | somewhere?
- 18 A. My Favorite Bakery.
- 19 | Q. And what about part 3, if we scroll down a little bit.
- 20 A. Sadiq Imran.
- 21 \parallel Q. Have you compared this I-140 to the I-140 that we
- 22 previously were discussing?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And how do they compare?
- 25 A. The same.

- Q. And if you look at the third page of this document, in the signature block, is there a date?
- 3 | A. 1/15/03.
- 4 $\mid Q$. And if you look at 3035-6, can you tell us what that is?
- 5 | A. It's a G-28.
- 6 Q. And what's the next page?
- 7 | A. G-28.
- 8 MR. PASTORE: I'm sorry, the next page, please. It's 9 not loaded. If we can switch to the overhead for a minute.
- 10 Q. And do you have 3035-6 in front of you, Mr. Grynsztajn?
- 11 Because I want to make sure what's on the overhead is what
- 12 you're seeing.
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Can you describe what the second page looks like for us?
- 15 A. It's I-485, application for adjustment.
- 16 | Q. Okay. And if you can go to the last page of the I-485
- 17 | application for adjustment, is there a signature there?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Whose signature appears there?
- 20 A. Imran Sadiq.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And can you make out the date on this document?
- 22 | A. January 15, '03.
- 23 MR. PASTORE: With the Court's permission, your Honor,
- 24 | I'd like to publish to the jury by handing it to them --
- 25 unfortunately, we don't have it and the overhead -- 1114-17,

- which is the I-485 previously admitted, together with Government's 3035 that the witness has.
- 3 THE COURT: Okay.
- 4 Q. Mr. Grynsztajn, did you compare the signature that appears
- 5 on 3035-6 with the signature that appears on 1114-17?
- 6 | A. Yes.

- Q. What if anything did you determine?
- 8 A. They're the same.
- 9 MR. DONALDSON: I'm sorry?
- 10 THE COURT: Signatures are the same.
- 11 Q. Okay. If we could finally look at 3035-7, do you recognize
- 12 | that?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. What is it?
- 15 A. It's a cover letter.
- 16 0. Is there a date on the cover letter?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. What's the date on the cover letter?
- 19 MR. PASTORE: And we could bring it up.
- 20 A. September 2, 2003.
- 21 MR. PASTORE: And do we have that? Let's bring that
 22 up on the overhead, please.
- Q. Okay. Do you see it says, Please be advised that it is
- 24 | impossible for us to provide your office with the original ETA
- 25 | 750 approval as it was originally sent to you with Mr. Shohel.

- 1 And then it says Ho is being replaced by the beneficiary.
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Do you have any idea who Ho is?
- 5 A. I believe he was a client of Ali Gomaa.
- 6 Q. But the beneficiary lists Imran Sadiq; is that right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 | Q. Are you familiar with an individual named Dina Abouzeid?
- 9 A. It was a client in the office.
- 10 | Q. Do you know what type of client she was?
- 11 A. She was from Morocco, I believe.
- 12 | Q. Okay. And do you know what type of application or
- 13 | applications were filed for Ms. Abouzeid?
- 14 A. I believe she got substitution case.
- 15 | Q. Do you remember the alien's name that she was substituted
- 16 | for?
- 17 A. Not offhand.
- 18 Q. Okay. 1112 series, -10, -9, -2, -8, -4, -3, and -6.
- Okay. Looking at 1112-10, do you recognize that?
- 20 A. Yes, it's a cover letter.
- 21 | Q. To whom does it relate?
- 22 A. Abouzeid.
- 23 | Q. Does it mention a particular company?
- 24 A. My Favorite Bakery.
- 25 | Q. And who is this cover letter -- is there a name in the

891 D1NLCIB2 Grynsztajn - direct

signature block? 1

- 2 Yes. Α.
- 3 What name is in the signature block?
- 4 David Klug. Α.
- 5 Are you familiar with David Klug?
- 6 Yes. Α.
- 7 Who is David Klug? Q.
- One of the attorneys in the office. 8
- 9 And are you familiar with what his role or duties and 0. 10 responsibilities were in the office?
- 11 Mostly to go to the interviews with people.
- 12 Q. How do you know that he went to interviews with people?
- 13 Because I referred clients to him. Α.
- 14 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1112-10.
- 15 MR. GERZOG: Without objection.
- THE COURT: Received. 16
- 17 (Government's Exhibit 1112-10 received in evidence)
- 18 MR. PASTORE: All right. If we could publish that
- 19 document.
- 20 Now, looking at this document, can you tell which O. Okav.
- alien Dina Abouzeid replaced? 21
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Ο. Which alien?
- 24 Α. Sadiq Imran.
- 25 And who had Imran Sadiq replaced?

- 1 A. Abouzeid, Dina.
- 2 | Q. I'm sorry, do you remember if Mr. Sadiq's application was
- 3 | also based on a substitution?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Do you remember what alien Mr. Sadiq was substituting for?
- 6 A. I think Mr. Mohammed Shohel.
- 7 | Q. Take a look at Government's 1112-9. Tell us what that is.
- 8 A. That's a ETA 750A form.
- 9 | Q. For who?
- 10 A. Shohel Mohammed.
- 11 Q. And what is Government's 1112-2?
- 12 | A. ETA 750B.
- 13 | 0. To whom does that relate?
- 14 A. Abouzeid, Dina.
- 15 MR. PASTORE: Government offers each of 1112-9 and -2.
- MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Received.
- 18 | (Government's Exhibits 1112-9, 1112-2 received in
- 19 | evidence)
- 20 MR. PASTORE: If we could use the overhead for a
- 21 | minute.
- 22 \parallel Q. 1112-9, is there a stamp on that document?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. What's your understanding of what that stamp is?
- 25 A. That the case was approved, certified by the U.S.

D1NLCIB2 Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 Department of Labor.
- 2 Q. What color or colors is this stamp?
- 3 A. Green and pink.
- 4 | Q. So what if anything -- so it's not black and white, in
- 5 | other words?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 | Q. So what if anything does that mean to you?
- 8 A. This one would be the original.
- 9 Q. Looking at the overhead, are you talking about the stamp
- 10 here where it says October 30, 2001?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 MR. PASTORE: Since it's difficult to see on the
- 13 | overhead, I'll ask the Court's permission to publish by passing
- 14 | it to the jury, 1112-9 to the jury.
- 15 | Q. And why was a part B prepared for Ms. Abouzeid but the
- 16 part A says Mohammed Shohel?
- 17 A. That's a requirement when you substitute somebody.
- 18 \mathbb{Q} . 1112-8, do you recognize that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | 0. What is it?
- 21 A. That's a labor approval from Department of Labor.
- 22 \parallel Q. To whom does it relate?
- 23 A. Mohammed Shohel.
- 24 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1112-8.
- 25 THE COURT: Received.

- 1 (Government's Exhibit 1112-8 received in evidence)
- Q. Looking at 1112-4, can you tell us what that is?
- 3 A. G-28.
- 4 Q. To whom does it relate?
- 5 A. My Favorite Bakery.
- 6 Q. And is there a name in the signature block?
- 7 A. Yes.
- $8 \parallel Q$. What name?
- 9 | A. Abe Flam.
- 10 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1112-4.
- 11 MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- 12 THE COURT: Received.
- 13 (Government's Exhibit 1112-4 received in evidence)
- 14 \parallel Q. Is that dated, the G-28?
- 15 A. Look like November 30, '04.
- 16 Q. November 30, '04?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. 1112-3, can you tell us what that is and to whom it
- 19 relates?
- 20 \parallel A. I-140 petition, My Favorite Bakery, and Abouzeid Dina.
- 21 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1112-3.
- 22 \parallel Q. Is the I-140 dated?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | O. What's the date?
- 25 A. November 30, '04.

D1NLCIB2

Grynsztajn - direct

Q. And, now, 1112-6, if you could tell us what it is and to whom it relates? A. It's application for adjustment of status, relates to Abouzeid Dina. (Continued on next page)

D1n1cib3 Grynsztajn - direct

1 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1112-6.

2 MR. GERZOG: No objection.

THE COURT: Received.

(Government's Exhibit 1112-6 received in evidence)

896

- Q. Okay. And again, is this I-485 dated?
- 6 A. Yes.

3

4

5

- Q. What's the date?
- 8 A. November 30, '04.
- 9 MR. PASTORE: And Mr. Dinet, if we could bring up
- 10 | 1112-3 on the screen.
- 11 Q. I'm handing you what's been marked for identification as
- 12 | Government's 1112-11 and 1112- -- I'm sorry -- yeah, -13
- 13 | through 20.
- 14 Let's start with 1112-11. Do you recognize that?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | 0. What is it?
- 17 A. Cover letter.
- 18 Q. Now does this cover letter have a client or an alien's name
- 19 on it?
- 20 A. Yes. It has the name of the -- of the company.
- 21 | Q. Oh, okay. Does it have an individual's name?
- 22 | A. No.
- 23 | Q. Okay. What company is listed there?
- 24 A. My Favorite Bakery.
- 25 | Q. And what's the date on this cover letter?

- 1 A. March 2nd, '06.
- 2 Q. And does a name appear on the signature block?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. What's the name?
- 5 A. Jed David Philwin.
- 6 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1112-11.
- 7 THE COURT: Received.
- 8 (Government's Exhibit 1112-11 received in evidence)
- 9 MR. PASTORE: And if we could bring up side by side
- 10 $\mid 1112-11 \text{ with } -3.$ If we could zoom in on the Re line.
- 11 \mathbb{Q} . Okay. Can you tell by looking at the March 2nd, 2006
- 12 | cover letter to whom it relates?
- 13 A. My Favorite Bakery.
- 14 | Q. And below, where it says Case Number EAC 0505652205, have
- 15 you seen that number before?
- 16 A. I'm not sure.
- 17 | Q. Okay. Do you know what an EAC number is?
- 18 A. Stands for the Vermont center.
- 19 Q. It's a receipt that was sent from the Vermont center?
- 20 A. From immigration Vermont center.
- 21 | Q. Okay. What do you mean by that?
- 22 | A. Each center has a different -- different first letters.
- 23 | Q. How do you know that?
- 24 A. Because I've seen many.
- 25 Q. Okay. Looking at the I-140 that's to the left, do you see

- 1 | an EAC number on there?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 MR. PASTORE: And could we now have it zoomed in on
- 4 | the bar code.
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 0. And how does the EAC number listed on the I-140 for Dina
- 7 Abouzied compare to the EAC number in this cover letter that is
- 8 | Government's 1112-11?
- 9 A. Same number.
- 10 | Q. So what does that tell you, if anything, about the cover
- 11 | letter?
- 12 A. That it belongs to that client.
- 13 | Q. Okay. Taking a look at Government's 1112-20. What is
- 14 | Government's 1112-20?
- 15 A. Letter from accountant.
- 16 Q. When you say a letter from an accountant --
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. -- is there a name listed for the accountant?
- 19 A. A & A Accounting Corporation.
- 20 | Q. And is the document signed and is there a name in the
- 21 | signature block?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. What name appears there?
- 24 A. Angelica Yonayev.
- 25 | Q. Are you familiar with that name?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. How are you familiar with that name?
- 3 A. I met her in the office.
- 4 | Q. And what role and responsibilities did she have in
- 5 connection with the law firm?
- 6 A. Preparing tax returns.
- 7 Q. Remind us, did she -- what type of tax returns did she
- 8 prepare?
- 9 A. With signature and with certified by IRS.
- 10 | Q. Okay. So this is a letter from that accountant; is that
- 11 | right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1112-20.
- MR. GERZOG: No objection.
- 15 | (Government's Exhibit 1112-20 received in evidence)
- MR. PASTORE: If we could publish that letter.
- 17 | Q. Okay. Do you see the letter says, "I'm the accountant for
- 18 | My Favorite Bakery & Café"? Then it goes on to say, "My
- 19 | Favorite Bakery & Café is doing business as Bakers Delight.
- 20 Both are the same entity. As such, there is a lawful
- 21 | relationship between My Favorite Bakery & Café and Bakers
- 22 | Delight." Are you familiar with both of those companies'
- 23 | company names?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Now take a look at Government's 1112-13 through -20. Tell

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 us what each of those documents are.
- 2 A. Those are tax returns.
- 3 | Q. For what company?
- 4 A. My Favorite Bakery.
- 5 | Q. And is there another company also listed on those tax
- 6 returns?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. What company?
- 9 A. Bakers Delight.
- 10 \parallel Q. And are the tax returns for the years '98, 1998 through
- 11 2004?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 MR. PASTORE: Government offers 1112-13 through -19.
- 14 THE COURT: Received.
- 15 | (Government's Exhibit 1112-13 through 1112-19 received
- 16 | in evidence)
- 17 MR. PASTORE: And if we could publish -13, please.
- 18 Q. Okay. Taking a look at these tax returns, can you tell
- 19 | whether Mr. Vago prepared them?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. What makes you say that?
- 22 | A. Mr. Vago had no signature. This one has a signature and
- 23 stamp, IRS stamp.
- 24 | Q. Okay. Is the IRS stamp where it says, "Received
- June 23rd, 2005"? Do you see that in the middle of the page?

1 Α. Yes.

- And the signature that you're referring to --Q.
- 3 All right. So we've just zoomed in on it. That's the
- 4 stamp; right? Is that right? That's the stamp that we just
- 5 showed?
- A. Yes. 6
- 7 Q. And the signature, is that where it says Sign Here and then
- it's signed and dated? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 MR. PASTORE: Okay. And if we could go to the first
- 11 page of each of 1112-14 through -19, just very briefly, just
- 12 the first page, please.
- 13 Q. Again, do you see the certification stamp and signature; is
- 14 that right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 MR. PASTORE: Next exhibit, please, -15.
- 17 And -16, please.
- 18 -17, please.
- 19 -18, please.
- 20 And -19, please.
- 21 So is it fair to say that each of the documents I just
- 22 showed you had certification stamps and were in fact signed?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 I'm handing you what's been admitted as Government's 3065.
- 25 Could you please just tell the jury what 3065 is, in general.

- 1 A. File folder.
- 2 Q. One of the client's file folders from the law office?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. To whom does it relate?
- 5 A. Dina Abouzied.
- 6 Q. Okay. Let's take a look first at 3065-1, please.
- 7 All right. What are we looking at here from the
- 8 | client file?
- 9 A. Cover letter.
- 10 | 0. And what's the date on this cover letter?
- 11 | A. November 30, '04.
- 12 | Q. And how does it compare to the cover letter that we
- 13 previously were discussing?
- 14 A. Same.
- 15 | Q. Now if you can take a look at -- are there other documents
- 16 behind -- behind this, if you go to page 2, 224, first page,
- 17 | 224?
- 18 \parallel A. I-140 petition.
- 19 Q. For Ms. Abouzied; is that right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And here My Favorite Bakery & Café, the address is listed
- 22 | as 1501 Pine Park; is that right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- MR. PASTORE: Okay. Next page, page 5, please.
- 25 | Q. Is this a copy of the original labor certification for

- 1 | Mohammed Shohel?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And pages 6 to 7, if you could tell us, Mr. Grynsztajn,
- 4 what they are.
- 5 A. This is Part -- ETA 750, Supplement B.
- Q. On page 6, do you see the stamp October 30th, 2001?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. In your copy that's in front of you in the client file, is
- 9 | that in color or is it black and white?
- 10 A. It's in color, I think.
- 11 (Pause)
- 12 | Q. Are you able to find the document, Mr. Grynsztajn?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 | Q. Okay. Let me --
- MR. PASTORE: Okay. For the record, I'm removing from
- 16 | the sleeve 3065-1, I am showing the witness, directing his
- 17 | attention to several pages into the document.
- 18 | Q. Do you see that?
- 19 A. That's in black.
- 20 \ Q. So it is not in color, the stamp?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 \parallel Q. Let me just pull out -- if you can look at 3065-4 for us.
- 23 MR. PASTORE: And if we can bring that up on the
- 24 screen, please.
- 25 | Q. First, do you recognize the handwriting in this document?

D1n1cib3 Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Whose handwriting is it?
- 3 A. Mr. Teitelbaum.
- 4 | Q. During your employment at the law office did you have an
- 5 opportunity to observe Mr. Teitelbaum's handwriting?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. On approximately how many occasions?
- 8 A. On many occasions.
- 9 Q. Okay. Reading at the top, it says Angelica. Did you know
- 10 | anyone associated with the law firm by the name of Angelica?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And would that be the accountant we were just discussing?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And then it says, "I need 2004 tax & stamp, sample," and
- 15 | then what is that next word?
- 16 | A. "From 2003 enclosed."
- 17 | Q. Okay. And then 2, it says, "Enclosed letter need it in
- 18 your letterhead, need all for tomorrow." And then it says
- 19 | Lipa. Do you know anyone at the law office who went by the
- 20 | name Lipa?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Who went by the name Lipa?
- 23 A. Mr. Teitelbaum.
- 24 | Q. Do you recognize that particular phone number -- do you see
- where it says 718-219, and I believe it's 6800? Do you

Grynsztajn - direct

905

1 | recognize that phone number?

A. Yes.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Whose phone number is it?
- 4 A. Mr. Teitelbaum.
- 5 | Q. How do you recognize it as Mr. Teitelbaum's phone number?
- 6 A. I had his phone number.
- Q. If you could turn to the second page of this document, and we'll bring it up on the screen for you, to make it a little

9 easier.

- Okay. Do you see it says, "I am the accountant for Bakers Delight. Bakers Delight purchased all of the assets and liabilities of My Favorite Bakery & Café. As such, there is a lawful relationship between Bakers Delight and My Favorite Bakery & Café."
- And you told us you're familiar with both those companies; is that right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And if you look at pages 3 through 7. Let's start with page 3.
- Okay. What is page 3?
- 21 | A. Tax return.
- 22 | Q. And does that run through about page 7?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Okay. This is 3065-4.
- Now if you could look at 3065-5.

D1n1cib3

- 1 MR. PASTORE: If we could bring that up on the screen, 2 please.
- 3 All right. Do you recognize this letter?
- 4 Α. Yes.
- 5 And where have you seen it before?
- 6 Sample letter. Α.
- 7 The sample letter, you said? Okay.
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 Ο. And then if we look at 3065-6.
- 10 MR. PASTORE: And if we could bring that up on the 11 screen, please.
- 12 Okay. So we'll go back to the document, 3065-6. Can you 13 see it in your -- hang on one second.
- 14 MR. PASTORE: Just for the record, I'm directing the 15 witness' attention to 3065-6-A, -B, and -C.
- What is 3065-6-A? 16 Ο.
- 17 Tax return. Α.
- Okay. And 3065-6-B, what's that? 18
- 19 Α. Also tax return.
- 20 And 3065-6-C has a first page. What's the front page? 0.
- 21 Request for additional information. Α.
- 22 Ο. To whom does it relate?
- 23 Α. Dev Avinash.
- 24 Ο. And behind that page, what do we have?
- 25 Tax return. Α.

```
1
               MR. PASTORE: I'm going to publish these, your Honor,
2
      with the court's permission, to the jury by handing them in,
3
      3065-6-A, -6-B, and -6-C.
 4
               Now if we could take a look at 3065-9.
5
               THE COURT: I think it's time for our break.
6
               MR. PASTORE: Oh, sure.
 7
               THE COURT: All right. We'll take our break.
8
      Remember the rules: Don't talk about the case, keep an open
9
     mind.
10
               (Jury excused)
11
               (Continued on next page)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
(In open court; jury not present)
1
 2
               THE COURT: Were you able to work out a schedule?
 3
               MR. BRILL: I was going to bring that up, Judge. It
 4
      doesn't look like it's going to work out.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. So let's try to shorten our break
6
      to try to do 20 minutes so we'll move a little --
 7
               MR. BRILL: Judge, it's tomorrow, not today.
               THE COURT: Today is not Wednesday?
8
               MR. BRILL: What I said, I said the 24<sup>th</sup> and I also
9
10
      said Wednesday.
11
               THE COURT: I'm sorry. All right. I'm not crazy?
      All right. Good. That's all I want to know.
12
               MR. BRILL: No. It's the 24<sup>th</sup>.
13
14
               THE COURT: All right.
15
               MR. PASTORE: It was a hot topic of debate in our
      trial whether it was tomorrow or today.
16
17
               THE COURT: I just remember Wednesday. I do it by
18
     days of the week, not dates.
19
               MR. BRILL: Sorry about that.
20
               THE COURT: All right.
21
               MS. ECHENBERG: So when should we be back, your Honor?
22
               THE COURT: Ten of, I guess.
23
               (Recess)
24
25
```

24

25

Grynsztajn - direct

910

1 (Jury present) 2 THE COURT: You may continue. 3 MR. PASTORE: Thank you. 4 BY MR. PASTORE: 5 Q. All right. Mr. Grynsztajn, prior to the lunch break, we 6 were discussing Ms. Abouzied. Do you remember that? 7 Α. Yes. Okay. Before we get back to her, I'm just going to 8 Q. 9 approach with several government exhibits -- Government 10 Exhibit 14A, 24, 19, and 10. I'm sorry. 24, 10, and 14A. 11 First, can you see the individual that I'm pointing to 12 next to Earl David? 13 Α. Yes. 14 What name did you know him as? Q. 15 Jed Philwin. Α. Jed Philwin? 16 Ο. 17 Α. Yes. Did you ever know him as Jed Matthew Philwin? 18 I seen it also as Jed Matthew Philwin. 19 Α. 20 MR. PASTORE: Okay. Government offers 14A, which is a 21 nameplate that says Jed Matthew Philwin. 22 MR. DONALDSON: No objection. 23 THE COURT: Received. 24 (Government's Exhibit 14A received in evidence)

Okay. Now I'm going to hand you Government's 24 and 10.

25

evidence)

Grynsztajn - direct

```
Starting with Government's 10, do you recognize that?
1
          That's Angelica Yonayev.
 2
      Α.
 3
      Q. And does it fairly and accurately depict her as she
 4
      appeared when you knew her while you were working at the law
      firm?
 5
6
      A. Yes.
 7
               MR. PASTORE: Government offers 10.
               THE COURT: Received.
 8
9
               (Government's Exhibit 10 received in evidence)
10
               MR. PASTORE: And the accompanying nameplate,
      Government's 10B.
11
               Your Honor, 10B has been received as well?
12
13
               THE COURT: Yes.
14
               (Government's Exhibit 10B received in evidence)
15
      Q.
          Okay. And Government's 24, could you tell us?
16
          Photograph of Mark Vago.
17
          And does it fairly and accurately depict Mark Vago during
      Q.
18
      the time period that you knew him while you were working at the
      law firm?
19
20
      A. Yes.
21
               MR. PASTORE: Government offers 24 and 24B, an
22
      accompanying nameplate.
23
               THE COURT: Received.
24
               (Government's Exhibits 24 and 24B received in
```

3

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 Q. Okay. And remind us what Mr. Vago did at the law firm?
- 2 | A. File cases, labor department cases, immigration cases.
 - Q. What time of the day did Mr. Vago work?
- 4 A. Usually during the day.
- 5 Q. What time of day did you usually work?
- 6 A. Evening hours, night hours.
- 7 | Q. Do you know if Mr. Vago collected money from clients?
- 8 A. To my knowledge, yes.
- 9 Q. How do you know that?
- 10 A. Because I seen him when I was there.
- 11 Q. You saw him collect money?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Do you know what Mr. Vago did with the files or
- 14 | applications related to some of those clients?
- 15 | A. Some he would file, some he would put in the cabinet.
- 16 Q. He would put them in the cabinet?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. How do you know that some of the applications wouldn't be
- 19 | filed, that they'd be put in a cabinet?
- 20 A. Because years later we found out when we went to -- when
- 21 | the clients came into the office looking for their files, we
- 22 | pulled it out. The case was never filed.
- 23 Q. Okay. So do I understand correctly clients came back to
- 24 you asking what happened to the file and then you actually
- 25 | found the file in the cabinet, never having been submitted to

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 | labor or immigration?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 | Q. Okay. Prior to the break we were looking at Government's
- 4 \mid 3065-6-A, B, and C. Do you remember that?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 MR. PASTORE: Okay. And do we have them on the -- for
- 7 | the overhead?
- Q. Okay. So looking at these tax returns, can you tell us who
- 9 prepared these and why.
- 10 A. Mr. Vago.
- 11 | Q. And how do you know Mr. Vago prepared them?
- 12 A. There was no signature, with the Copy stamp.
- 13 | Q. Okay. So if you could look at -A and then the front page
- 14 of -B, 3065-6-B.
- Okay. Again, who prepared these taxes and how do you
- 16 | know that?
- 17 | A. Mr. Vago.
- 18 \parallel Q. And finally, -6 -- I'm sorry -- 65-6-C.
- 19 Is this the cover letter that you were referring to
- 20 before?
- 21 | A. Request for evidence.
- MR. GERZOG: I didn't hear that.
- 23 Q. Sorry. Mr. Grynsztajn, can you --
- 24 A. This is a request for evidence from immigration.
- 25 Q. And to whom does it relate?

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 A. To Bakers Delight.
- 2 | Q. And below that what name is listed?
- 3 A. Dev Avinash.
- 4 | Q. Okay. And the next page of this document, what is that?
- 5 A. That's tax return.
- 6 | Q. And who is this tax return for?
- 7 A. Abraham Flam and Hannah Flam.
- Q. Now if we could look at Government's 3065-9. Do you have that in front of you?
- MR. PASTORE: Let me get -- approach the witness, your

 Honor.
- If we could display on the overhead -- and I'm also,

 for the record, retrieving 3065-9.
- Q. Okay. If you could remove 3065-9 from the sleeve. Is it a multipage exhibit?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Okay. What do each of the pages consist of?
- MR. PASTORE: And Mr. Dinet, if we could just scroll through each page.
- 20 A. They all contain the front pages of the tax returns.
- 21 Q. Only the front pages of the tax returns for My Favorite
- 22 | Bakery?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. And these tax returns -- how, if at all, do these front
- 25 pages differ from the tax returns that we were just looking at?

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 A. They're signed and certified by IRS.
- 2 MR. PASTORE: Your Honor, may I publish 3065-9 to the
- 3 | jury by handing it through the jury box?
- 4 THE COURT: Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. Looking at Government's 3065-10.
- 6 MR. PASTORE: And if we could bring that up on the
- 7 screen, please. I believe it's -10-A.
- 8 \parallel Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that -10-A, 10-B, 10-C, and so
- 9 on are each full copies of tax returns for My Favorite Bakery &
- 10 | Café?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Okay. And do you have them in front of you,
- 13 Mr. Grynsztajn?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Okay. And are they for -- what years are the tax returns
- 16 | for? 1998 year --
- 17 MR. PASTORE: If we could bring up 10-B, please.
- 18 And 10-C. So we have 1998, 1999, 2000.
- 19 If you could bring up -D, please.
- -E, please.
- 21 -F, please.
- 22 And -G, please.
- 23 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that each of these consists of
- 24 | the entire tax returns, not just the front page?
- 25 A. Yes.

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 | Q. And these are all located in Dina Abouzied's client file;
- 2 | is that right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. I'm handing you what's been admitted as Government's
- 5 Exhibit 3219. And I'm showing you 3219-2, and I'm showing you
- 6 | in particular the fourth page of 3219-2. Do you recognize that
- 7 | document?
- 8 A. Yes, it's G-28.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry. You said it's a G-28?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And if you could lean into the microphone a little bit
- 12 | so --
- 13 A. G-28.
- 14 | Q. Okay. Is there a particular company listed on this G-28?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 \parallel Q. What company is listed on this G-28?
- 17 A. 387 Quincy Associates.
- 18 | Q. Did you say 387 Quincy Associates?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Okay. I'm sorry, Mr. Grynsztajn. I'm still having trouble
- 21 hearing you.
- Do you recognize that company?
- 23 A. I had cases before with them.
- 24 | Q. And what do you know about 387 Quincy Associates?
- 25 A. That the company was owned by Mr. Tischler.

- 2 A. Old Country Plumbing, something like that.
- 3 Q. I'm sorry. Did you say some kind of plumbing company?
- 4 A. Yes, Old Country Plumbing or something to do with plumbing.
- 5 | Q. Okay. Something to do with plumbing? What makes you say
- 6 | that 387 Quincy is one of Mr. Tischler's companies?
- 7 A. I had cases before with this company.
- 8 | Q. Okay. And where did you get that company from? Did you
- 9 work directly with Mr. Tischler?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 | Q. So how did you come to use Mr. Tischler's company for your
- 12 | cases?
- 13 A. I got it from Sam Salamon.
- 14 | Q. You got it from Sam Salamon?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Is there an address listed for 387 Quincy?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. What address is listed for 387 Quincy Associates?
- 19 | A. 4316 17th Avenue.
- 20 | Q. Have you seen that address before in connection with your
- 21 | work at the law firm?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. In what context have you seen it?
- 24 A. I've seen it under a few different companies.
- 25 | Q. Okay. When you say a few different companies, what do you

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 | mean by that?
- 2 A. The names of different companies there that was sponsoring
- 3 people.
- 4 Q. And how were the names of those different companies that
- 5 were sponsoring people related to 4316 17th Avenue?
- 6 A. They all had the same address.
- 7 Q. Sir, do I understand correctly that each of the various
- 8 companies had 4316 17th Avenue as their address?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And when you saw -- and did you see Mr. Tischler in the
- 11 office meeting with Sam Salamon?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And what, if anything, did you hear them discussing?
- 14 A. Mr. Tischler was complaining that there was too many cases
- 15 assigned for one company, that he was discussing the money,
- 16 | that he wasn't getting paid enough money.
- 17 | O. Okay. Are you familiar with a company named American Girl
- 18 | Fashion?
- 19 A. I heard the name before.
- 20 | Q. In what context have you heard the name American Girl
- 21 | Fashion?
- 22 | A. I believe I had one or two cases with this company.
- 23 | Q. Do you know which sponsor or sponsors were associated with
- 24 | American Girl Fashion?
- 25 A. No.

Grynsztajn - direct

- Q. Okay. Do you know where that -- in other words, how did
- 2 you come to use that company?
- 3 A. From Mr. Teitelbaum.
- 4 Q. Mr. Teitelbaum provided you with that company?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Did you have to pay Mr. Teitelbaum to use that company?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. I'm handing you what's been marked for identification as
- 9 Government's 3018 but not yet admitted. 3018 -- do you
- 10 recognize the first page of 3018?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. I'm sorry?
- 13 A. The first page, yes.
- 14 \parallel Q. What is the first page of 3018?
- 15 | A. It's a stationery. It's a cover letter.
- 16 | Q. Okay. And how do you recognize it as a cover letter or a
- 17 | stationery?
- 18 A. I've seen it before.
- 19 | Q. In what context have you seen it before?
- 20 A. One of the files.
- 21 | Q. And what type of file have you seen it in connection with?
- 22 A. I'm not sure.
- 23 | Q. Okay. In other words, do you know whether it was a labor
- 24 | certification file?
- 25 A. It could have been.

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 | Q. It could have been but you're not sure?
- 2 | A. I'm not sure.
- 3 | Q. Are there any names on Government's 3018?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you recognize any of the names on 3018?
- 6 A. One name.
- 7 Q. What name do you recognize on 3018?
- 8 A. Rabbi Josepy.
- 9 Q. Rabbi Josepy?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. How do you recognize that name?
- 12 A. I met Rabbi Josepy.
- 13 | Q. In what context did you meet Rabbi Josepy?
- 14 A. Came to the office.
- 15 | Q. Did he tell you or did you know why he came into the
- 16 office?
- 17 A. He came in to sign for some -- few companies.
- 18 Q. He signed for a few companies?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. So in general, how would you describe Mr. Josepy's role in
- 21 | connection with the law firm?
- 22 A. Providing sponsors.
- 23 Q. Okay. So if I understand correctly, Rabbi Josepy provided
- 24 | sponsors to you to use in connection with your clients?
- 25 A. Correct.

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 Q. Okay. You mentioned earlier that Mr. Brodjik collected
- 2 | money from you and others to remit to Earl David; is that
- 3 | right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Did Mr. Brodjik ever discuss with you what else, if
- 6 anything, he was doing at the law firm?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What else did Mr. Brodjik tell you he was doing at the law
- 9 | firm?
- 10 $\mid A$. That he was filing R-1s.
- 11 | Q. Did Mr. Brodjik tell you or do you know what an R-1 is?
- 12 \parallel A. I know what an R-1 is.
- 13 \mid 0. What is an R-1?
- 14 A. It's a visa for a religious worker.
- 15 \parallel Q. Do you know what form or forms are used to file R-1s,
- 16 | religious worker visas?
- 17 A. I'm not sure.
- 18 Q. What did Mr. Brodjik tell you about the filing of R-1
- 19 | immigrant visas?
- 20 A. Can you repeat the question?
- 21 | Q. Sure. What did Mr. Brodjik tell you about his filing of
- 22 R-1 religious worker visas?
- 23 A. "I'm going upstairs. I got a few clients to take care of."
- 24 | Q. I'm sorry?
- 25 \parallel A. He said, "I'm going upstairs." I was on the 16th floor.

Grynsztajn - direct

922

- Went to the 21st floor. He said he had some clients waiting to do R-1s.
- 3 MR. GERZOG: Your Honor, could we get a date and time 4 frame.
 - Q. During what time period did you hear Mr. Brodjik telling you that he was going upstairs to file R-1s for some clients?
 - A. Has to be towards the end of 2005.
- 8 Q. Towards the end of 2005?
- 9 | A. Yes.

5

6

- Q. Okay. Did you ever discuss with Mr. Brodjik why he was going upstairs to file the R-1s?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What did he tell you?
- 14 A. Because he didn't want Sam to know that he's doing R-1s
- 15 | also because he's going to get mad.
- 16 | Q. Mr. Brodjik said that Sam was going to get mad?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you know whether Sam was filing R-1s?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 \parallel Q. Was he filing R-1s?
- 21 A. Sam, yes.
- 22 | Q. Did Mr. David ever discuss with you Mr. Brodjik's filing of
- 23 | R-1s?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. What did Mr. David tell you about Mr. Brodjik's filing of

Grynsztajn - direct

923

1 R-1s?

- 2 A. Tell me he's going to have some clients; he wants to do
- 3 | them upstairs, not downstairs.
- 4 Q. Mr. David told you that he wanted --
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- Mr. Brodjik to do the clients upstairs, not downstairs?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did Mr. David ever tell you why he wanted the clients to be
- 9 | handled upstairs and not downstairs?
- 10 A. Because Mr. Brodjik was complaining that he was not making
- 11 | enough money, so instead of Mr. David sending the clients for
- 12 | the R-1 to Sam, Mr. Salamon, he would send them to -- to Rafi.
- 13 Q. And Mr. David told you that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 \parallel Q. And did Mr. David ever explain to you why he wanted the
- 16 clients handled on a different floor than Mr. Salamon?
- 17 A. Yeah, because he didn't want Mr. Salamon to get mad.
- 18 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Brodjik ever assist you in other ways at the
- 19 | law firm?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. What did Mr. Brodjik do to personally assist you at the law
- 22 | firm?
- 23 A. Set up my computer.
- 24 | Q. I'm sorry. You said he set up your computer?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. What do you mean by that?
- 2 A. When I get my first computer, he set it up.
- 3 Q. And what specifically did Mr. Brodjik do for you?
- 4 A. He installed all the immigration forms.
- 5 Q. When you say he installed all the immigration forms, what
- 6 do you mean by that?
- 7 A. It was a special program with all the immigration forms.
- 8 Q. And Mr. Brodjik installed that for you?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Did you ever see Ms. Cibik interacting with anyone else in
- 11 the office besides you?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Who did you see her interacting with in the office?
- 14 A. Mr. Teitelbaum, Mr. Salamon.
- 15 | Q. Where were you physically located when you saw Ms. Cibik
- 16 interacting with Mr. Teitelbaum and Mr. Salamon?
- 17 A. I was in the same office.
- 18 | Q. Approximately how far away were you from Ms. Cibik at that
- 19 | time?
- 20 A. 15, 20 feet.
- 21 | Q. Could you hear -- and when I say at that time, what time
- 22 period are we referring to?
- 23 | A. Sometime in '05.
- 24 | Q. Sometime in '05?
- 25 A. Yes.

Grynsztajn - direct

- Q. And what did you hear Ms. Cibik and Mr. Salamon -- I'm
- 2 sorry. It was Mr. Salamon. What did you hear Mr. Salamon and
- 3 Ms. Cibik talking about?
- 4 A. How much Mr. Salamon was going to charge her for
- 5 sponsorship.
- 6 Q. How much Mr. Salamon was going to?
- 7 A. Charge her for sponsorship.
- 8 | Q. Okay. And what was the tone of the conversation?
- 9 A. Started nice and then became loud, arguing, screaming.
- 10 | Q. Could you hear -- who was screaming?
- 11 A. Ms. Cibik.
- 12 | Q. Could you hear what Ms. Cibik was screaming to Mr. Salamon?
- 13 A. "You skimming money from everybody."
- 14 | Q. Did she say anything else?
- 15 A. That she's going to close down the office.
- 16 Q. She said she's going to close down the office?
- 17 A. Yeah, she was going to put everybody away.
- 18 | Q. She said she was going to put everyone away?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Approximately how many times did you hear Ms. Cibik saying
- 21 | she was going to put everyone away?
- 22 A. Few occasions.
- 23 | Q. To whom did you hear Ms. Cibik say she was going to put
- 24 | everyone away?
- 25 A. She was just yelling at everybody.

Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 | Q. Did you ever see her yelling or arguing with
- 2 Mr. Teitelbaum?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. On the occasions that you saw her yelling or arguing with
- 5 Mr. Teitelbaum, how far away were you?
- 6 A. Very close.
- 7 Q. Give us an estimate of how many feet.
- 8 | A. 10 -- 10, 15 feet.
- 9 Q. Could you overhear what they were arguing about?
- 10 A. Always about money.
- 11 | Q. And what, if anything, did you hear Mr. -- I'm sorry -- did
- 12 | you hear Ms. Cibik tell Mr. Teitelbaum?
- 13 A. "You are a thief."
- 14 | Q. "You are a thief"?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And did you hear Ms. Cibik say anything else to
- 17 Mr. Teitelbaum?
- 18 A. Then she went on continuing -- complaining about Mr. David.
- 19 Q. Complaining about Mr. David?
- 20 A. His wife.
- 21 | Q. What, in general, were the complaints about Mr. David's
- 22 | wife?
- 23 A. Cibik was madly in love with Mr. David.
- 24 | Q. Okay. And she was complaining about his wife?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And did you hear Ms. Cibik say anything else to
- 2 Mr. Teitelbaum?
- 3 A. Yes, she -- she was using dirty language.
- 4 | Q. She was using dirty language?
- 5 A. Yeah.
- Q. And did you hear her say -- you said that you heard her say, "I'm going to take everyone down," to Mr. Salamon. Did
- 8 | you ever hear her saying anything like that to Mr. Teitelbaum?
- 9 A. Yeah. She was saying it to everybody. She was running 10 from room to room screaming.
- MR. PASTORE: Your Honor, may I have a moment?
- 12 THE COURT: Sure.
- 13 (Pause)
- 14 Q. Just a few more questions, Mr. Grynsztajn.
- Rabbi Josepy, when he was referring sponsors, do you
- 16 know if the sponsors were paid for saying they were going to
- 17 | employ people?
- 18 A. I believe Sam would pay.
- 19 Q. Why do you believe Sam would pay?
- 20 | A. Because one company, this bakery, already was supplied by
- 21 Mr. Josepy, but Mr. David --
- 22 | Q. I'm sorry. Originally it was?
- 23 A. Was supplied by Mr. Josepy.
- 24 Q. Was certified, did you say?
- 25 A. One of the companies, a bakery --

928

D1n1cib3 Grynsztajn - direct

- 1 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. -- was referred by Rabbi Josepy.
- 3 Q. Was referred by Rabbi Josepy. Oh.
- 4 A. To Mr. -- to Mr. David, but Mr. David would deliver the
- 5 money personally to him, for sponsors.
- 6 Q. Mr. David personally delivered money to sponsors that were
- 7 | referred by Rabbi Josepy?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. With respect to all these applications that you
- 10 personally worked on, did your name appear anywhere on those
- 11 | applications?
- 12 | A. My name?
- 13 | O. Your name.
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. Why, if you worked on the applications, would your name not
- 16 | appear on the applications?
- 17 A. Should be the attorney's name over there.
- 18 Q. Should be the attorney's name over there?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 \parallel Q. So the person at the law firm who prepared the application
- 21 | would not be listed on the application; is that correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. With respect to --
- MR. PASTORE: If we can bring up 3036-2 and focus on
- 25 | page 8.

Grynsztajn - direct

Okay. So let's focus on 3036-2, and if we could zoom in on the advertisement itself. Q. Do you see where it says, "Send résumé or letter of experience in duplicate to Business & Worker Development," then it's got a P.O. Box number? A. Yes. (Continued on next page)

Grynsztajn - direct

930

1 BY MR. PASTORE:

- 2 | Q. Do you know whether that P.O. box is associated with the
- 3 | law firm?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 Q. Do you know what that P.O. box is associated with?
- 6 A. Department of Labor.
- 7 | Q. That's the Department of Labor's P.O. box?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So if people responded to this ad, what is your
- 10 understanding of where resumes would actually be sent?
- 11 A. To the labor department.
- 12 | Q. If you could --
- MR. PASTORE: Your Honor, with the Court's permission,
- 14 I'd like to ask the witness to step down from the stand to the
- 15 | four boxes that are in front of him.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay.
- 17 | Q. Mr. Grynsztajn, directing your attention to these four
- 18 | boxes which are labeled Government's 3066, 3067, 3068, and
- 19 | 3069, before you testified here today, did you have a chance to
- 20 go through the documents in these boxes?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Can you tell the jury in general what types of documents
- 23 were contained in those boxes?
- 24 A. All different types of immigration receipt, labor letters,
- 25 | labor inquiries, immigration inquiries.

Grynsztajn - direct

- And, in fact, did your handwriting appear on some of the 1 documents? 2
 - Α. Yes.

- 4 And from your review of the documents, could you tell Q. 5 whether they came from the law firm?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MR. PASTORE: Your Honor, may I have a moment?
- 8 THE COURT: Sure.
- 9 MR. PASTORE: Your Honor, no further questions at this 10 time.
- 11 THE COURT: All right. Is there an order that you've 12 chosen?
- 13 I get to go first. MR. DONALDSON:
- 14 THE COURT: Congratulations.
- 15 MR. DONALDSON: Can I have one second.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. DONALDSON:
- 18 Good afternoon, sir.
- Good afternoon. 19 Α.
- 20 What I'm going to need you to do is speak up so that the
- 21 last person back here in this jury box can hear you.
- 22 Can you do that?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Ο. There you go. Speak so we all can hear you. You ready?
- 25 Α. Yes.

Grynsztajn - cross

932

- Q. I'm going to try to ask you some yes or no questions. If you don't understand the question, just say you don't
- 3 understand. Okay?
 - A. Yes.

- 5 Q. Very good. That's a good start.
- 6 What's -- what is a 485?
- 7 A. Application for adjustment of status.
- 8 Q. And what's a 485A?
- 9 A. It's a supplement to the 485. That goes along with a 10 thousand dollars penalty.
- 11 Q. Goes along with that?
- 12 A. With a thousand dollars penalty.
- 13 | 0. And what's a 765?
- 14 A. That's for work authorization.
- 15 | Q. For what?
- 16 A. Work authorization.
- 17 | Q. What's a G-325?
- 18 A. Biographic information.
- 19 Q. What do you mean by biographic information?
- 20 A. Information the person, where he lives, information where
- 21 he live now, where he live before, list all the family members
- 22 and everything.
- 23 | O. What's an I-140?
- 24 A. That's immigrant petition for alien worker.
- 25 Q. And what goes into that form?

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 A. Information on the company and the alien.
- 2 | Q. And what's a H1?
- 3 A. H1 is a working visa.
- 4 | Q. What goes on that form?
- 5 A. I'm not sure.
- 6 | O. What's a 245?
- 7 A. Excuse me?
- 8 Q. A 245.
- 9 A. You mean Section 245?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. It's the law that allow the person to adjust here. If they
- were physically present here before December 21, 2000, and they
- 13 | file a case before April 30, 2001, they be able to adjust here.
- 14 | Q. What's an I-131?
- 15 A. That's the travel document, application for the travel
- 16 document.
- 17 | Q. What's an EAC number?
- 18 A. EAC number, that's a receipt number when you send to
- 19 | immigration. EAC would be from Vermont center.
- 20 | O. Vermont center?
- 21 | A. Right.
- 22 | Q. What is the Vermont center?
- 23 A. There was a Vermont center where you see EAC. Nebraska
- 24 center where you see SRC. Different center, they need to use
- 25 different words in front.

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 \mathbb{Q} . And what's a G-28?
- 2 A. It's a form that the client agree to be represented by the
- 3 attorney or the company agreed to be represented by attorney.
- 4 | Q. Okay. You know Earl David for over 25 years, correct?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. When you first got to the Earl David law firm, he trained
- 7 you, correct?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. He provided you -- he let you sit with him while he was
- 10 doing interviews with clients, right?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And you did this for several months, correct, he trained
- 13 you for several months, didn't he?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And this was hundreds of hours of training, correct?
- 16 A. I don't know if hundreds.
- 17 | Q. Well, several months of training; would that be fair to
- 18 say?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And before you got to the Earl David firm, you did not do
- 21 | immigration, did you?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. Correct, you did not do it, or correct, I did do it?
- 24 A. I did not do it.
- 25 Q. And throughout this training, Mr. David showed you how to

Grynsztajn – cross

- 1 | fill out immigration forms, correct?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And prior to him leaving 110 Wall Street, you had performed
- 4 lots of interviews on clients or immigrants or aliens, correct?
- 5 A. What do you mean by interviews?
- 6 Q. Did you talk to aliens or immigrants about their
- 7 | immigration status or their paperwork prior to Mr. Earl David
- 8 | leaving the law firm?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Hundreds, correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. I believe you told the government well over 700, correct?
- 13 A. It's possible, yes.
- 14 | Q. And you -- before he left 110 Wall Street, you actually
- 15 | filled out or helped fill out several ETA 750s, correct?
- 16 A. Physically I did not do them.
- 17 Q. Did you not prior to Mr. David leaving the law firm or
- 18 before that -- strike that.
- 19 When did Mr. David leave 110 Wall Street, what year?
- 20 I'll ask a different way. Isn't it true Mr. David
- 21 | left the law firm in 2004?
- 22 A. Correct, yes.
- 23 | Q. All right. So prior to him leaving the law firm, is it not
- 24 | true that you and he or he and you did seven -- ETA 750 forms?
- 25 A. Let me answer this in two ways.

- Q. I don't want you to answer two ways. I want you to answer yes or no.
- Isn't it true you and Mr. David, prior to him leaving

 Under the street of the street
- 5 no?
- 6 A. Mr. David would fill out. 2004, Mr. David would fill out.
- 7 Q. Between 2000 and 2004, did you help Mr. David fill out ETA
- 8 | 750 forms?
 - A. Between 2000 and 2004, until the PERM system came out.
- 10 | Q. Is that a yes or no? Did you or did you not assist
- 11 Mr. David fill out ETA 750 forms between 2000 and 2004, yes or
- 12 no?

- 13 A. Yes, 2000 to the time the PERM came out.
- 14 | Q. Now, you're saying that in -- I believe you said on direct
- 15 | that when the PERM, this is what you call them, PERMs came out,
- 16 | you didn't do that anymore?
- 17 A. Right. I did not file those cases.
- 18 | Q. And you said on direct that you saw Ms. Cibik file those
- 19 cases for Mr. David; is that correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Do you recall saying on direct that you know Ms. Cibik
- 22 | filed, filled those out because you sat next to her while
- 23 Mr. David was teaching her; do you recall that?
- 24 A. No, I never said I sat next to.
- 25 | Q. Did you not say on direct that you know Ms. Cibik filled

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

Grynsztajn - cross

937

out these 9089 PERM forms with Mr. David because you were sitting there while he was teaching her?

MR. PASTORE: Objection. Mischaracterizes the prior testimony.

THE COURT: I don't remember exactly what it was. If you have a page reference, I'd be happy to look.

- Q. Did you not say that yesterday?
- A. No. I said I was in the room. I did not say I was sitting next to them watching them together.
- Q. Oh, you were in the room?
- 11 | A. Yeah.
- Q. Watching Mr. David teach Ms. Cibik how to do these 9089 forms?
- 14 A. I might have walked in, I might have walked out. I walk
 15 from room to room.
 - Q. So you might have walked in and then walked out because you go from room to room. And upon you walking in and walking out, that's when you saw Mr. David teaching Ms. Cibik how to do
- 19 these 9089 forms. Is that what you're saying?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- Q. So when you walked in and then walked out, what did you see, what was the teaching part that you saw, what did you see?
- A. I saw Ms. Gulay sitting next to Mr. David while he was doing the labor form and showing exactly how to do it.
- 25 | Q. And this happened in the process of you walking in and

D1NLCIB4 Gr

Grynsztajn – cross

938

1 | walking out?

A. Yeah.

2

- 3 | Q. Okay. And this was after, of course, you had been taught
- 4 | by Mr. David for several months how to do other forms, correct?
- 5 | Correct?
- 6 A. It's wrong.
 - Q. It's not wrong. That's wrong?
- 8 A. Repeat the question.
- 9 Q. You're saying that Mr. David taught Ms. Cibik how to do
- 10 | these 9089 forms, that's what you're saying, correct, you said
- 11 | that, right?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And you're saying you don't know how to do them -- you said
- 14 | yesterday you don't know how to do them because you were never
- 15 | taught how to do them. Correct?
- 16 A. Because I never taught, I never wanted to do them.
- 17 \parallel Q. But you know about the G-28, the I750, the 485, the 285,
- 18 | the I21, and just about every other form in the immigration
- 19 | network, but you don't know about the 9089; is that what you're
- 20 | saying?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 MR. PASTORE: Objection. Objection. There are some
- 23 | forms in there that I don't think were part of any testimony.
- MR. DONALDSON: I'm sorry. I apologize.
- 25 | Q. You know about the 485, the 485A, the 765, the 325, the

Grynsztajn - cross

- I = 140, the H1, excuse me, the I=131, EAC number, and a G=28,
- 2 | but you're saying that you didn't learn how to do a 9089?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 | Q. And you're saying that you know Ms. Cibik knows how to do
- 5 | it because you walked in and out of the office and happened to
- 6 see Mr. David teaching her one day?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And even though you have been taught for months about how
- 9 to do immigration fraud, on this particular day you weren't
- 10 | taught how to do 9089; is that your testimony?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Now, you started working I believe you said with the firm
- 13 | in 1999; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And you came to the firm from a shelter; is that correct?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. I believe you said a Bronx shelter; is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Homeless, not homeless, that was your home, the shelter?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And you came to Mr. David's firm to work, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. You call him or he calls you?
- 24 \parallel A. I came to visit him.
- 25 | Q. You came to visit him at the firm?

940

D1NLCIB4 Grynsztajn – cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Saw it was a nice firm going on, you wanted to work; is
- 3 | that right?
- 4 A. I knew him for many years.
- 5 Q. So you saw your old friend from many years ago and you
- 6 wanted to work, correct?
- 7 A. I went to him, yes.
- 8 Q. And he gave you a job as a copy boy?
- 9 A. He said he needed somebody to make copies. So you want to
- 10 make a few dollars, stick around here.
- 11 $\|$ Q. This was in 1999?
- 12 A. Approximately, yes.
- 13 | Q. And then in 2000, your job duties went from copy boy to
- 14 | immigration fraud?
- 15 \parallel A. If you want to call that, yes.
- 16 | Q. If I want to call it, is that what you said?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Was it fraud?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. So you're admitting that you were committing immigration
- 21 | fraud, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Now, you said you stopped working there in April or
- 24 | May 2006; would that be fair to say?
- 25 A. That would be correct.

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 Q. January 2006 you were approached by law enforcement,
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Federal agents, correct?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And these -- did they reach out to you or did you reach out
- 7 | to them?
- 8 A. They reached out to me.
- 9 Q. So you didn't go up to them and tell the federal
- 10 government, listen, I'm feeling really bad about what I'm
- 11 doing, I want to report my bad conduct to the federal
- 12 government; you didn't say that, right?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. They called you one day and told you to come to the office?
- 15 A. They came to visit me.
- 16 | Q. They came to visit you where?
- 17 A. In the house.
- 18 Q. At a house, the house?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | O. House where?
- 21 | A. Where I live.
- 22 | Q. So you went from living in a shelter to living in a house?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And this was based upon your work at the Earl David firm?
- 25 A. Correct.

Grynsztajn - cross

- Q. You went from making no money to making enough money to own a house?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And you also owned your car?
- 5 A. At that time I had a leased car.
- 6 Q. So you went from shelter to house and car working at the
- 7 David firm, correct?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Fair to say you made you a lot of money, correct?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Roughly how much a year, a hundred thousand, 200,000 a
- 12 | year, 300,000?
- 13 A. I can't estimate.
- 14 | Q. 400,000?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- 16 Q. 500,000?
- 17 A. Don't know how much.
- 18 | Q. Don't know how much, but you won't say it wasn't 500,000?
- 19 A. You talking about over the years or are you talking about
- 20 | for one year?
- Q. One year. Give me one year. How much you made in 2000?
- 22 | 2001, how much did you make in 2001?
- 23 | A. I don't know. Around 100, 150,000, I don't know.
- 24 | Q. And you were just getting starting 2001. How much did you
- 25 | make in 2002?

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 | A. Less.
- 2 Q. You made less in 2002?
- 3 | A. Yeah.
- 4 | Q. A hundred thousand?
- 5 A. Probably less.
- 6 Q. How much did you make in 2003?
- 7 A. Even less.
- 8 Q. How much you made in 2004?
- 9 A. I'm not sure, not much.
- 10 Q. So you started making 150,000 -- strike that.
- 11 You started making little to nothing as a copy boy, I
- 12 presume, correct?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And then you went to 150,000 in one year; is that correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Somehow you made enough money to buy you a house, to get
- 17 | you a house in one of these counties in New York City, correct?
- 18 A. The house was not bought with the money from the office.
- 19 Q. Oh. When did you -- did you pay your taxes on the money
- 20 | you made in 2001?
- 21 A. In 2001, no.
- 22 | Q. 2002?
- 23 | A. I'm not sure what year, but a few years I have to pay.
- 24 | Q. A few years you have to pay?
- 25 A. Yeah.

- Q. But you're sure you didn't pay the taxes for the year you made the most money?
- 3 A. I'm not sure what years I didn't pay. I got to check.
- 4 | Q. Now, when you -- you said that federal agents or federal
- 5 government, somebody reached out, came to your house to talk to
- 6 you, correct?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And they knocked on the door and you let them in, correct?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You knew they were federal agents and you let them in,
- 11 | correct?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And they started asking questions about your work at the
- 14 | Earl David firm, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. This was in January of 2006; is that right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And when they came into your door, they sat down and
- 19 | started asking you questions, you said you knew they were the
- 20 | federal government, correct?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. They told you you need to tell them the truth about what's
- 23 going on at the Earl David firm, correct?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. They told you you were in a little bit of trouble, correct?

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 A. They said something like that, yeah.
- 2 | Q. They said it's probably good to help yourself out, correct?
- 3 A. It wasn't a long conversation. I stopped them. I told
- 4 | them I want to speak to my attorney and then I will get back to
- 5 them.
- 6 Q. So you spoke to your attorney, then you got back to them;
- 7 | is that correct?
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. Got back to them again in January 2006, correct?
- 10 A. Something like that.
- 11 | Q. And where did you all meet that next time?
- 12 A. The U.S. Attorney's Office.
- 13 | Q. And at that point it was a lot more formal, correct, lot
- 14 more formal structure, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And you told them -- they told you it's time to tell us
- 17 | what's going on, correct?
- 18 A. My attorney at that time advised me to tell them everything
- 19 | that I knew.
- 20 MR. PASTORE: Objection.
- 21 MR. DONALDSON: I'm not asking about --
- 22 MR. PASTORE: Goes into potential attorney-client
- 23 privilege. Move to strike.
- 24 THE COURT: Don't tell us what your attorney told you.
- 25 Okay?

Grynsztajn - cross

946

1 THE WITNESS: Okay.

- Q. When you were sitting down in the U.S. Attorney's Office,
- 3 | the U.S. Attorney's Office and their representatives told you
- 4 | to tell them the truth, correct?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And that was that first meeting you had with them in this
- 7 | office, in the U.S. Attorney's Office, correct?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And at that meeting they told you the most important part
- 10 | is to tell the truth, correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And they told you -- well, strike that.
- 13 They began to ask you questions about the Earl David
- 14 | law firm, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And people that work in the law firm, correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And people that were -- the duties and responsibilities of
- 19 certain people in the law firm, correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. How long did that meeting last, if you recall?
- 22 A. I'm not sure. I don't remember.
- 23 | Q. Estimate, hour, two hours, three hours?
- 24 A. Maybe two hours.
- 25 Q. Maybe two hours. That was in 2006, January 2006?

A. Yes.

- 2 | Q. So it was about two-hour meeting?
- 3 A. I don't recall how long the first meeting was.
- 4 | Q. During that meeting they asked you about -- they asked you
- 5 about -- they asked you whether or not you knew about people
- 6 | who made or had anything to do with false tax returns or W-2
- 7 | forms, do you recall them asking you that question?
- 8 A. I don't recall them asking me specific that question.
- 9 Q. Isn't it true on that first meeting you told them that you
- 10 didn't have any information or know anything about anybody that
- 11 | did -- let me make sure I'm right. One second, Judge.
- 12 Isn't it true that you told them you had no idea where
- 13 companies or aliens could obtain tax returns, W-2s, and other
- 14 | supporting documentation in the event that legitimate ones did
- 15 | not exist?
- 16 A. Can you repeat that question?
- 17 | Q. Isn't it true that you told them the federal government
- 18 | after being told to tell them the truth that you had no idea
- 19 | where companies or aliens could obtain tax returns, W-2s, and
- 20 other supporting documentation in the event that legitimate
- 21 ones do not exist?
- 22 A. I don't recall that.
- 23 MR. DONALDSON: May I show. I'll approach with
- 24 | 3501-1, second page, last sentence of the first paragraph.
- 25 | Q. Do you want me to direct you to read on top of where the

1

2

3

4

5

8

15

- phone numbers are, second page. First full paragraph, right above where the phone numbers are in the part where it says he has no idea.
- A. I think I was misquoted here.
- Q. You think you were misquoted there?
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 | Q. Misquoted by whom?
 - A. I don't know. They took down the notes, I don't know.
- 9 Q. So the person who took down the notes misquoted you on
 10 whether or not you had any idea about all these tax forms that
 11 you've been testifying about; is that your testimony?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- Q. Since we're talking about tax forms, you mentioned different tax forms, Vago's and two other people's tax forms;
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And you said you can tell which one is which, right?
- 18 A. What I seen in the office, yes.

do you recall that testimony?

- 19 | Q. So now tell me again -- strike that.
- Tell the jury how can you tell which one is Vago and which one is the other person's by merely looking at it?
- A. Mr. Vago tax return would never, would never be signed. He would come into the office with the empty one and he would stamp it.
- 25 The other accountant would bring them, stamp, and

Grynsztajn - cross

949

```
1 certify by IRS. There was two different.
```

- Q. And you remember that based upon you seeing that?
- 3 A. I tell Mr. Vago for years.
- Q. So the way that we test that to be true is by you saying
- 5 that?

- 6 MR. PASTORE: Objection.
- 7 MR. DONALDSON: I'll withdraw it.
- 8 | Q. I believe you said your hours of work was generally 6 p.m.
- 9 to 2 a.m., correct?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Now, between -- you said you left the law firm in May,
- 12 | April or May 2006; is that right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And you started speaking with the government in
- 15 | January 2006, correct?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- Q. So between January 2006 and April or May of 2006, you still
- 18 were going back and forth to work, correct?
- 19 A. Give me the dates again?
- 20 | Q. You said that you spoke to or began speaking with the
- 21 government in January of 2006, correct? Right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. You also said that you stopped working or going to work at
- 24 | the law firm, Earl David law firm, around April or May 2006,
- 25 | correct?

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. So that means between January 2006 and April or May of
- 3 | 2006, you were still going to work, correct?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And you were going to work between the hours of 6 p.m. and
- 6 | 2 a.m., correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. You weren't, while you were going to work, you weren't
- 9 wearing any kind of recording device, were you?
- 10 | A. No, sir.
- 11 | Q. You weren't wearing any type of video equipment, were you?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- 13 | Q. So it's fair to say that whatever you were doing at the
- 14 David firm between January 2006 and April or May 2006, while
- 15 | you were talking to the government, was not being monitored by
- 16 | the government, correct?
- 17 A. Not from my side. I was not wired.
- 18 | Q. You were still collecting money while you were working
- 19 | there, correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And you were collecting money from the work that you had
- 22 | been doing there, correct?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. So between January of 2006, when you were speaking to the
- 25 government, and May of 2006, you were still collecting money

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 | from fraud; is that correct?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. While you were speaking to the government?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. So did you give -- did you give the government the money
- 6 | that you were collecting?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. Did you turn that fraudulent money back over to the
- 9 clients?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 Q. So while you were talking to the government, you were still
- 12 participating in a crime by keeping the money?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Did you tell the government how much money you received?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 | Q. Does anyone know how much money you received?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. Did you keep any receipts for that?
- 19 A. It would be in the files.
- 20 | O. What files?
- 21 A. Whatever is in the office.
- 22 | Q. So the money that you made is in some files?
- 23 A. The client, for every case, the client would get a receipt.
- 24 | Q. Now, in July of -- strike that.
- 25 You weren't calling the government on a daily basis

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 between January of 2006 and April or May of 2006, were you?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 Q. You weren't filling out any daily activity reports telling
- 4 | them what you were doing?
- $5 \parallel A.$ No, sir.
- 6 Q. So you were going to work, you were still -- were you still
- 7 recruiting clients?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So let me get this correct. You started talking to the
- 10 government January of 2006. And while you're talking to them,
- 11 | you're still recruiting clients for the fraud that you were
- 12 doing. Is that what you're saying?
- MR. PASTORE: Judge, asked and answered.
- MR. DONALDSON: I didn't say recruiting part. I
- 15 | haven't been to my recruiting part yet.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. Overruled.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
- 18 | Q. Sure. Between January 2006 and April or May of 2006, and
- 19 | while you were talking to the government, you were still
- 20 | recruiting immigrants or aliens for the fraud that you were
- 21 | doing?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | O. Is that fair?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Yes, is that a yes?

Grynsztajn - cross

953

1 A. Yes.

4

9

- Q. Didn't they tell you, the government tell you not to commit any more crimes?
 - MR. PASTORE: Objection. Time, as to timing.
- 5 THE COURT: I think we're in the January 2006, April, 6 May 2006 time frame.
- Q. Didn't the government tell you stop committing criminal activity?
 - M A. No.
- Q. So when you met with them the first time and then the second time -- strike that.
- How many times did meet with them between January 2006 and when you left?
- 14 | A. I have no recollection.
- 15 | O. You don't know?
- 16 A. I didn't count how many times I met with them.
- Q. But you remember a conversation from 2005 in the bottom of your office between my client and Mr. Lipa?
 - A. That is something you can remember, something you forget.
- Q. So meeting with the government about your participation in the fraud and possible prison time is something that you can forget?
- MR. PASTORE: Objection. That mischaracterizes his
 testimony. What he said was he didn't remember how many times
 he met with the government.

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 2 MR. DONALDSON: I'll move on.
- 3 | Q. Now, eventually, after 2006, you pled guilty, correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. You pled guilty to fraud, immigration fraud, things like
- 6 | that, correct, I believe you said three counts, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. This was in 2007?
- 9 A. Yeah, June 2007.
- 10 | Q. June 2007?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Before you pled guilty or sometime around that time, you
- 13 entered into a cooperation agreement, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And pursuant to that cooperation agreement, you agreed to
- 16 | fully cooperate; is that right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. You agreed to not commit any further criminal conduct; is
- 19 | that right?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. You entered into this agreement hoping to get less prison
- 22 | time, correct? Or strike that.
- I believe you said yesterday you did it so that you
- 24 | would get probation; is that correct? Didn't you say that
- 25 | yesterday?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. I said I entered agreement with the government to tell the truth, yeah.
- Q. Lift your head up.

Did you not say yesterday that you wanted to get probation, did you not say that yesterday?

- A. I said I hope to get probation.
- Q. Okay. And you hope to get probation as a result of your cooperating, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And when you signed this cooperation agreement and pled guilty, you were told that you were facing 20 years, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And you were told that if you mess up or do something in violation of this cooperation agreement, they're going to rip the cooperation agreement up, correct?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And that you will be facing 20 years, correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- Q. So then the motive for you not to mess up or to tell the truth or to not to commit any more crimes is that or the incentive is so that you may not face those 20 years, correct?

 I'll rephrase the question.
- 23 The reason for you signing the cooperation agreement 24 in your mind was to avoid 20 years, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 | Q. You're also not a citizen, correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 | Q. You're from I believe you said Russia?
- 4 A. I came from Poland.
- 5 | Q. Poland. And you've been here since the sixties I believe?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Family here?
- 8 A. Family?
- 9 Q. Family here in the U.S.?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Children here?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. You also I believe testified that you hope to get a letter
- 14 sent to immigration to assist you with staying here, correct?
- 15 | A. That's if I'm put through deportation proceeding.
- 16 | Q. So you also hope as the result of your cooperation not to
- 17 be put in deportation proceedings?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. So then when you pled guilty and signed a cooperation
- 20 | agreement, you were trying to avoid 20 years and you were also
- 21 | trying to avoid being put in deportation proceedings, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Mr. Mayer Weber, do you know him?
- 24 A. Mayer Weber was one of the providers of sponsors.
- 25 | Q. And he was someone that participated in committing

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 | fraudulent immigration conduct, correct?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. He worked with Earl David, correct?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. I believe you said he provided fake sponsors?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And he got paid to do this, correct?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you knew that at the time when Mr. Weber and Mr. David
 10 were doing this fake sponsor thing, you knew that was fraud,
- 11 | correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. So now after you pled guilty, you were still speaking to
- 14 Mr. Earl David, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And during one of those conversations with Earl David, he
- 17 | suggested that you get into or start a business, correct?
- 18 A. He said he has a couple clients for me.
- 19 Q. As a matter of fact, during one of your conversations with
- 20 | Earl David, he suggested you get into a business with Mayer
- 21 | Weber, correct?
- 22 | A. Something like that. He said we should do some cases.
- 23 | Q. Something like that. Isn't it true that after you pled
- 24 guilty, after you signed the cooperation agreement, you spoke
- 25 | to Earl David and he suggested that you and Mayer Weber join

- together and get an immigration consulting business; isn't that
 true?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. So the person who started the fraud, this immigration
- fraud, and another person who was part of the fraud -- strike that.
 - The person who started this fraud suggested you, after pleading guilty and cooperating, start up a new business in immigration with the former person in fraud?
- 10 | A. Yes.

8

9

- 11 Q. And the name of this company I believe was Immigration
- 12 | Consultants Inc. or something like that?
- 13 A. I have no idea.
- 14 | Q. Well, you did start a company with Mayer Weber, correct?
- 15 | A. I think Mayer Weber started, not me.
- Q. Okay. So Mayer Weber started the company and you joined
- 17 | the company, correct?
- 18 A. I just joined it for the two cases and I didn't even know
- 19 they were using name.
- 20 Q. Did you join a company with Mayer Weber related to
- 21 | immigration consulting after you pled guilty and after you
- 22 signed a cooperation agreement? Yes or no, sir.
- 23 A. I met Mr. Weber for two cases, but I don't know what
- 24 company you're talking about even.
 - Q. Did you not tell the federal government in one of your many

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 | meetings that you and Mayer Weber started a company called
- 2 | Immigration Consultants, yes or no?
- 3 A. I think Mr. Weber started the company.
- 4 | Q. Were you a partner in the company?
- 5 A. I knew about the company, but I don't think I was on the
- 6 paper, the corporation or anything like that.
- 7 | Q. So you weren't part of the incorporation papers?
- 8 A. If it was incorporated, I have no idea. I have no
- 9 recollection of it today.
- 10 | Q. You helped with the company, did you help the company?
- 11 A. I helped Mayer Weber.
- 12 | Q. You helped Mayer Weber. You helped Weber with this
- 13 | immigration company. Is that better?
- 14 A. I don't recall this case being filed even with the company
- 15 name.
- 16 | Q. What case are you talking about?
- 17 A. The two cases that were filed.
- 18 | Q. What two cases are those?
- 19 A. There was one for -- one was construction.
- 20 | O. What's the client's name?
- 21 | A. The client name?
- 22 | Q. Yes.
- 23 A. I don't remember the client name.
- 24 | Q. You don't remember the client's names?
- 25 A. I remember the sponsor in one case.

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 | Q. Didn't you say -- strike that.
- 2 On yesterday you said to the federal government on
- 3 direct examination that you've had hundreds of cases; do you
- 4 recall saying that?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you recall telling the federal government and the jury
- 7 | yesterday that I remember most of my clients' names in my
- 8 | cases; do you recall saying that?
- 9 A. I might have, yes.
- 10 | Q. And now you're saying you forgot this one?
- 11 A. I just don't recall the name.
- 12 | Q. Did you send Earl David a client's name related to Mayer
- Weber's immigration company?
- 14 A. I might have or Mr. Weber did. I'm not sure.
- 15 | Q. You pled quilty again, didn't you?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. January 4, 2013?
- 18 A. I think January 13 or something.
- 19 | Q. January 13 you pled guilty again?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And you pled guilty to participating in immigration fraud,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. And you pled guilty to participating in immigration fraud
- 25 | that occurred after you pled guilty and entered the cooperation

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 | agreement back in 2007; is that correct?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. So then knowing that you were facing 20 years, knowing that
- 4 you were facing deportation, you still participated in
- 5 | immigration fraud; is that correct?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So the 20 years and the deportation didn't stop you from
- 8 committing crimes?
- 9 A. There was a reason behind it.
- 10 | Q. So there's a reason behind you committing your crimes; is
- 11 | that what you said?
- 12 A. Mr. David was --
- 13 | Q. I didn't ask you that. Is there a reason behind you
- 14 | committing your criminal activity?
- 15 | A. There was a reason behind these two cases that were filed.
- 16 Q. So the two cases that you don't remember the aliens for,
- 17 | there's a reason behind that; is that right?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And the reason behind that was the 20 years and the
- 20 deportation didn't concern you, correct?
- 21 A. No, sir, that's not true.
- 22 | Q. Because something was more important than that to you,
- 23 | correct? Correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. You were willing to risk 20 years, deportation, to commit

Grynsztajn - cross

- more criminal activity? 1
- 2 That's not true. Α.
- 3 Q. And you pled quilty again, you're facing 25 years now,
- 4 correct?
- 5 Yes. Α.
- 6 And you're saying that we're telling the truth now,
- 7 correct?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 Q. And we believe -- the jury believes that because you're
- 10 saying it?
- 11 MR. PASTORE: Objection, your Honor. The jury will
- 12 draw its own conclusions.
- 13 Q. You said something on direct this morning, 1501 Pine Park
- 14 Avenue; do you recall saying that?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- You know that address, right? 16 0.
- 17 Α. Yes.
- That's an address of what? 18 0.
- Mr. Flam's residence. 19 Α.
- 20 Abraham Flam's residence? Ο.
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 I believe you said on direct that a client or alien came
- 23 running back to you mad at you because he went there and there
- 24 was no business, correct? Did you not say that on direct?
- 25 I never said the client came to me.

Grynsztajn - cross

Who did the client come to? 1

- I said he came to the office screaming. 2 Α.
- 3 He came to the office screaming? 0.
- 4 Α. Yes.
- 5 Was it your client? Q.
- It might have been. 6 Α.
- 7 Might have been your client?
- I'm not sure. 8 Α.
- 9 Strike that. You said the client came to the office,
- 10 correct?
- 11 Α. Yeah.
- 12 You were in the office and you saw him, correct?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 So now you're telling the jury he might have been your
- 15 client?
- A. No. He was the client, the client was -- I'm not sure he 16
- 17 was my client.
- 18 Q. So you saw this person in the office screaming about this
- 19 address, and you don't know whether or not he was your client
- 20 or not; is that your testimony?
- 21 Α. I don't recall.
- 22 You don't recall that either?
- 23 I don't recall it was my client.
- Now, you said you prepared G-28s; do you recall saying that 24
- 25 today?

D1NLCIB4 Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You prepared these G-28s, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 \square Q. Do you know what a G-28 is?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Tell the jury.
- 7 A. G-28 is a form that authorize the client or the sponsor
- 8 | sign it to be represented by.
- 9 Q. Speak into the mike and say that again.
- 10 A. It's a representation form.
- 11 \parallel Q. A what kind of form?
- 12 A. Representation.
- 13 Q. Representation form?
- 14 | A. Yeah.
- 15 | Q. It's an authorized representation form, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. Signed by an attorney or an authorized representative,
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Who is representing the alien, correct?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And you prepared these forms, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. And you're not an attorney, are you?
- 25 A. No, I did not sign them.

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 | Q. You just prepared them?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Prepared them for who to sign?
- 4 A. For the attorney to sign.
- 5 Q. What attorney?
- 6 A. And the client.
- 7 | Q. After you left this law firm, the Earl David law firm, I
- 8 | believe you said you began or you kept communicating with Sam
- 9 | Salamon, Earl David, Mayer Weber, those persons you kept in
- 10 | contact with, correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. But you also kept -- lean up to the mike. Come on. There
- 13 you go.
- But you also kept in contact with an attorney named
- 15 | Klug, correct?
- 16 A. I might have spoke to him a couple times.
- 17 | Q. And you kept in contact with these people via email,
- 18 correct?
- 19 Did you not say on direct that you kept in contact
- 20 | with Sam Salamon, Earl David, Mayer Weber via email, in person,
- 21 or by phone?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You never contacted Ms. Cibik via email, correct, after you
- 24 | left?
- 25 A. No.

Grynsztajn – cross

- Q. Never contacted her by phone either after you left, did you?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 | Q. Never contacted her in person after you left, did you?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 Q. But a few months after you left the firm, you asked Sam,
- 7 | Lipa -- Lipa is Mr. Teitelbaum, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. You asked Sam, Lipa, and Earl for some money, correct?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And I believe on direct you said you were looking for
- 12 | approximately \$25,000, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. You also asked him for attorney's fees, correct? Isn't it
- 15 | true, sir, that after you left the law firm, you asked either
- 16 | Sam, Lipa, or Mayer for attorney's fees?
- 17 A. I don't recall that.
- 18 | Q. You don't recall that?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 | Q. Did you not tell the government during one your meetings
- 21 | that you spoke to Sam or Lipa or Sam or Lipa and asked them for
- 22 attorney's fees?
- 23 A. I might have.
- 24 | Q. You might have?
- 25 A. Yeah.

- Q. What was the 25,000 for? You gave a specific number that you wanted, \$25,000. What was the 25,000 for?
 - A. For the referrals of clients to the office, for the approvals that Gulay took from me.
 - Q. So you wanted -- not only were you getting -- strike that.
 - You wanted \$25,000 from Sam, Lipa or Mayer for work that you had done while you were at the firm; is that correct?
- 8 | A. Yes.

4

5

6

- 9 Q. So you wanted -- this work that you had done at the firm 10 was fraudulent conduct, correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- Q. So even after you left the firm and in 2007, well, sometime
 after you left the firm, you still wanted to collect money from
 your fraudulent conduct?
- 15 MR. PASTORE: Objection.
- Q. After you left the firm, you wanted \$25,000 for the work that you had did, correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- Q. I believe you said -- you didn't say this -- but you did
 meet Sam somewhere after you left the firm, correct?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- Q. I think you met him out in the street by the deli
- 23 somewhere, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And Sam gave you some money, correct?

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. This was money related to the work that you had did at the
- 3 | law firm?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Lean in some.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. You got a receipt for that money?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 | Q. How much money was it?
- 10 | A. I think around 1,500.
- 11 \parallel Q. And no receipt for the 1,500?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | Q. Did you -- that was criminally obtained or fraudulent
- 14 money, proceeds of fraud, that was proceeds of fraud?
- 15 A. I didn't say that.
- 16 Q. The money that he gave you was a result of the fraudulent
- 17 conduct that you were committing at the firm, correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. So that would be fraudulent proceeds, correct?
- 20 A. That's what you call it.
- 21 | Q. You got that money or you were still talking to the
- 22 government or meeting with the government when you got that
- 23 money, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Did you give it to them? What did you do with the money?

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 A. I did not give the money to the government, no.
- 2 | Q. He paid you this money in cash?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. So other than you telling us it was 1,500, there's no
- 5 receipt or record for the exact amount of that money?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. So it could have been more?
- A. I don't remember, 15; 1,700, something like that. I don't
- 9 recall.
- 10 \parallel Q. So now it goes to 1,700. Could it have been 2,500?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 Q. You never asked Ms. Cibik to bring you any money, correct?
- 13 A. I spoke with Mr. David about it.
- 14 | Q. You spoke and my question was -- well, that's the question.
- 15 You didn't ask Ms. Cibik for any money, did you?
- 16 | A. No.
- 17 | Q. But you're saying that you wanted money for the files that
- 18 | you believe Ms. Cibik worked on; is that what you said?
- 19 A. I said the ones she took from me.
- 20 Q. The ones she took from you?
- 21 | A. Yeah.
- 22 | Q. How many were those?
- 23 | A. A lot.
- 24 | Q. What's the name of those?
- 25 A. You want the name of the client?

Grynsztajn – cross

- 1 | Q. You know the name?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. You don't know the name of the clients?
- 4 A. They were very hard Turkish names. But when I see them, it
- 5 refreshes the memory.
- 6 Q. When you see them, it refreshes the memory?
- 7 \parallel A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. So just so I'm clear, you're saying today that you -- when
- 9 | you see the names, it would refresh your recollection that it's
- 10 | a client she supposedly took from you, but you're saying that
- 11 | you never asked her for any of the money?
- 12 A. Correct.
- MR. DONALDSON: Can I have one second, your Honor.
- 14 Q. While you were at the Earl David law firm, did you --
- 15 strike that.
- You did commit forgery, correct? Yes or no?
- 17 A. I don't really understand this question.
- 18 Q. You signed other people's names, didn't you?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- 20 | Q. From 2000 to 2006, while you were working at the Earl David
- 21 | law firm, isn't it a fact that you signed or put other person's
- 22 | names, attorneys, people down on the 16th floor, put their
- 23 names on documents?
- 24 A. Okay. You're asking me two questions here. Let me answer
- 25 you the first one.

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 | Q. Feel free.
- 2 A. I prepare the forms with the attorney's names, but I did
- 3 | not sign the name. The form was brought to the attorney to
- 4 sign it.
- 5 Q. Okay. So you never signed an attorney's name?
- 6 A. No, sir.
- 7 Q. Did you create retainer agreements?
- 8 A. Repeat the question?
- 9 Q. Did you create retainer agreements?
- 10 A. I prepare retainer agreements.
- 11 | Q. What does that mean you prepared them? You wrote them out,
- 12 | you drafted the retainer agreements?
- 13 A. Yeah, I typed it up, yes.
- 14 | Q. Do you know someone named Christoff Myerski?
- 15 A. Christoff?
- 16 Q. Myerski.
- 17 A. Can you spell it?
- 18 \parallel Q. M-Y-E-R-S-K-I?
- 19 A. M?
- 20 | 0. Y-E-R-S-K-I?
- 21 A. Rings a bell something.
- 22 | Q. Rings a bell something?
- 23 | A. Yeah.
- 24 | Q. Did you create the retainer for Christoff Myerski?
- 25 A. I possibly prepared it.

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 | Q. Did you receive a \$200,000 fee for retainer?
- 2 | A. Excuse me?
- 3 | Q. 200,000.00.
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Did you ever receive a \$200,000 retainer fee?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 | Q. Lean in some.
- 8 | A. No.
- 9 Q. The job offers that you spoke of, you recall speaking about
- 10 | those, correct? Do you recall speaking about the job offers?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Job offer letters?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. I believe the prosecutor showed you some evidence where
- 15 | there were newspaper ads up; do you recall seeing those?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. You know who Maritza Diaz is, correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. She's the woman up there top third from the left, lawyer?
- 20 A. Yes, the third one on the right.
- 21 | Q. Sorry. Now, she worked at the Earl David law firm,
- 22 correct?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. You and Ms. Diaz created fake newspaper advertisements,
- 25 | correct?

22

23

24

25

973

THE COURT: Before we go through this exercise, are you sure that he didn't say it?

MR. PASTORE: I don't personally recall seeing it.

MR. DONALDSON: He'll be up there for a while. I'll get back to it. I'll pull it out for you.

Grynsztajn – cross

- 1 | THE COURT: Okay.
- Q. You and Ms. Diaz also -- not also, get back to that --
- 3 created fake Yahoo accounts, correct?
- 4 | A. No, sir.
- 5 MR. DONALDSON: Can I have one second, please.
- 6 Q. You met with the government in May of 2006, correct?
- 7 A. It's possible.
- 8 | Q. Possible?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. In May of 2006, did you speak to them about the Earl David
- 11 | law firm?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. So let me ask you, when you say -- did you put down any
- 14 | Yahoo accounts in any newspaper advertisements?
- 15 A. I did not set up any Yahoo accounts.
- MR. DONALDSON: Can I have one second please, your
- 17 Honor.
- 18 | Q. Earlier today you said that you -- and yesterday, I
- 19 | believe -- you paid Brodjik by cash almost every night; do you
- 20 | recall saying that?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Now, you were working on the 16th floor, correct?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And Lipa and Salamon were working on the 16th floor with
- 25 you, correct?

Case 1:11-cr-00424-NRB Document 205 Filed 02/14/13 Page 168 of 213 D1NLCIB4 Grynsztajn - cross Α. Correct. I believe you said the office had two desks in it, correct? A. Yes. Q. You sat in one desk, I believe you said Salamon sat in the other desk, correct? A. Correct. (Continued on next page)

- 1 BY MR. DONALDSON:
- 2 | Q. And I believe you said Lipa stood up and wrote his notes on
- 3 | top of a counter or a desk or cabinet; is that correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. So there were only two desks in the office.
- 6 A. That's all we had.
- 7 | Q. When did you start -- when did you begin in your office?
- 8 \parallel When was your first time in the office on the 15th floor?
- 9 A. You're talking about the -- the date when I started work?
- 10 | Q. Yes.
- 11 A. When we moved there, I think '05.
- 12 | Q. I'm sorry?
- 13 A. I said we moved there 2005.
- 14 | Q. You moved to the 16th floor in 2005?
- 15 A. 2004, 2005, yeah.
- 16 | Q. So sometime between -- well, when did you start in the
- 17 | collection -- this cash collection, when did that start out?
- 18 A. When Mr. David was suspended.
- 19 Q. You also said on direct that you saw Mr. -- saw Mr. Brodjik
- 20 | collecting from Ms. Cibik. Do you recall saying that?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Ms. Cibik was on the 21st floor; correct?
- 23 A. I would see Ms. Cibik almost every day on the 16th floor
- 24 too.
- 25 \parallel Q. So Ms. Cibik was -- happened to be on the 16th floor at

```
the time that Brodjik was on the 16<sup>th</sup> floor collecting money from you all?
```

- A. Some days would happen he would collect the money from her on the $16^{\mbox{th}}$ floor.
- Q. But you're sure you saw him collecting money from Ms. Cibik.
- A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Did you not tell the government on December 5th, 2012 that you were unsure if Rafi ever collected money from Gulay?

Did you not tell the government on December 5th, 2012, about a month and a half ago, that you were unsure whether Rafi collected any money from Ms. Cibik?

- A. I said he was collecting from everybody. That was his job.

 I might have been misquoted here.
 - MR. DONALDSON: Can I have a second, please, your Honor?
- 17 THE COURT: Yes.

18 (Pause)

- Q. The firm -- excuse me -- the offices on the 16th floor, did they have anything in them that suggested they were connected to the Earl David law firm? By that I mean, was there a placard outside the door, anything in the office walls that said Earl David Law Firm, Part of Earl David Law Firm, Associate of Earl David Law Firm, anything of the sort?
- A. I don't recall, but I think at one time there might have

D1n1cib5 Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 been a sign.
- 2 Q. When was that?
- 3 A. When we were first moving there.
- 4 | Q. When you first moved there, 2005, you said you believed
- 5 there was a sign up on the 16th floor.
- 6 A. Might have been a sign, yes.
- 7 | Q. How many computers were on the 16th floor?
- 8 A. Depends what period of time you're talking about.
- 9 Q. 2005, 2006, how many computers on the 16th floor?
- 10 A. About three, four.
- 11 | Q. These computers, were they password protected? Did you
- 12 | need a password to sign in to get on the computer?
- 13 A. I believe so, yes.
- 14 Q. You did.
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. Ms. Cibik didn't have a password for her computer,
- 17 | did she?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. So you said you saw her on the 16th floor. You recall
- 20 saying that; correct? You recall saying that; right?
- 21 A. I said what?
- Q. Do you recall saying you saw Ms. Cibik come to the 16th
- 23 | floor? You recall saying that; correct?
- 24 \blacksquare A. She came many times to the 16th floor.
- 25 Q. Many times.

D1n1cib5

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And when she came, according to you, did she use these
- 3 computers?
- 4 A. She might have.
- 5 Q. Might have.
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. Do you recall seeing her use the computers?
- 8 A. If the computer was open there, she might have used it.
- 9 | Q. You don't have any independent recollection of seeing
- 10 Ms. Cibik using the computer down there, did you?
- 11 | A. No, sir.
- 12 | Q. What was Sam's and Lipa's hours of work, from 2005 to 2006?
- 13 | A. What was the hours?
- 14 Q. Yes. What was their hours?
- 15 A. I think they used to come around 6, 6 p.m., and stay -- by
- 16 | the time I left, they were still there.
- 17 | Q. So Sam and Lipa got in at 6 p.m. as well?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 MR. DONALDSON: Your Honor, if I may, could I ask the
- 20 government to put up 3035-2 and 3035-4.
- 21 | Q. Mr. Grynsztajn, I'm showing you what you identified earlier
- 22 \parallel today, 3035-2 and 3035-4. Do you recall testifying about those
- 23 documents?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. I believe the government also showed you some documents to

- 1 ask you regarding -- ask you questions regarding handwriting.
- 2 Do you recall that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. I want you to look at 3035-2, signed by Earl David, and
- 5 look at 3035-4, signed by Earl David. In your experience are
- 6 | those the same signatures?
- 7 Strike that. Who signed them?
- 8 Who signed 3035-2, who signed 3035-4?
- 9 | A. Earl David.
- 10 | Q. In your experience are those two signatures the same?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 | Q. No?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. They're different signatures?
- 15 A. They look different.
- 16 | Q. So who signed -- you just said Earl David. So Earl David
- 17 | signed both of them?
- 18 A. I don't know. I did not sign it.
- 19 | Q. Who signed it?
- 20 Who signed it?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- 22 Q. You don't know.
- 23 MR. DONALDSON: Okay. Could you put up 1113-5 and
- 24 | 1113-3, please.
- 25 | 1113-5 says Abraham Flam, President; 1113-3 says

D1n1cib5

Grynsztajn - cross

981

- 1 Abraham Flam, President. Who signed 1113-5?
- 2 A. It says here Abe Flam.
 - Q. Who signed 1113-3?
 - A. It says Abe Flam.
- 5 THE COURT: That's not really the question.
- 6 MR. DONALDSON: I'm sorry, Judge.
- 7 THE COURT: You're asking not what it purports to be,
- 8 you're asking if he knows who actually signed these, or am I
- 9 wrong?

3

- MR. DONALDSON: Yes, sorry. That's correct, yes.
- 11 | Q. Do you know who signed these documents?
- 12 A. No, I don't know.
- 13 | Q. Does it appear to have the same signatures?
- 14 A. They appear different.
- MR. DONALDSON: You can take that down.
- 16 \parallel Q. You testified that you got your clients by referrals. Do
- 17 | you recall testifying to that, Mr. Grynsztajn?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You also indicated that Ms. Cibik -- you testified that
- 20 Ms. Cibik -- Ms. Cibik advertised in the Turkish paper. Do you
- 21 recall saying that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | O. When was that advertisement?
- 24 A. I'm not sure.
- 25 | Q. How long did she have it running?

Dln1cib5 Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 A. I have no idea.
- 2 | Q. What's the name of the paper?
- 3 A. Turkish paper.
- 4 | Q. What did the advertisement say?
- 5 A. I have no idea.
- 6 Q. Who put the advertisement in the paper?
- 7 Who put it in the paper? Who put the advertisement in
- 8 | the paper?
- 9 A. Gulay told me she was advertising in the Turkish paper.
- 10 | Q. Gulay told you that.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. When did she tell you that?
- 13 A. A long time ago.
- 14 | Q. 2002, 2001, 2003? When did she tell you this?
- 15 A. Maybe 2003.
- 16 Q. 2003 she told you that.
- 17 A. Approximately.
- 18 | Q. Where were you when you all had this conversation?
- 19 A. I have no recollection.
- 20 | O. You have no recollection.
- 21 A. No.
- 22 | Q. You also just spoke about American Girl. Do you recall
- 23 | testifying about that?
- 24 A. Can you repeat the question?
- 25 | Q. You also -- just recently this afternoon, you testified

D1n1cib5 Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 regarding American Fashion Girl. Do you recall that?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. I believe you said you heard of it before; correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You said you believed you had clients associated with it;
- 6 correct?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What clients?
- 9 A. I remember having couple of cases with this -- with this
- 10 company.
- 11 | Q. What clients?
- 12 A. I don't remember the names.
- 13 Q. When was the client?
- 14 A. Had to be sometime during 2005.
- 15 | Q. You said Lipa provided you with this company; correct?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. How did he do that?
- 18 A. By giving me the information.
- 19 Q. What do you mean he gave you -- oh, you were sitting in the
- 20 \parallel office with him; correct? This was when you were on the 16th
- 21 | floor; correct?
- 22 | A. Yes, yes.
- 23 | Q. And he was sitting there next to you; correct?
- 24 A. Maybe he was standing. I don't know.
- 25 Q. But he was in the office with you.

D1n1cib5

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And you asked him for the documents.
- 3 A. Yes. I asked I need a sponsor, he provided me the
- 4 | information.
- 5 Q. What do you mean provided? So he told you the name of the
- 6 | sponsor?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. And then you did what with that information?
- 9 A. I would send it over to Mr. David.
- 10 Q. So you didn't need the sponsor, Mr. David needed the
- 11 sponsor.
- 12 A. Mr. David was doing the PERM cases for me.
- 13 | Q. So am I understanding correctly, when you say they were
- 14 | your clients, Mr. David was doing the work?
- 15 | A. I would send him the information, he would prepare the case
- 16 for me.
- 17 | Q. What do you mean by sending him the information?
- 18 A. The client information, the company information.
- 19 | Q. This was in 2005?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. This was the PERM cases?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. So then you would do what exactly? You said you would do
- 24 | the client information, you would do the company information.
- 25 What other kind of --

D1n1cib5 Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 A. I would give him the information.
- 2 | Q. What other kind of information would you give Mr. David?
- 3 A. Whatever was needed to file the PERM case.
- 4 Q. So then you knew how to file the PERM case.
- A. No, I didn't know. I only knew what information to send over to him, but I didn't know how to -- how to work the
- 7 system, the job bank or anything like that.
- MR. DONALDSON: One second, your Honor.
- 9 Q. When Mr. David left the office in 2004, he went to another office; is that correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. What office was that?
- 13 A. 90 Washington Street.
- 14 | Q. Sorry?
- 15 A. 90 Washington Street.
- 16 | Q. And you went to 90 Washington Street sometimes; correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And how often did you go to 90 Washington Street?
- 19 A. Not often.
- 20 | O. It was not often.
- 21 A. Maybe once a week.
- 22 | Q. And how long did you do that?
- 23 A. Till Mr. David left to Canada.
- 24 | O. When was that?
- 25 A. I don't know the date exact.

D1n1cib5 Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 | Q. What month?
- 2 A. I have no idea.
- 3 | Q. What year?
- 4 A. I don't know exactly when he left.
- 5 | Q. Well, what were you doing at 90 Washington?
- 6 A. I was meeting with Mr. Philwin and clients.
- 7 Q. You were doing what?
- 8 A. Meeting with Mr. Philwin and clients.
- 9 Q. So you would go to 90 Washington once a week, meet with
- 10 Mr. Philwin and clients, and then after that you would go back
- 11 | over to 110 Wall Street and meet with clients as well?
- 12 A. Yes, some cases we don't want Mr. Salamon to see that, some
- 13 substitution cases, and there were files over there in that
- 14 office.
- 15 | Q. So you were hiding stuff from Mr. Salamon.
- 16 A. Some cases, yes.
- 17 | Q. And the reason you were doing that was because you wanted
- 18 | to what?
- 19 A. Nothing, but Mr. Salamon wanted everything, so Mr. David
- 20 | said, "Bring them over there, Mr. Rafi will let you in, he has
- 21 | the key to the office, and you do the case over there."
- 22 | Q. You spoke about experience letters. Do you recall speaking
- 23 | about that?
- Do you recall speaking about experience letters?
- 25 A. Yes.

D1n1cib5

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 | Q. Would you lean in, please.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Thank you. And you -- well, you've written experience
- 4 | letters; correct?
- $5 \parallel A.$ No, sir.
- 6 Q. You've never drafted experience letters?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 | Q. Didn't Earl David teach you how to draft experience letters
- 9 when he gave you that several-month training?
- 10 A. No. He said there was samples, sample, how an experience
- 11 letter should look. That's it.
- 12 | Q. He gave you samples.
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Did you actually see Earl David creating fake letters of
- 15 | experience?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Would it be true or isn't it a fact that you and Maritza
- 18 Diaz actually sent people to get fake documents and letters
- 19 | made?
- 20 A. Repeat that question?
- 21 | Q. Is it true that you and Maritza Diaz actually sent people
- 22 | to get fake documents and fake letters made?
- 23 | A. Yes. If somebody needs an experience letter, I would send
- 24 | them to -- if someone was Polish, then Aleksandra Urbanek to
- 25 get an experience letter.

D1n1cib5 Grynsztajn - cross

1 | Q. But you would send them other places as well; correct?

- 2 A. I don't recall.
- 3 | Q. Now you also said on direct yesterday I believe that you
- 4 saw Ms. Cibik making up experience letters. Do you recall
- 5 | saying that?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. When was that?
- 8 A. It was a few occasions.
- 9 Q. When? Name one.
- 10 A. I can't give you exactly the date.
- 11 Q. Give me the month.
- 12 A. We're talking about years.
- 13 | Q. You don't have a memory of that particular situation?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. What client was it?
- 16 A. Turkish client.
- 17 | Q. Turkish client.
- 18 | A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. Name, issues, anything like that? No?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. Did you give the government a name or date of when you saw
- 22 | Ms. Cibik supposedly creating these experience letters?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. But you just said you saw her. Where was she doing it?
- 25 A. Can you repeat the question?

- 1 Q. Where did she create these experience letters?
- 2 A. She was sitting in the office where she always sat.
- 3 Q. Where is that?
- 4 \parallel A. It was 21^{st} floor.
- 5 \parallel Q. So she always sat on the 21st floor.
- A. She always sat there. Her office was on the 21^{st} , but
- 7 \parallel she spent a good time on the 16th floor too.
- 8 Q. So on one of the days when she was either sitting or on the
- 9 | 16th floor, you happened to see her creating an experience
- 10 | letter.
- 11 A. I might have brought the client up to her.
- 12 Q. You might have brought the client to her.
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Which client was that?
- 15 | A. Was a Turkish client.
- 16 Q. And when you -- you also mentioned -- well, you were
- 17 | specific about this. You said you saw her do a job offer
- 18 | letters. Do you recall saying that too?
- 19 A. No, I don't recall.
- 20 | Q. You don't recall saying she did job offer letters?
- 21 A. I don't recall it.
- 22 | Q. So it's fair to say she didn't do that; correct?
- 23 A. I don't recall.
- 24 | Q. You spoke about sponsors as well. Do you recall speaking
- 25 | about sponsors?

D1n1cib5 Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 A. Can you repeat that?
- 2 Q. Sponsors, people that supposedly -- businesses that
- 3 supposedly sponsored.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. You know what sponsors are?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And you said -- I believe you said Lipa and -- strike that.
- 8 Did Lipa create the sponsors? Did he create companies that
- 9 acted as sponsors?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And he did this while you were sitting in the office with
- 12 | him on the 16th floor?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And Salamon was there as well?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And these companies that he created were made to look as
- 17 | real as possible; is that fair to say?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did you see any that he created?
- 20 A. They asked me to chip in money for the corporation and the
- 21 offices that they were renting, and I refused.
- 22 | Q. And you refused.
- 23 | A. Yeah.
- Q. Why did you refuse?
- 25 A. If my clients are already paying for the sponsor, why do I

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 have to pay for the rent for the company too?
- 2 | Q. You felt you were getting robbed by other thieves?
- 3 A. Correct.
- Q. There was -- well, not there was. Was there any legitimate
- 5 | business going on? Strike that.
- There was a legitimate business going on as well;
- 7 | right?
- 8 A. Very little.
- 9 Q. Very little.
- MR. DONALDSON: Judge, can I have one second, please?
- 11 THE COURT: Sure.
- 12 (Pause)
- 13 Q. You spoke about substitution. Do you recall speaking about
- 14 that?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And it was your belief that substitution was legal;
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A. As far as the regulation, was legal to substitute, yeah.
- 19 Q. Would you -- do you know Gulay Cibik's handwriting? I'll
- 20 | say it a different way.
- 21 MR. PASTORE: Your Honor, if the witness can be given
- 22 | a chance to answer, I think -- it looks like he's thinking
- 23 | through his answer.
- 24 THE COURT: The question is clear enough.
- 25 A. I probably could recognize Gulay Cibik's handwriting.

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 Q. And I believe you said right at the end of direct you went
- 2 | through all four of those boxes, the ones in front of you?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You went through several of the boxes in the US Attorney's
- 5 Office?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Reviewed lots of documents?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. How many times did you tell them you recognized Ms. Cibik's
- 10 | handwriting?
- 11 A. Maybe couple of times.
- 12 Q. Couple times.
- 13 | A. Yeah.
- 14 | Q. Where was that? Where was that?
- 15 A. I don't recall where.
- 16 Q. You also stated that you heard Ms. Cibik screaming, or you
- 17 saw her screaming on the 16th floor; correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. When was this?
- 20 A. Excuse me?
- 21 | Q. When was this?
- 22 A. Before I left the office.
- 23 | Q. Before you left the office?
- 24 | A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. Sometime in 2006?

Grynsztajn – cross

- 1 A. Could be 2005.
- 2 | Q. Are you saying she was -- you also I believe said she was
- 3 | madly in love with Earl David?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. You said she was screaming from room to room; is that what
- 6 you said?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Yelling all kinds of profanity?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. She was mad at who? Earl David wasn't there; correct?
- 11 A. If he wasn't there, it would be Sam and everybody,
- 12 screaming.
- 13 Q. Just screaming.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Room to room; is that correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 \parallel Q. And this was on the 16th floor or 21st floor?
- 18 A. On the 16^{th} floor.
- 19 \parallel Q. How many rooms were on the 16th floor?
- 20 A. It was two rooms.
- 21 | Q. When did you first see Ms. Cibik?
- 22 A. Excuse me?
- 23 | Q. What year did you first see Ms. Cibik?
- 24 A. I don't understand your question.
- 25 | Q. What, you got there '99; correct?

D1n1cib5 Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 Q. When did you first see Ms. Cibik?
- 3 A. I don't recall the year, but I started helping out her case
- 4 | with -- she was a client, and David asked me to help her out.
- 5 Q. When was that?
- 6 A. I'm not sure what year.
- 7 | Q. She started out as a client -- she started out as a client
- 8 | is what you're saying, and then she became a translator;
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And as a translator, she was on the 21st floor; correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. She was sitting outside the office; correct?
- 14 | Correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And she was -- didn't have an office; correct?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. She had an office as a translator?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 | Q. So, well, how long was she a translator?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- 22 | Q. What hours did she come to the office?
- 23 A. I have no idea how many hours.
- 24 | Q. What part of the day did she start?
- 25 A. She used to come usually in the evening.

D1n1cib5 Grynsztajn - cross

1 Q. When did that occur?

- 2 A. I don't know.
- $3 \parallel Q$. Sorry?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 Q. You talked about or spoke about someone named -- well,
- 6 strike that.
- 7 Do you know someone named Y.M. Pollack?
- 8 A. I saw the name on the check.
- 9 | Q. You saw the name on the checks.
- 10 | A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. Did you ever write Y.M. Pollack on a check?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you did that because someone told you to?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Who told you to?
- 16 A. Mr. Teitelbaum.
- 17 | Q. And he told you to, I believe you said on the record,
- 18 because he wanted to build credit for Mr. Pollack?
- 19 A. Checking, credit cards for the guy, that's what he told me.
- 20 | Q. Are you aware of whether or not there's any relationship
- 21 between Mr. Pollack and Mr. Earl David?
- 22 | A. No, sir.
- 23 | O. You're not aware of that?
- 24 A. Is there any relationship between them? No.
- 25 Q. Not family relationship. Is there any business

- 1 relationship between Earl David and Y.M. Pollack?
- 2 A. I have no idea.
- 3 Q. This law firm that you were part of, did you all have any
- 4 | meetings, any office meetings?
- 5 A. I don't know what you mean by meetings.
- 6 Q. Did you, Ms. Diaz, Earl David, Jed Matthew Philwin, Robert
- 7 | Salamon, Leo Teitelbaum, Ali Gomaa, Abdul Basit Choudary, Mark
- 8 | Vago, Alex Lev, did you all get together and have meetings to
- 9 discuss the business of the day?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 Q. What about conference calls?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | Q. Did you and Earl and Mr. Philwin ever have a meeting at
- 14 | 90 Washington Street?
- 15 | A. Not a meeting, but we did cases together over there.
- 16 Q. Do you recall a situation where \$14,000 was provided?
- 17 A. I don't know the exactly the amount, but -- I was there on
- 18 a few occasions.
- 19 | Q. Isn't it true that you, Mr. David, and Mr. Philwin met at
- 20 | 90 Washington Street to discuss other people's duties and
- 21 responsibilities?
- 22 | A. No, sir.
- 23 | Q. Are you aware of the Manzi & Burchas (ph) account?
- 24 MR. BRILL: Mazal & Bracha.
- MR. DONALDSON: Thank you. Wait, say it again? I'm

25

the day.

Grynsztajn - cross

997

Sorry. I'm trying, Judge. I really am. 1 sorry. 2 THE COURT: I know. Well, you got gelt. 3 MR. DONALDSON: I know. I should know this. I'm 4 working on it. I'm working on it. THE COURT: It's a little more complicated. 5 6 MR. DONALDSON: I know. I'm working on it. 7 Mazal & Bracha? Q. Mazal & Bracha. 8 Α. 9 Yeah, that, that. You know what it is. That. Ο. That, you 10 know what it is; right? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. What is it? 13 It's a publishing company that Earl David started. Α. 14 MR. DONALDSON: Can I have one second, please, your Going through my Yiddish. 15 Honor. THE COURT: By the way, do those words have any 16 17 meaning? 18 THE WITNESS: Good luck, mazel. 19 THE COURT: Why don't you tell the jury. 20 MR. DONALDSON: Say that again. 21 THE COURT: They can be translated in English. 22 MR. DONALDSON: What can be translated in English? 23 THE COURT: Mazel u'bracha. 24 MR. DONALDSON: Oh, really? What? My second word of

Grynsztajn - cross

```
THE WITNESS: It's like good luck or something.
1
 2
               MR. DONALDSON: It means good luck.
 3
               THE WITNESS: Something like that.
 4
               MR. DONALDSON: Thank you. Appreciate it.
 5
               I'm almost finished, Judge. One second.
 6
               (Pause)
 7
               MR. DONALDSON: I think I am finished. I would like
      the opportunity when I sit down to find what I was speaking of.
8
9
      I do have it. I just need to find it.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. Who's in second place for
11
      questioning purposes?
12
               MR. GERZOG: My colleague is asking me -- he's going
13
      to be very brief and I think he can finish, so I defer to my
14
      colleague.
15
               MR. GREENFIELD: I actually have some questions too.
               THE COURT: Don't worry. No one will be left out.
16
17
               Mr. Brill, do you want to go?
18
               MR. BRILL: Sure.
      CROSS-EXAMINATION
19
20
     BY MR. BRILL:
21
          Good afternoon, Mr. Grynsztajn.
22
      Α.
          Good afternoon.
23
         Mr. Grynsztajn --
      0.
24
               MR. BRILL: Could we put up 601-5, please.
25
          This is an e-mail, if you'll recall, you talked about
```

Grynsztajn - cross

999

yesterday where you had written -- or you were corresponding
with Mr. David, I believe. We'll take a look at it, see if you
can refresh your memory.

This is you, right, "david grin"?

A. Yes.

4

5

6

- Q. And you were responding to an e-mail from Earl David?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. And you were essentially threatening Mr. David; right?
- 9 A. No, sir.
- Q. No? You weren't blackmailing him, saying that you were going to take him down?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- 13 | Q. What was the -- what led up to this e-mail? Obviously
- 14 Mr. David was responding to something that you had originally
- 15 | said on the bottom here. "I don't know what you want from me.
- 16 | I helped you like no one else in the world." Obviously you had
- 17 | to have said something to him in a previous message of some
- 18 sort; right?
- 19 | A. I asked Mr. David to speak to Salamon, to get me money for
- 20 the -- for the cases and for the referrals to help Gulay and
- 21 | him.
- 22 \parallel Q. So this was money that you believed Mr. Salamon rightfully
- 23 | owed you for your clients; right?
- 24 | A. Yes.

25

Q. We've already discussed this, but you're not an attorney;

D1n1cib5 Grynsztajn - cross

1 | right?

- 2 A. Right.
- Q. And so therefore you actually can't have, legally, clients;
 4 right?
- 5 MR. PASTORE: Objection, your Honor. Lots of people 6 who aren't attorneys have clients.
- 7 MR. BRILL: Not in law firms.
- 8 THE COURT: Fair enough. Okay. You can answer.
 - Q. You knew that; right?
- 10 A. Repeat the question?
- 12 Q. Well, let's put it this way. Basically you were practicing law without a license; right?
- 13 MR. PASTORE: Objection.
- 14 | THE COURT: Well, that calls for a legal conclusion.
- 15 | Q. All right. I'll go back to the original question.
- You know that working in a law firm, the clients were not yours; correct?
- 18 A. I would say yes. Yes.
- 19 Q. But what -- you were expecting referral fees essentially
- 20 | from legal fees that these clients were paying; right?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. But that's not actually how the money was whacked up at the
- 23 | Earl David firm; right? Basically whatever clients you brought
- 24 | in, you got the money from those clients and then you shot some
- of it up the chain of command to Mr. David; right?

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 A. I don't understand your question.
- Q. Okay. Well, we saw the breakdown of fees on one of the
- 3 checks we looked at yesterday; right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. All right. So on a client that you brought in, what
- 6 percent would you get?
- 7 A. That depends what period of time.
- 8 Q. Okay. What's the highest percentage you would get?
- 9 A. 50 percent.
- 10 | Q. And who else would get the -- would take money out of the
- 11 other 50 percent?
- 12 A. Mr. David.
- 13 | Q. Basically Mr. David would get 50 percent, you would get
- 14 | 50 percent?
- 15 | A. Sometimes a referral fee, whoever referred us the client.
- 16 | Q. And so this was the money that you were expecting to get a
- 17 | piece of at the end of 2006, middle of 2006, when you were
- 18 | looking to leave the firm; right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And what did you -- what did you suggest that you were
- 21 going to do that led Mr. David to respond to you in this way?
- 22 A. I actually said nothing.
- 23 | Q. You didn't threaten him in any way?
- 24 A. I didn't threaten.
- 25 Q. So this response is completely out of the blue from

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 Mr. David when he said --
- 2 A. They knew I was meeting with the government.
- 3 Q. You told him you were meeting with the government.
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. You had discussions with them about meeting
- 6 | with the government; right?
- 7 A. The only thing they knew, that the agents came to visit me.
- 8 Q. So what did you say "but don't take others down" to mean at
- 9 | the end of this e-mail?
- 10 | A. What's my answer? "I'm not taking nobody down."
- 11 | Q. Right. So you were lying; right?
- 12 A. I'm testifying as part of an agreement with the government.
- 13 Q. But you know the ultimate result of that agreement; right?
- 14 You were going to be required to testify?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And you -- the -- you had discussions with Mr. David, among
- 17 other people, about the fact that you were going to be
- 18 | cooperating; right?
- 19 | A. No, sir.
- 20 | Q. So you didn't tell them that part.
- 21 A. No, sir.
- 22 | Q. And it's your testimony that -- not to beat a dead horse,
- 23 | Mr. Donaldson basically went over this, but you had -- you
- 24 prepared all of the immigration forms up to the point the PERM
- 25 | system was instituted; right?

- A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And at that point you just didn't want to be involved in
- 3 learning how to do PERM cases; right?
- 4 A. I didn't want to deal with it anymore.
- 5 | Q. And then what year was that exactly?
- 6 A. Don't recall the exact year. Maybe '05, '06.
- Q. So for at least six months to a year, if not longer, you decided that filling out the immigration forms was not
- 9 something you wanted to be involved in; right?
- 10 MR. PASTORE: Objection. Mischaracterizes. PERM's
 11 not an immigration form.
- 12 | Q. Entering data into the immigration system?
- 13 MR. PASTORE: Renewed objection.
- MR. BRILL: I'll just rephrase it. It's not a big
 deal.
- Q. You didn't want to be involved in the PERM system for the six months to a year or however long it was when that system was instituted; right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. You maintained your employment at the firm but you divorced yourself from that area that you used to work on; right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. You didn't just drop those cases; right? If you had clients that came in, you referred them to other people;
- 25 | correct?

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 A. Can you repeat the question? Can you repeat the question?
- 2 | Q. If you had clients who came in, you didn't say, "Sorry, I
- 3 don't do that," you made sure that someone else in the firm
- 4 | worked with the PERM system for those clients; right?
- 5 | A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And in fact, when there were follow-ups either from those
- 7 | clients or from the government, you maintained your involvement
- 8 | with those clients; right?
- 9 A. Repeat the question?
- 10 | Q. Sure. When there was a follow-up on a PERM case, you
- 11 | didn't say, "That's not my problem," you maintained your
- 12 | involvement with that client; right?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. So for example, if the government called to confirm
- 15 | something, you would answer questions about that case; right?
- 16 A. I'm confused with this question.
- 17 | Q. Okay. Department of Labor would make calls to the law
- 18 | firm; correct?
- 19 | A. I don't recall Department of Labor calling the law firm.
- 20 | O. Never?
- 21 A. I don't recall, no.
- 22 MR. BRILL: Could we put up 101-30, please.
- 23 (Counsel conferring)
- 24 MR. BRILL: Do you have 130-1-A?
- 25 (Counsel conferring)

Grynsztajn – cross

- 1 MR. BRILL: If we could switch to the overhead for one 2 second.
- 3 | Q. Now, Mr. Grynsztajn, you're familiar with this form?
- 4 A. This is the new PERM application.
- 5 | Q. Okay. You've seen these before; right?
- 6 A. Yes.

- Q. And what is the sponsor's name on this form?
- 8 A. CPI Framing & Developers.
- 9 Q. Okay. And what is the sponsor's phone number listed on this form?
- 11 A. 845-721-7455.
- MR. BRILL: And just for the record, what we're
- 13 | looking for -- looking at is 101-30.
- 14 Excuse me for one second. It's a little out of order.
- 15 There we go.
- 16 Q. Mr. Grynsztajn, your first name is David; right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And you shared an office with Lipa Teitelbaum; correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And Lipa Teitelbaum also used the nickname Leo; correct?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And on January 3rd, 2008, did you receive a message from
- 23 | the Department of Labor to have Nathan Schwartz give you a
- 24 | call?
- 25 A. Can you repeat the date?

Grynsztajn - cross

- 1 Q. You can see it right there in front of you, line 2.
- 2 January 3rd, 2008.
- 3 A. I was not working in 2008 in the office.
- 4 | Q. You weren't working in 2008?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 Q. All right. And was Leo still working with the firm in
- 7 | January of 2008?
- 8 A. I'm not sure who was working.
- 9 Q. Okay. Did you maintain contact with him in 2008?
- 10 | A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. Were there any other Davids working at the Earl David law
- 12 | firm, that is, other people with the first name David?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 MR. PASTORE: Could we just clarify the time period.
- 15 \parallel Q. In January of 2008. There was someone else named David?
- 16 | A. Oh, I'm not sure, 2008.
- 17 | 0. You left in 2006.
- 18 A. I left 2006.
- 19 | Q. And was there someone else named David working for the firm
- 20 | in 2006 when you left?
- 21 A. Yeah, David Klug.
- 22 | Q. David Klug. Okay. And when did he leave, if you know?
- 23 A. I have no idea.
- 24 | Q. To your knowledge was he still working there when you left?
- 25 A. When I left he was still, yeah.

Grynsztajn – cross

1007

Q. You said you had nothing to do with the creation of the e-mail addresses for the sponsors?

- A. Correct.
- 4 | Q. Do you know who did?
- 5 | A. I know Mr. David would create some of them.
- 6 Q. Okay. Mr. David; correct?
- 7 | A. Yes.

- 8 | Q. These were Yahoo accounts; correct?
- 9 A. We're talking about Earl David.
- 10 Q. Right. Yes, not --
- 11 | A. I don't know what addresses, I don't know what account.
- 12 Q. But the accounts weren't created in some instances by the
- 13 sponsors, they were created by the law firm; correct?
- MR. PASTORE: Objection. That mischaracterizes the answer.
- 16 MR. BRILL: He can answer for himself.
- 17 THE COURT: You can answer.
- 18 A. Repeat the question?
- Q. Sure. At least in some instances the e-mail addresses were created by the law firm or employee of the law firm and not the
- 21 | sponsors; right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. What about the newspaper ads? The newspaper ads were also
- 24 created by the law firm in at least some instances, right, if
- 25 | not all instances; right?

Grynsztajn – cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. They were created almost exclusively by the law firm;
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And who was responsible for creating the ads? I know you
- 6 said you weren't.
- 7 A. Mr. David.
- $8 \parallel Q$. And who was responsible for placing the ads?
- 9 A. Mr. David.
- 10 Q. Now in terms of recordkeeping, did the firm keep records of
- 11 | calls made to or received from the sponsors?
- 12 A. To my knowledge, no.
- 13 | Q. How about fax logs or faxes that were sent and received?
- 14 | A. No, sir.
- 15 | Q. How about -- were the fax confirmation pages kept in the
- 16 | file?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. How about e-mail logs? Any e-mail logs kept to document
- 19 | e-mail conversations with clients or with sponsors?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. How about return receipts? Were return receipts routinely
- 22 | required by the e-mails? Is there a policy that you should use
- 23 | return receipts?
- 24 A. We used return receipts, yes.
- 25 | Q. And that's in terms of the e-mail return receipts or --

Grynsztajn - cross

1009

- 1 A. No, the post office return receipts.
- 2 | Q. Oh, the post office return receipts. Okay.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. What about when you sent e-mails; would you request return
- 5 receipts?
- 6 A. No.

- Q. And was there a policy for how e-mail was kept by the firm?
- 8 | A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. Who distributed the money? Was there someone specifically
- 10 responsible for that?
- 11 A. What do you mean by distributing the money?
- 12 Q. You talked about a lot of cash coming into the firm on a
- 13 | regular basis; right?
- 14 | A. Right.
- 15 Q. And you said something about Mr. Brodjik getting paid. Was
- 16 there someone whose job that was to distribute money?
- 17 A. If a client came to me, they paid to me. If they came to
- 18 | Gulay, they would pay to Gulay.
- 19 Q. After that money came in, did it go to a central point or
- 20 was there just money flying all over the place?
- 21 A. No. Mr. Brodjik would come and collect the money from
- 22 everybody.
- 23 | Q. And then where would that money go?
- 24 A. That would go to Mr. -- he would take out whatever belongs
- 25 | to him, and then the rest he would deposit to Mr. David's

D1n1cib5 Grynsztajn - cross

1 | account.

- Q. What about the money that went to you? You took that out
- 3 | ahead of time?
- 4 A. Yeah. I would already take my share.
- Q. And what about all of the other employees in the firm? Was
- 6 everyone just taking part of what they were bringing in?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. There was no actual salaries or anything that's regular
- 9 about the compensation there?
- 10 A. There were a couple people that were filing that they would
- 11 pay salaries.
- 12 | Q. Otherwise everyone was just --
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. -- taking a percentage? So again, no recordkeeping of how
- 15 | that money was distributed other than occasionally we saw some
- 16 notes on the checks; right?
- 17 | A. The only thing we kept was the receipt when the clients
- 18 paid.
- 19 Q. But the clients -- if the clients paid in cash, you would
- 20 make receipts for the cash payments?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And those would be in every client file.
- 23 A. They would be in the files, yes.
- 24 | Q. But beyond that, beyond what the employees of the firm
- 25 | took, the money would go to Mr. David, and what happened after

Grynsztajn - cross

```
1
      that, you don't know.
          I don't know.
 2
      Α.
 3
      O. How about --
 4
               THE COURT: Mr. Brill, you said you were going to be
5
     very brief.
6
               MR. BRILL: I'm less brief than I thought, Judge. I'm
 7
      sorry.
8
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Well, we're past our witching hour.
9
               MR. BRILL:
                           Okay.
10
               THE COURT:
                          So if you have two more questions or
11
      three --
12
               MR. BRILL:
                           Sort of like five. So why don't we start
13
      tomorrow. Let's --
14
                          All right.
               THE COURT:
15
               MR. BRILL:
                          I don't want to keep anybody any longer.
                          Okay. Well, they're going to be five
16
               THE COURT:
17
      great questions.
18
                          That's a lot of pressure, Judge.
               MR. BRILL:
                          I know. Self-inflicted.
19
               THE COURT:
20
               All right. Couple things. One, the usual rules:
21
      Don't talk about the case, keep an open mind. And also,
22
      tomorrow we're going to have to end at 1:00 because one of the
23
      lawyers has an immovable serious family commitment. So just
24
     plan accordingly and we'll try to get a lot done anyway.
25
               (Jury excused)
```

1 (In open court; jury not present) 2 THE COURT: Okay. MR. DONALDSON: Your Honor, I have a -- oh, sorry. 3 4 MR. PASTORE: Your Honor, actually, two 5 witness-related issues. One is, we've already advised 6 Mr. Grynsztajn that we can't speak with him this evening 7 because he's now on cross-examination. THE COURT: All right. 8 9 MR. PASTORE: But second, we did want to ensure that 10 he is going to be here at 8:30 a.m. tomorrow so that we can 11 start promptly at 9. I wanted to communicate that now, since I 12 can't talk to him later. 13 THE COURT: Sure. 14 (Witness excused) 15 THE COURT: All right. So I think we agreed that we'd 16 talk about the issue raised in the government's letter of 17 January 22nd about Mr. Donaldson's cross opening the door to the introduction of Ms. Cibik's proffer statements. 18 MR. DONALDSON: Your Honor, I read it. I'll be brief. 19 20 I relied on the court's order prior to beginning of the trial, 21 which was that the door -- the government's position was not 22 going to be able to be used unless and until Ms. Cibik took the 23 stand. 24 Secondly, I wholeheartedly believe that my

cross-examination of Mr. Altintas was governed by my attempt to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

completely discredit him as to his significant, what I would consider fraudulent or incredible testimony. I believe that I'm allowed to do that, quite frankly I'm supposed to, so that was my intent and that was what I was trying to accomplish.

MR. PASTORE: Your Honor, in addition to the questions -- as an initial matter, in addition to the questions that we identified on cross-examination as being problematic, in our letter dated January 23rd -- so this morning -- that we handed up to the court, we also noted that in Mr. Donaldson's opening statement, in addition to -- in addition to invoking a defense based on this idea of obedience to authority, Mr. Donaldson also said, "The evidence will not show -- the evidence will not show that Ms. Cibik intended to break any laws." And that is on the transcript at pages 37 to 38. So I think it's been very clearly fronted in opening that Ms. Cibik's intent was going to be at issue, there's an obedience to authority defense that was proposed, and then in addition, the cross-examination implied and suggested that Mr. Altintas was the genesis of this idea for a phony sponsor rather than Ms. Cibik, that she had no knowledge that the sponsor was phony. Among other things, Mr. Donaldson suggested that it was merely a coincidence that a fashion company had been chosen when Mr. Altintas had background experience working at a fashion company. I think the only inference that the jury could draw from that is that Mr. Altintas was the one who

suggested the clothing company, not Ms. Cibik. And for those reasons, we do believe, you know — the proffer is very clear that Ms. Cibik knew that these letters were fraudulent. The proffer is very clear that Ms. Cibik went to Mr. Salamon and Mr. Teitelbaum to get companies to use in the fraud. Today we heard testimony from Mr. Grynsztajn that American Girl Fashion was in Mr. Teitelbaum's company. So it works in lock step. It's very clear that Ms. Cibik went to Salamon and Teitelbaum to get these companies, including specifically American Girl Fashion, and we believe the cross-examination and the opening suggested that those companies came from sources that didn't involve Ms. Cibik, that she didn't know about, and that she didn't, as Mr. Donaldson said, "didn't intend to break any laws."

MR. DONALDSON: I have one response to that, and that is, it's now concerning to me that we did brief this issue prior to trial, we did -- the government's arguments are not any different than what they said they thought might happen at trial.

THE COURT: Excuse me. The court is still in session, so everyone in the back stays mute. Or leave. Up to you.

MR. DONALDSON: Again, we addressed this issue before. I believe there was, not significantly -- well, significant briefing regarding the issue, and the court ruled that, because of a number of reasons, that the only way that the proffers

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

could come in was via a -- Ms. Cibik testifying. So if -- with that ruling in mind, notwithstanding the fact or even if accepting what the government is saying as true, and I'm not, but accepting what they say as true, that would be putting the defense in rather a catch 21 incredible position because we're relying on a belief that the only way that Ms. Cibik's proffer can come into evidence is if she testifies. When the government argued before trial that Mr. Donaldson's going to argue intent etc., etc., we made our arguments, the court ruled that the only way it comes in is if she testifies. So now that we're establishing our defense and putting on our case -- not putting on our case but arguing our case -- and the government says: Well, you opened the door. I think it's the government's rather creative way of just asking the court to reconsider its previous argument by suggesting that somehow we didn't address this issue before when we did.

So while I understand the government's argument, I think it's a creative way of asking the court to reconsider its former decision on both the defense's argument and decision to start its case. I think it puts the defense in a very unfair position, and in my opinion, I think the court already ruled on it, unless of course the government is asking the court to reconsider, but I do not believe they can, since we've already relied on the decision to support our case.

THE COURT: Let me say two things. The comments

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that -- I'll call them rulings -- that I made on January 4th were in the context of what was briefed to me. It was a fairly limited argument. That being said, I simply don't agree, in any event, with the government's position in its January 22nd letter. I really don't think that, as I said when you first raised it, that the take-away from someone listening to the cross of Mr. Altintas would have been that Ms. Cibik was, you know, an innocent bystander and, you know, Mr. Altintas was the source and genesis of his false application. As I said earlier, I think the take-away was he was an enthusiastic, you know, participant. And I think that everyone really should focus on actually what the caselaw is, which was not argued at all in the government's letter, but you have Second Circuit authority, United States v. Roberts, United States v. Barrow, and there's supposed to be a contradiction of a factual assertion, and it isn't sufficient to simply attack the credibility of a witness or the sufficiency of the government's proof.

So, all right. I think that takes care of the January 22nd letter. I think we could also all agree that there is no defense called obedience to authority. I think that went out with Nuremberg.

MR. DONALDSON: It did?

THE COURT: I believe so. But I'll hear whatever else you want to say on that. Not now. You wanted to --

```
D1n1cib5
               MR. DONALDSON: No, not now.
1
2
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else?
3
               Okay. Thank you.
               (Adjourned to January 24, 2013, at 9:00 a.m.)
 4
5
6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Case 1.11-ci-00424-NRB Document 205 Filed 02/14/15 Page 211 0i 215	101
1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
2	Examination of: Page	
3	DAVID GRYNSZTAJN	
4	Direct By Mr. Pastore 812	
5	Cross By Mr. Donaldson 931	
6	Cross By Mr. Brill	
7	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS	
8	Exhibit No. Received	
9	18B and 18C 813	
10	23 and 23A 814	
11	1114-8, 1114-19	
12	1112-9, 1112-2	
13	24 and 24B	
14	8B	
15	10	
16	10B	
17	14	
18	14A	
19	30E	
20	400-1	
21	400-3	
22	400-4	
23	400-7 and 400-5 834	
24	400-8	

1					(SOV								ITS	5			
2	Exhibit No.						((Cc) I I C	. <u>1</u> f	ıu∈	:u)						Received
3	EXHIBIC NO.																	Vecelved
4	400-9 .	 •					•	•		•	•	•	•			•		838
5	410-1 .	 •	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	841
6	410-2 .	 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	842
7	410-3 .	 •	•			•	•	•		•	•	•	•			•	•	844
8	410-4 .	 •	•			•	•	•		•	•	•	•			•	•	845
9	411	 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	849
10	602	 •	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•		851
11	603	 •					•			•	•	•	•			•	•	853
12	1106-5		•			•		•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	856
13	1106-6		•			•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	857
14	1106-8		•			•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	857
15	1106-1	 	•	•	•	•		•			•	•		•		•		859
16	1106-10	 •	•					•				•				•		859
17	1106-7	 •										•				•		861
18	1106-3	 •										•				•		863
19	1106-2	 •						•			•	•	•			•	•	865
20	1112-10	 •						•			•	•	•			•	•	891
21	1112-8	 		•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	894
22	1112-4	 •				•		•				•						894
23	1112-6	 •	•									•				•		896
24	1112-11	 			•			•				•	•	•	•		•	897
25	1112-20	 										•						899

1				GOV	ÆRI						TS	;			
2	Exhibit N	VI.O.			((Cont	LΙΓ	ıu€	u)						Received
3	EXHIBIC														Necerved
4	1112-13	through	1112	2-19			•	•	•			•		•	900
5	1113-14				•		•	•	•			•		•	815
6	1113-4				•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	816
7	1113-5				•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	819
8	1113-3				•		•	•	•		•	•		•	821
9	1114-20				•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	872
10	1114-3				•		•	•	•			•		•	873
11	1114-16				•		•	•	•			•		•	875
12	1114-7				•		•	•	•					•	876
13	1114-9				•		•	•	•					•	877
14	1114-1				•		•	•	•					•	878
15	1114-10				•		•	•	•				•	•	878
16	1114-6				•		•	•	•		•			•	879
17	1114-7				•		•	•	•		•			•	880
18	3022				•		•	•	•					•	881
19	3035				•		•	•	•			•		•	882
20															
21															
22															
23															
24															
25															